Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee

Stephen T. Devine Chairperson Missouri State Highway Patrol Communications Division P.O. Box 568, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573 526 6105 devins@mshp.state.mo.us

September 16, 2003

Federal Communications Commission Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Chief, Public Safety and Private Wireless Division 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: WTB Docket No. 02-378, Region 24 - 700 MHz Regional Plan

Dear Sirs:

Attached is the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Plan for your review. This document is the result of over 2 years of work by the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee and we feel it best represents the needs of the public safety community of the State of Missouri. I commend the regional planning committee members for the hard work and long hours invested to address issues that will benefit public safety communications in the region, as many of the issues needing to be decided to best prepare the region were unfamiliar to members new to the regional planning process. As the 700 MHz band is clear of incumbent broadcast stations, we feel fortunate this plan will allow for the rapid, successful and effective implementation of 700 MHz spectrum in Missouri, allowing public safety entities to acquire the tools needed to complete their ever-changing mission. In addition, public safety 700 MHz spectrum is immediately available throughout Missouri.

I also want to thank the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) and the NPSTC Support Office for their continued support to the region. The NPSTC support office was always available for questions regarding regional planning and the

CAPRAD database training the Region 24 members received will inevitably improve 700 MHz public safety implementation in Missouri.

It is our hope the implementation of this plan meets your approval and allows for approval to allow this much needed spectrum to be utilized in Missouri. Please feel free to contact me if there are any questions at 573 526 6105.

Regards,

Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson Region 24

Regional Plan Element	Check	Rule Section	Region 24 F	Plan Section	#
		Daletta Nation			
Cover letter referencing Decket # 02 279		Public Notice			
Cover letter referencing Docket # 02-378			Cover Letter 1.1		
Name, Title, address, phone number, agency affiliation, email address of chairperson		90.527(a)(1)	1.1		
Names, agency affiliations, mailing addresses, phone numbers, email addresses (if available) of other RPC officers		90.527(a)(1)	1.2		
Names, agency affiliations, mailing addresses, phone numbers, email addresses (if available) and		, , , ,			
voting status of all RPC members.		90.527(a)(1)	Appendix B	Appendix B	
Definition of the region and its boundaries, a list of the counties and cities within the boundaries		90.527(a)(2)	Section 2	Appendix C	
Overview of public safety entities that have jurisdiction within or over any or all portions of the					
region (state agencies, federal agencies, etc.)		90.527(a)(2)	Section 2		
Statement that at least 60 days notice was given prior to the initial meeting			Section 2.1		
The dates and publications in which the meetings were announced		90.527(a)(2)			
The dates and websites on which the meetings were announced		90.527(a)(2)	Section 2.1	Appendix D	
A description of the process by which comments were solicited from all eligible parties		90.527(a)(2)	Section 2.2		
An explanation of how all eligible entities within the Region were given an opportunity to					
participate in the Planning process and to have their positions heard and considered fairly		90.527(a)(2)	Section 2.1,	Appendix D	& Е
A description of the process used to consider comments submitted from concerned parties		90.527(a)(2)	Appendix D	& E	
The guidelines and procedures for operation of the RPC		90.527(a)(2)	Section 2.2,	Appendix A	
The procedures for frequency coordination		90.527(a)(2)	Section 3.2		
Guidelines and procedures for protection of incumbent TV/DTV stations within the Region or near					
the Region's border during the DTV transition period			Section 6.4		
A copy of the RPC's bylaws			Appendix A		
The technical procedures for requesting channels		90.527(a)(3)	Section 3		
An overview of the application process		90.527(a)(3)	Section 3.1		
An explanation of how the RPC decided between competing agencies when more requests for					
spectrum were received than could be filled. What criteria was used to evaluate competing					
applications to determine which request was granted?		90.527(a)(4)	Section 4		
An explanation of how the Plan has been coordinated with adjacent Regions. Signatures of the					
chairs of the adjacent regions OR evidence that the RPC used the NCC Implementation					
Subcommittee's 'Handling of Unformed Region's Proposal' to reserve some portion of the 700					
MHz spectrum at the RPC borders for the adjacent Region.		90.527(a)(5)	Section 5		
An explanation of how the RPC encouraged spectrum re-use and promoted spectrally efficient					
technilogies to make the most efficient use of the spectrum		90.527(a)(6)	Section 6, Appendix F, Appendix H		

Regional Plan Element	Check	Rule Section	Region 24 Plan Section #
An explanation of how the RPC will maintain the pre-coordination (CAPRAD) database, provide			
opportunities for future modifications of the plan		90.527(a)(7)	Section 8
A copy of the dispute resolution process that will be used in the Region		90.527(a)(7)	Section 3.1E, Section 3.6
A copy of the inter-Regional Dispute Resolution Agreement w/the adjacent Region(s)		90.527(a)(7)	Appendix I
A certification by the RPC chair that all RPC meetings were open to the public		90.527(a)(8)	Section 9
Signature of the RPC chair		90.527(a)(8)	Section 9

1 764-776/794-806 MHz Regional Plan for Region 24 (Missouri)

This document is the Regional Plan for Region 24 (Missouri) describing how the 746-776/796-806 MHz General Use frequencies will be allocated and implemented in the Region.

1.1 Regional Chair

The Regional Chairperson of Region 24 is Stephen Devine. His information is below:

Stephen T. Devine, Patrol Frequency Coordinator Missouri State Highway Patrol General Headquarters 1510 East Elm Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 Phone 573-526-6105 FAX 573-526-1112 Email devins@mshp.state.mo.us

1.2 Other RPC Officers and full RPC Membership

The Vice Chairman/Secretary of Region 24 is Steve Makky, Sr. His contact information is below:

Mr. Steve Makky Sr.
St. Charles County Emergency Management 301 N. Second St, Room 280
St Charles Missouri 63301-5410
Email scc911@win.org
Phone 636 949 3031

The duties of Treasurer within Region 24 are assigned to Stephen T. Devine, Regional Chairperson.

Membership in the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee is open to any interested party. Committee Officer requirements, voting procedures and membership attendance requirements are listed in the Region 24 Planning Committee bylaws. Appendix A contains the Region 24 bylaws. Appendix B is a list of Region 24's initial members, their agency/affiliation and voting status. Voting and operating procedures are described in Section 2.2 of this plan.

2 Region 24 Description

Region 24 encompasses the entire state of Missouri, consisting of 114 counties and the City of St Louis. An alphabetical list of the individual counties can be found listed in **Appendix C**.

The State of Missouri has diverse geography and a varied population base. Ground elevations in Missouri vary from 70 meters AMSL in the Mississippi Delta of extreme southeast Missouri to 500 meters AMSL in the Missouri Ozarks. The terrain of northern Missouri is relatively flat and sparsely populated which allows for effective radio propagation. Throughout southern

Missouri, however, varying ground elevations along with some of the worlds largest concentrations of lead and iron deposits, create a challenge to agencies in the Region attempting to develop efficient radio networks in any frequency band.

Missouri's roadways are some of the most frequently traveled in the country. The number of vehicles traveling Missouri roadways in 2002 amounted to over seven (7) times its existing population of 5.8 million. These itinerant travelers take a heavy toll on all public safety agencies throughout Missouri.

The population of Missouri is 5.8 million people (January 2001). Over 80 percent of this population is concentrated in the St Louis and Kansas City Metropolitan areas. These two large metropolitan areas are made up in part or all of 35 counties. These areas are adjacent to both Region 13 and Region 16 (Southern Illinois and the State of Kansas, respectively) and require Missouri to obtain frequency coordination with both Regions when attempting frequency allotments in these densely populated areas.

Other areas in Missouri consist of small concentrated pockets of population surrounded by areas of sparse population or with large amounts of unpopulated U.S. federal forest. These diverse demographics, combined with the RF propagation difficulties stated above, make for challenging frequency allotments. Previously, frequency allotments in areas of Region 24 adjacent to Regional areas other than those listed above have been successful primarily due to the sparse population near each Regional border. Region 24 (State of Missouri) has eight (8) adjacent Regions. They are as follows:

Region 13	Southern Illinois
Region 17	State of Kentucky
Region 39	State of Tennessee
Region 4	State of Arkansas
Region 34	State of Oklahoma
Region 16	State of Kansas
Region 26	State of Nebraska
Region 15	State of Iowa

In previous NPSPAC 821 MHz frequency allotments, spectrum amounts disproportionate to population densities were allocated due to differing methodologies used in adjacent NPSPAC Regions and the timing of adjacent regions plan approval. This resulted in a minimum number of channels available for Region 24, particularly in the Kansas City and St. Louis areas. In the 700 MHz band, county allotments for both narrowband and wideband channels have been developed based on population densities relative to adjacent Regions. Due to the Region's diverse population densities and the scarce spectrum resources in Missouri's populated areas, it is anticipated the majority of requests for voice/data spectrum will be from the Kansas City and St Louis metropolitan areas, which both currently operate existing 800 MHz radio networks.

It is anticipated that other areas within Region 24, including areas in the Southwestern parts of Missouri, may request 700 MHz channels from established county pool allotments to either expand existing 800 MHz systems or develop new 700 MHz systems

2.1 Notification Process

821 MHz Region 24 Chairperson, Stephen T. Devine, appointed Ron Shook of Greene County 700 MHz Convenor on July 1, 2000. A 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee meeting date was set for October 5, 2000. Interested parties were given 90 days notice prior to the first meeting. Announcements indicating the date, time and location of the first meeting were sent by mail to the FCC Wireless Telecommunications Bureau and, posted in the following industry periodicals: MRT Magazine, Radio Resource Magazine and the Association of Public Safety Communications Officials, Inc. magazine. The meeting information was also posted on the Missouri Uniform Law Enforcement System (MULES) Teletype network and received by all law enforcement agencies in both Missouri and the surrounding Regions. The Missouri State Highway Patrol also issued a press release regarding the convening of the committee and including the first meeting information. This awareness allowed for the dissemination of meeting information to hundreds of law enforcement agencies, public safety agencies and news media throughout Missouri and the eight (8) adjacent states to Missouri. The Missouri State Emergency Management Agency, along with the Missouri National Guard was contacted and notified of the convening of the 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee. The convener also contacted several agencies via email that expressed interest in the planning process prior to the meeting. There are no Native American tribal reservations located within Region 24. Copies of the announcements sent to the FCC, any Public Notices released relating to Region 24's meeting, the ads placed in the industry periodicals, the Missouri SHP press release, and emails sent to interested agencies are included in Appendix D. The 700 MHz first meeting convened on October 5, 2000 by Ron Shook. Stephen T. Devine was elected the Chairperson of the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee. Mr. Steve Makky, Sr. of the St Charles County Emergency Management Agency was elected to the position of Vice Chairperson/Secretary of the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee. The FCC did not issue a Public notice for this meeting.

2.2 Operations of the Regional Plan Committee

This committee will use Robert's Rules of Order to conduct meetings. All decisions will be by clear consensus vote with each Public Safety Agency in attendance having one (1) vote. Additional voting member considerations are listed in the Region 24 Bylaws, Appendix A. The meetings are open to all interested persons and public input time is provided for anyone to express a viewpoint or to have input to the Regional Planning process.

Subcommittees have been formed as needed to work on specific issues. For the initial planning of Region 24, three subcommittees were formed:

Implementation, Interoperability, and Technology Subcommittees: These subcommittees are intended to work on the details of specific issues and make recommendations to the full committee for the development of the Region 24 Regional plan. Any changes to the Regional plan must be voted and approved by the full Regional Planning Committee. Participation in subcommittees is open to any member. The Chair of the

Regional Planning Committee appoints each Subcommittee Chair. The Region 24 subcommittees are listed below:

Technology Steve Makky, Sr. St Charles County Emergency Management,

Chairperson

Charles Gastler, St Louis City Police Department, Member

Thomas Kearns, Tyco/MACom, Member

Roger Strope, Missouri State Highway Patrol, Member

Jon Martin, Motorola Member

Interoperability William Cade, Jasper County 911, **Former Chairperson** (Moved from

Region 24 in Spring 2002 to the State of Florida and Ron Shook was appointed Interoperability Subcommittee Chairperson by the Regional

Chair).

Ron Shook, Greene County Emergency Management (Chairperson)

Stephen T. Devine, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Implementation Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson

Roger D. Strope, Missouri State Highway Patrol

A minimum of two (2) full committee meetings will be held per year. When possible, meetings will be held in the months of April and October. The Region 24 Chairperson has the authority to call an additional meeting at a time when he/she deems necessary or when he/she deems it in the best interest of the Region to convene. In an attempt to offer as many people as possible the opportunity to contribute to the Regional 700 MHz Planning Committee, one (1) of the two meetings will be held in various locations within Region 24 and due to its central location, the remaining meeting will be held in Jefferson City, Missouri.

The Region 24 700 MHz list-serve, http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RPC24/ was created in July of 2001. The Region 24 800 MHz list serve,

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NPSPACRegion24/, created June 8, 2000, was also used to exchange information as well as disseminate original meeting times, dates and agendas throughout the Regional Planning process for the initial 700 MHz meeting.

Beginning two years after Federal Communications Commission approval of this Regional Plan, the Chairperson shall call a meeting of the Regional Planning Committee to elect a Chair, Vice Chair and Secretary to serve for a two-year term. There is no limit to the number of terms that may be served by officers of the 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee.

If the Chair is unable to serve a complete term, the Vice Chair will serve as Chair until the next 700 MHz Regional meeting. If both the Chair and Vice Chair are unable to serve their full terms, one or the other should make an effort to call a special meeting of the Committee to elect replacements. If for some reason, neither the Chair nor the Vice Chair can call the

special meeting; the State or any County within the Region may call for a special meeting, giving at least 5 days notice, to elect replacements.

A chronological list of meetings, summary of minutes, meeting announcements and agendas outlining Region 24's progress in 700 MHz development is located in **Appendix D** of this document.

3 Regional Plan Administration

3.1 Procedure for Requesting Spectrum Allotments

A. Upon FCC approval of this Plan, Region 24 will announce to the region that 700 MHz public safety channels are available in the Region and that channels have been assigned to pool allotments to counties within the Region. All available methods will be used to notify public safety entities of channel availability in the Region (see Section 2.1). All requests will be considered on a first come, first served basis. Region 24 supports the National Coordination Committee Pre-Assignment Rules and Recommendations listed in Appendix F, and will use these guidelines as a template to determine if an application submitted to the Regional Planning Committee meets Regional Planning standards. It is recommended that applicants familiarize themselves with these recommendations prior to submitting applications for Region 24 700 MHz public safety system implementation.

In general and unless otherwise noted, the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee will adhere to the published National Coordination Committee Implementation Guidelines for 700 MHz Public Safety Regional Planning Committees.

B. When applying for new 700 MHz channels, the Regional Planning Committee expects applicants to relinquish an amount of currently used spectrum ("give back channels") and make that spectrum again available for use within the region. This currently licensed spectrum may be in any public safety band. Agencies with existing licensed 800 MHz systems that are requesting 700 MHz channels for system expansion will not fall under this requirement.

The number of channels an applicant may retain after "give back" will be an amount required to provide minimum interoperable communications to surrounding jurisdictions. If the Regional Planning Committee considers the number of channels relinquished by the applicant insufficient based on a vote of members in attendance at either a special or scheduled meeting of the Regional Planning Committee, the proposed application for 700 MHz channels will not be approved by the Regional Planning Committee and will be returned to the applicant for additional review.

The Regional Planning Committee will be the deciding body for application approval and plan interpretation. It must be stressed that the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee supports and promotes multi-agency systems that allow for regional/wide area coverage within the region.

The Regional Planning Committee will determine and approve a "give back" timetable that will allow a specified time period for optimization. This would make the legacy system available for a limited time period while critical issues are addressed and migration to the new system is optimized.

When both the applicant and the Regional Planning Committee agree upon the number of "give back" channels and a date is established for implementation of the system, the applicant will provide the Regional Planning Committee with a 'giveback agreement' letter containing all pertinent give back information. In order to ensure that applicants that receive 700 MHz public safety channels return existing spectrum allotments back to the available frequency pool in a timely fashion, a procedure will be instituted. The procedure will be as follows:

After the Regional Planning Committee and the applicant have agreed to the specific channels AND the number of channels to be returned to the available channel pool, the applicant's agency will modify the existing FCC license, through a certified FCC Frequency Coordinator, that contains the channels in question. They will move the appropriate frequencies from their original FCC call sign and create a new call sign, which will be attached to a newly created FCC FRN number. Part of the "give back" agreement will be for the agency to provide the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee the authority (and the password) to use the FRN number associated with the "give back" frequencies to cancel the new call sign on the agreed upon date when the new 700 MHz allotments are implemented. This will enable other agencies in the area to implement, benefit from and license the applicant's legacy radio channels. It is anticipated each agency will have a migration period that will vary in length where agencies will be utilizing both their existing frequencies and their 700 MHz allotments.

Frequency "give back" requirements shall hold true for regional systems where system constituents maintain discrete licenses for their own internal operations. In this case, constituent political subdivisions or agencies are required to participate in the "give back" plan. Should a political subdivision or agency act as host of a regional system, both the host agency and the constituent agencies must participate in the "give back" plan. Region 24 may utilize any mechanism needed to retain "give back" frequencies within region 24 to allow for maximum spectral usage by the public safety community.

Frequencies used for non-voice critical infrastructure support functions [Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems] as well as frequencies that are used for interoperability with other regional, state or national agencies that rely on one certain frequency band for emergency operations, such as, but not limited to "Missouri Sheriff's Net" (155.7300 MHz), "Missouri Point-to-Point" (155.3700) or the National Law Enforcement Emergency Channel ("Mutual Aid", 155.4750 MHz) may be exempted by the Committee as candidates for "give back". Frequencies used by an applicant for such purposes, as well as the specific use and a network/ system diagram, must be specified in supportive documentation supplied with the application to enable the Regional Planning Committee to consider any possible exemption.

Operational Fixed, or any frequency or radio sub-system used for fixed mode of operations to support the operation of another radio system shall be considered part of the give back along

with the fundamental system being returned for reallocation. They comprise one inclusive unit: "Microwave" radio frequencies, or systems licensed within the "Microwave Public Safety Pool" (Radio Service Code "MW") shall be exempt from this requirement.

In cases of hardship or untoward implementation, the Regional Planning Committee will consider, on a case-by-case basis, extensions of the "give back" timetable. The dispute arbitration process in Section 3.6 of this document shall apply should there be protest. Final vote of the Committee shall be binding.

C. To request channels from Region 24, a full application package must be submitted to the NPSTC -Sponsored CAPRAD database at http://caprad.nlectc.du.edu/login/home. The application must include: an FCC Form 601, a short description of the proposed system, a justification for the additional spectrum, an interference prediction map using the current version of TIA/EIA TSB 88 guidelines, maps showing all interference predicted in the proposed system, documents indicating agency-funding commitments sufficient to fund the development of the proposed system(s), a list of 'give-back' channels if applicable. Exceptions in accepting applications from qualified applicants will be made by the Region if applicants have demonstrated a need for 700 MHz channels and cannot access the CAPRAD database.

D. The Chair will distribute the request to all other agencies with allotments in the plan for review and approval. Absent a protest, the Regional Planning Committee will approve the application and (if applicable), upon receipt of a 'cancellation consent letter' (See Section 3.1 B. above), submit it, through the CAPRAD database, to the applicant's preferred FCC-certified frequency coordinator for processing. This process meets the requirements of Rule 90.176 (c).

The CAPRAD database will reflect the approved application and place the channels for the proposed system in "pre-license" status.

E. Allocation Disputes: An agency may protest a proposed system within 30 calendar days of the original distribution. Protests will only be considered if the allocation does not conform to plan criteria or objecting agency or the Chairperson can show harmful interference is likely based on the information submitted by the agency requesting the new allocation. If an agency with pre-licensed/Region approved co-channel or adjacent channel allocations objects to a proposed allocation due to concerns about potential interference, the objecting agency may request field tests be done to confirm or refute interference potential. The completion of these field tests will be required for Regional application approval. Coverage area service/interference contours of the proposed system(s) should meet values designated in Section 6.1 of this document. Any costs associated with field tests or any other requirement to obtain Region 24 plan approval are the responsibility of the agency submitting application to Region 24.

The parties involved must resolve the allocation dispute and notify the Region Chair within 14 calendar days. If the parties involved cannot resolve the allocation dispute within that timeframe, then a special full Committee meeting will be scheduled to consider and vote on the protest. If approved, the application will be submitted through the CAPRAD database to the applicant's chosen FCC-certified frequency coordinator for processing

F. Lower Power "Campus Eligible" General Use Channels: In the implementation of 700 MHz public safety spectrum throughout Region 24, there may be opportunities for increased channel reuse when developing radio systems for "campus" type operations. Examples of those who may capitalize on this opportunity include hospitals, stadiums, malls or places of public gathering, public universities, transit systems and ports. While these channels have been designated in county pool allotments with proper designations, they do not enjoy the benefits of countywide channels in that they are not cleared for usage over a wide area. In many instances, facilities require a smaller or more specific geographical coverage area than assumed in the initial channel packing plan and may be able to be reused more efficiently. These "campus" type systems also, in many cases, require in-building or confined space/ tunnel radio coverage or communications along a linear pathway, such as a maintenance or right of way. Public safety channels can be allotted to this type operation in a region and can lead to effective system development, along with increased spectral efficiency, if power levels and Area of Protection (AOP) of the area are taken into account in system planning. These parameters must be established appropriate to the area of coverage. In order to facilitate this effective method of system implementation, channels have been identified in certain areas of Region 24 that may be utilized in a smaller service area. These channels are NOT eligible to be utilized throughout the county they are allotted to and the following criteria must be adhered to when requesting channels from Region 24 for operations of this type:

The 50dBu service contour of the proposed system must not exceed an area more than 2 miles from the proposed service area. When this 2-mile distance extends to an adjacent region, the applicant must obtain concurrence from the adjacent region. Reduced external antenna height, along with reduced ERP. directional antennae. distributed antenna systems, radiating "leaky coax," are all tools that should be utilized in the development of these type systems. Region 24 will ensure the development of these type of systems will in no way interfere with co-channel or adjacent channel users within Region 24 or Region 24's adjacent regions. The Chairperson, or a majority of the members of the region, has the authority to request and require engineering studies from the applicant that indicate no harmful interference will be introduced to any co-channel or adjacent channel existing user prior to application approval. For 25 kHz co-channel assignments, the 50dBu service contour of the proposed stations will be allowed to extend beyond the defined service area for a distance no greater than 2 miles. adjacent/alternate 25 kHz channel shall be allowed to have its 60 dBµ (50,50) contour touch, but not overlap the 40dBu service (50,50) contour of an adjacent/alternate system being protected. Evaluations should be made in both directions to ensure compliance. The approval of systems utilizing county allotment channels labeled "Campus", are subject to approval of the regional planning committee. They are the final authority on parameters associated with "campus" type operations.

If Region 24 receives an application for low power fixed use and the proposed service contour encroaches onto an adjacent region prior to the channel allotted to the region being implemented in a specific system, the application must be modified so the service contour does not encroach into the adjacent region **or** the applicant must supply the Region

24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee with written concurrence from the adjacent region permitting the original design.

3.2 Procedure for Frequency Coordination

The Region 24 Planning Committee will adhere to the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council's (NPSTC) 700 MHz General Use channel sort as shown on the CAPRAD database for narrowband General Use channels. The Region created its own sort for the wideband data channels. (See Appendix G). Region 24 will participate in the CAPRAD database and keep the Regional Plan and current frequency allotment/allocation information on the database. The Region 24 Regional Planning Committee has both the ability to accept recommendations from the committee and, if approved, the authority to change the original frequency allotment. In order to keep the most effective frequency allotments within Region 24, an annual review of the allotments will be made at one of the scheduled meetings by the full committee and recommended changes to the plan will be voted on. The majority of members in attendance at a meeting of the full Regional Planning Committee must approve any changes to the Regional allotments. If at any time a system is allocated channels within Region 24 and the system cannot be developed within the agreed upon guidelines (slow growth), the channels will be returned to the county pool allotments they originated from and again be available to other agencies in the region. If plan modifications are approved, the Chairperson will, if necessary, obtain adjacent Region approval and file a plan amendment indicating the approved changes with the Federal Communications Commission.

3.3 Allocation of Narrowband "General Use" Spectrum

The Region 24 Technology Subcommittee recommends that allotments be made on the basis of one 25 KHz channel for every two (2) voice channel requests and one 12.5 KHz channel for each narrowband data channel request. This recommendation is approved by the full Committee and is part of this plan. Allotments will be made in 25 KHz groups to allow for various digital technologies to be implemented. All agencies requesting spectrum during the initial filing window (see Section 3.1) will be allocated channels if plan requirements are met. Agencies using Frequency Division Multiplexing (FDMA) will be expected to maintain 12.5 KHz equivalency when developing systems and will be required to utilize BOTH 12.5 KHz portions of the 25 KHz block. In most cases, this will require the geographic separation of each 12.5 KHz adjacent channel. In order to promote spectrum efficiency, Region 24 will ensure that systems allocated 25 KHz channel blocks will utilize all of the channel and not "orphan" any portions of a system designated channel. (See Section 6.3)

3.4 Low power Channels

The FCC in the 700 MHz band plan set aside channels 1 - 8 paired with 961 – 968 and 949 – 958 paired with 1909 – 1918 for low power use for on-scene incident response purposes using

mobiles and portables subject to Commission-approved Regional Planning Committee Regional Plans. Transmitter power must not exceed 2 watts (ERP).

Channels 9-12 paired with 969-972 and 959-960 paired with 1919-1920 are licensed nationwide for itinerant operation. Transmitter power must not exceed 2 watts (ERP).

These channels may operate using analog operation. To facilitate analog modulation, this plan will allow aggregation of two 6.25 KHz channels for 12.5 kHz bandwidth. On scene temporary base and mobile relay stations are allowed (to the extent FCC rules allow) antenna height limit of 6.1 meter (20 feet) AGL (Above Ground Level). However, users are encouraged to operate in simplex mode with the least practicable amount of power to reliably maintain communications whenever possible. This plan does not limit use to analog only operations and channels are intended for use in a wide variety of applications that may require digital modulation types as well. The use of EIA/ TIA-102, Project 25 Common Air Interface is required when using a digital mode of operation.

In its dialog leading up to CFR §90.531 allocating the twenty-four low power 6.25 kHz frequency pairs (of which eighteen fall under RPC jurisdiction)¹, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) suggested that there is a potential for multiple low power applications, and absent a compelling showing, a sharing approach be employed rather than making exclusive assignments for each specific application as low power operations can co-exist [in relatively close proximity] on the same frequencies with minimal potential for interference due to the 2 watt power restriction.

Whereas advantages exist in not making assignments, the reverse is also true. If, for example, firefighters operate on a specific frequency or set of frequencies in one area, there is some logic in replicating that template throughout the Region for firefighter equipment. If there are no assignments, such a replication is unlikely.

In seeking the middle ground with positive attributes showing up both for assignments and no assignments, we recommend the following regarding assignments associated with the eighteen (18) low power channels for which the Regional Planning Committee has responsibility:

- Generic Channel #'s 1-4 and 949-952 are set aside as generic base channels for use by public safety agencies operating within Region 24, and the complementary mobile channels # 961-964 and 1909-1912 are set aside as generic mobile channels also for use by public safety agencies likewise operating within Region 24.
- Fire/ EMS/ Consequence Management Channel #'s 5-8 are designated as Fire Protection/ Emergency Medical and Consequence Management base channels for licensing and exclusive use by the Fire/Emergency Medical disciplines, and the complementary mobile channel #'s 965-968 are set aside as Fire/Emergency Medical and Consequence

_

¹ See paragraphs 35 through 39 in FCC's Third Memorandum Opinion and Order for WT Docket No. 96-86 adopted September 18, 2000.

Management mobile channels also for licensing and exclusive use by the Fire/Emergency Medical disciplines.

• Law/ Crisis Management - Channel #'s 953-956 are set aside as Law Enforcement/Crisis Management base channels for licensing and exclusive use by the Law Enforcement discipline, and the complementary mobile channel #'s 1913-1916 are set aside as Law Enforcement/Crisis Management mobile channels also for licensing and exclusive use by the Law Enforcement discipline.

Multidisciplinary Joint Public Safety Operations - Channel #'s 957-958 are set aside as Multidisciplinary Joint Public Safety Operations base channels for licensing and the complementary mobile channel #'s 1917-1918 are also set aside as Multidisciplinary Joint Public Safety Operations Channels for use by political subdivisions and public safety agencies operating under a unified command at a common incident for the express mission of safety of life, property or environment.

Simplex operations may occur on either the base or mobile channels. Users are cautioned to coordinate on scene use among all agencies involved, particularly when the use of repeaterized modes is possible at or in proximity to a common incident. Users should license multiple channels and be prepared to operate on alternate channels at any given operational area. Again, Region 24 Regional Planning Committee will require all 700 MHz users to have the capability to access ALL of the NCC approved interoperability channels in both duplex and simplex modes. Under no circumstances may a user claim a channel as exclusively theirs; all channels within this section are shared.

3.5 Wideband Data

TIA has developed a wideband data interoperability standard based on 50 KHz channel bandwidth. The RPC shall also consider applications for aggregation of data channels up to 150 kHz. Each county within Region 24 shall be allotted, at a minimum, 150 kHz of contiguous bandwidth. If one entity exhausts the spectrum resources within the county, thus precluding assignment to other interested agencies, that agency must demonstrate its willingness to cooperate with the precluded agencies within the county to provide access its facilities for throughput. In such situations, each agency shall internally negotiate costs without mediation by the Regional Planning Committee. The final implementation budget, as well as the abridged loading figures shall be forwarded to Region 24 prior to adding the new users.

The ranking criteria for each allocated 50 KHz General Use Wideband data channel in Region 24 will be developed in accordance with NCC Implementation Subcommittee Guidelines. Applicants will be required to provide the Regional Planning Committee with their identified wideband needs so the region can determine the number of wideband data channels needed.

3.6 Dispute Resolution – Intra-Regional

In the event an agency disputes the implementation of this plan or the Federal Communications Committee approval of this plan or parts of this plan, the agency must notify the Chair of the dispute in writing. This section does not apply to protests over new spectrum allocations (see Section 3.1). The Chair will attempt to resolve the dispute on an informal basis. If a party to the dispute employs the Chair, then the Vice Chair will attempt resolution. In such cases, the Chair shall be deemed to have a conflict of interest and will be precluded from voting on such matters. If after 30 days the dispute is not resolved, the Chair (or Vice Chair) will appoint a Dispute Resolution Committee consisting of a member from the State of Missouri and at least five members from the Counties in Region 24. That committee will select a Chair to head the committee.

The Regional Plan Chair (or Vice Chair) will represent the Region in presentations to the Dispute Resolution Committee. The Committee will hear input from the disputing agency, any effected agencies and the Region Chair. The Committee will then meet in executive session to prepare a recommendation to resolve the dispute. Should this recommendation not be acceptable to the disputing agency/agencies, the dispute and all written documentation from the dispute will be forwarded to the National Regional Planning Oversight Committee, a subcommittee of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Committee (NPSTC) for review. As a last resort, the dispute will be forwarded to the Federal Communications Commission for final resolution.

4. Priority Matrix

In the event that spectrum allocation requests conflict and cannot all be accommodated, the following matrix will be used to determine priority for allotment. This matrix will only be used if two requests are received in the same time frame for the same number of channels. Otherwise, the first come first served procedure of Section 3.1 will be used.

- Priority is given to users fundamentally involved with the protection of Life and Property (15 points)
- Priority is given to multi-agency systems that promote multi-agency, inter-discipline interoperable communications. These systems can be either a group of separate departments within a large agency or groups of agencies operating together under a large blanket agency, or a combination of both. (25 points)
- Documentation of proposed funding to construct the system using these 700 MHz frequencies must be available and accompany the original spectrum request. (25 points)
- The submission of some form of proof of financial commitment, accompanied by a RFP (Request for Proposal) outlining the design of the proposed system and detailing the development of the requested channels will be required to be submitted to the Regional Planning Committee prior to approval. (35 points)

If there are more applicants than frequencies available for a given area, the above criteria will be used to grade each application before the committee.

This process, if required, will be treated as a dispute and the procedures outlined in Section 3.6 using the above criteria will be used to allocate the frequencies.

4 PROCESS FOR HANDLING UNFORMED REGIONS

The Implementation Subcommittee recommends that all Regions use the following pre-planning methodology to facilitate coordination with adjacent Regions. This procedure will provide a spectrum allotment for adjacent Regions that do not immediately form a Committee.

Counties or other geographic subdivisions within 70 miles of the Regional border need to share spectrum with the adjacent Region(s). The sharing indicated is inherent in the NPSTC Packing Program, as it views all counties nationwide as separate entities while ignoring state borders. With all criteria being equal, this ensures all counties are provided sufficient spectrum in accordance with their surrounding counties. The appropriate ratio of channels shall be allotted to counties in adjacent Regions based upon each county's population. A 25 kHz building block will be used to distribute spectrum between the Regions. A description of the demographics of the affected border areas shall be included.

The requirements for adjacent Region concurrence will require a waiver if the adjacent Region has not yet formed. The Region filing the Plan must use the pre-planning procedure outlined above. The waiver request must be filed concurrently with the Plan and contained in the cover letter.

5. Coordination with Adjacent Regions

The Regions adjacent to Region 24 are listed below:

Region 13, Southern Illinois

Region 17, Kentucky

Region 39, **Tennessee**

Region 4, Arkansas

Region 34, Oklahoma

Region 16, Kansas

Region 26, Nebraska

Region 15, Iowa

Region 24 has coordinated channel allocations and received concurrence with all its bordering Regions by providing copies of the Region 24 plan (including channel allotments) to each adjacent Region using the CAPRAD database and by mailing hard copies of the Plan to the adjacent Region's Chairperson or Convener.

As of this date of filing, September 16, 2003, Region 4 (Arkansas) and Region 34 (Oklahoma) have yet to convene their 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees as of the completion/dissemination of this document. In seeking regional concurrence, the Chairperson has given copies of this plan to the Conveners of Region 4 and Region 34 and Region 24's Plan will also be available for viewing by all regions via the NPSTC CAPRAD 700 MHz database. The NPSTC pre-coordination database will show those channels available that will not interfere with Region 24 allotments or systems. In filing its plan with the FCC, Region 24 will seek waivers for concurrence from Regions that have not yet named conveners or held their first 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee meeting

Region 24's borders with Region 4 and Region 34 are sparsely populated and generally, the existing NPSPAC 821/866 MHz band frequencies are not built out on either side of these regional border areas with Region 24. The east central and west central Region 24 borders, with Region 13 and Region 16 respectively, are some of the most urban densely populated areas of Missouri, while Region 24's borders with Region 34 (Oklahoma), Region 26 (Nebraska), Region 39 (Tennessee), Region 4 (Arkansas), Region 15 (Iowa) and Region 17 (Kentucky) are some of the most rural, sparsely populated areas in the Midwest. The CAPRAD database and its associated packing plan will provide minimum channel allotments for all of Region 24's bordering regions. This method was recommended by the NCC Implementation Subcommittee as a way to assure that adjacent Regions, which did not enter the Regional Planning process immediately, would not find all frequencies assigned in their borders.

Therefore, adjacent Regions 4, 34, 16, 15, 26, 13, 17, and 39 should all be able to satisfy voice and narrowband data requests along their border areas with Region 24. However, if an adjacent Region has difficulties satisfying intra-regional requests due to channel allocation within Missouri, this committee pledges to work with that adjacent Region to resolve any issues that might hinder interoperability or reduce any benefit to public safety communications.

6. System Design/Efficiency Requirements

6.1 Interference Protection

The frequency allotment list will be based on an assumption that systems will be engineered on an interference-limited basis, not a noise floor-limited basis. Agencies are expected to design their systems for maximum signal levels within their coverage area and minimum levels in the coverage area of other co-channel users. Coverage area is normally the geographical boundaries of the Agency(s) served plus a three to five mile area beyond.

Systems should be designed for minimum signal strength of $40~dB\mu$ in the system coverage area while minimizing signal power out of the coverage area. TIA/EIA TSB88-A (or latest version) will be used to determine harmful interference assuming $40~dB\mu$, or greater, signal in all systems coverage areas. This may require patterned antennas and extra sites compared to a

design that assumes noise limited coverage. Region 24 complies with National Coordination Committee recommendations listed in Appendix K of the Regional Planning Committee Guidelines published by the National Coordination Committee (NCC).

6.2 Spectrum Efficiency Standards

Initial allotments will be made on the basis of 25 kHz channels. To maximize spectrum utilization, prudent engineering practices and receivers of the highest quality must be used in all systems. Given a choice of radios to choose from in a given technology family, agencies should use the units with the best specifications. This plan will not protect agencies from interference if their systems are under-constructed (ie; areas with the established service area having minimum signal strength below 40 dBu), or the systems utilize low quality receivers. The applicants implementation of prudent engineering practices will be encouraged by the Regional Planning Committee at all times.

It is the eventual goal of the FCC and the public safety community for radio equipment to meet the requirement of one voice channel per 6.25 KHz of spectrum. When applying for channels within Region 24, the applicants should acknowledge the deadline for converting all equipment to 6.25 kHz or 6.25 kHz equivalent technology is 12/31/2016. For narrowband mobile data requests, one mobile data channel will consist of two (2) 6.25 KHz channels/one (1) 12.5 KHz channel. Narrowband 6.25 KHz channels can be aggregated for data use to a maximum bandwidth of 25 KHz. As 6.25 KHz migration evolves, an agency that creates any "orphaned" 6.25 KHz channels should realize that these channels would be allocated to nearby agencies requesting channels to maintain consistent grouping and utilization of 25 KHz blocks within the region. (See Section 6.3)

Region 24 encourages small agencies to partner with other agencies in multi-agency or regional systems as they promote spectrum efficiency and both small and large agency capacity needs can be met. Loading criteria can also be achieved in multi-agency systems that will allow greater throughput for all agencies involved than that which could be achieved individually.

6.3 Orphaned Channels

The narrowband pool allotments with Region 24 will have a channel bandwidth of 25 kHz. These 25 kHz allotments have been characterized as "Technology Neutral" and flexible enough to accommodate multiple technologies utilizing multiple bandwidths. If agencies choose a technology that requires less than 25 kHz channel bandwidth for their system, there is the potential for residual, "orphaned channels" of 6.25 kHz or 12.5 kHz bandwidth immediately adjacent to the assigned channel within a given county area.

An orphan channel may be used at another location within the county area where it was originally approved, if it meets co- and adjacent channel interference criteria. Region 24 will utilize "county areas" as guidelines for channel implementation with the area of Region 24. The definition of "county area" in this plan is the geographical/political boundaries of a given county, plus a distance of up to 10 miles outside of the county.

If the channel, or a portion of a channel, is being moved into a "county area" that is within 30 miles of an adjacent region, Region 24 will receive concurrence from the affected region. By extending the "county area" by a designated distance, it is anticipated this will increase the possibility that orphaned channel remainders will still be able to be utilized within the "county area", and reduce the potential for channel remainders to be forced to lay dormant and used with a county channel allotment. These movements will be documented on the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council CAPRAD database.

If the "orphaned channel" remainder does not meet co-channel and adjacent channel interference criteria by moving it within the "county area" as listed above, and it is determined by the region that the "orphaned channel" cannot be utilized in the region without exceeding the distance described in the "county area" listed above, Region 24 will submit a plan amendment to the FCC to repack the channel to a location where its potential use will maintain maximum spectral efficiency. This FCC plan amendment will require affected region concurrence.

When in the best interest of public safety communications and efficient spectrum use within the Region, the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee shall have the authority to move orphan channel allotments, and/or co-/adjacent-channel allotments affected by the movement of orphan channels, within its "county areas", which are defined above. This is to retain spectrum efficiency and/or minimize co-channel or adjacent channel interference between existing allotments within the region utilizing disparate bandwidths and technologies.

6.4 System Implementation

TV station KSMO, located in Kansas City, Missouri utilizes analog TV channel 62. Channel 62 is adjacent to 700 MHz public safety allocations and the frequency sort in the Kansas City area of Region 24 will include channels that can co-exist with TV channel 62 and channels that cannot to prepare for implementation when the KSMO has left the band. The Region 24 Regional Planning Committee will utilize NCC Implementation Subcommittee documentation titled Appendix L "DTV Transition" that will provide the criteria which will be used, per FCC rules, to protect existing TV stations from land mobile use on 700 MHz public safety channels. All other areas in Region 24 (State of Missouri) are capable of immediately implementing systems using any 700 MHz public safety channels. With no restrictions in implementation due to incumbent co-channel broadcasters in the region, implementation of systems will adhere to guidelines in FCC rule 90.529 (b) and (c). An Agency may file a request with the Regional Chairperson for an extension of time to implement. The request should include all details describing why the agency has not implemented and a new implementation schedule. If necessary, the Regional Chairperson will call a special meeting to determine if the allotment should be extended or if the agency should reapply to the committee for another allotment.

7. Interoperability Channels

7.1 Introduction

The ability for agencies to effectively respond to mutual aid requests directly depends on their ability to communicate with each other. Missouri is subject to many natural disasters and contains regions and facilities, which may be susceptible to a man-made disaster or weapons of mass destruction attack. Mutual aid should be encouraged among agencies. This Plan seeks to facilitate the communications necessary for effective mutual aid.

The State of Missouri will administer the 700 MHz interoperability channels via the State Interoperability Executive Committee (SIEC) under National Coordination Committee's (NCC) guidelines. The Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee will work with the Missouri State Interoperability Executive Committee and three (3) members of the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee will participate in the Missouri State Interoperability Executive Committee (SIEC) and they will represent Region 24. If at any time the State SIEC is unable to function in the role of administering the interoperability channels in the 700 MHz band, then this committee will assume this role and notify the FCC in writing of the change in administrative duties. See the NCC Implementation Subcommittees **Table of Interoperability Channels in Appendix "E"**

7.2 Tactical Channels

Due to the immediate availability of 700 MHz public safety channels in Missouri, Region 24 will not set aside additional channels for interoperability use within the region. It is anticipated the sixty-four FCC designated interoperability channels (6.25 KHz) will be sufficient to provide interoperability (voice and data) within Region 24.

All mobile and portable units operating under this Plan and utilizing 700 MHz channels must be programmed with the minimum number of channels called for either in NCC guidelines or as the Missouri State interoperability Executive Committee specifies. The channel display in these radios will be in accordance with the NCC guidelines that have common alphanumeric nomenclature to avoid any misinterpretation of use within Region 24.

7.3 Deployable Systems

In this Plan, Region 24 strongly supports use of deployable systems, both conventional and trunked. Deployable systems are prepackaged systems that can deploy by ground or air to an incident to provide additional coverage and capacity on designated 700 MHz interoperability channels and/or agency specific General Use Channels. This will minimize the expense of installing extensive fixed infrastructure in areas while still providing mission critical functionalities as the Region recognizes the difficulty of providing complete coverage in all areas due to financial, demographic and geographical constraints.

Agencies should have conventional deployable systems capable of being tuned to any of the FCC designated/NCC recommended interoperability tactical channels. Those agencies that are part of a multi-agency trunked system and commonly provide mutual aid to each other are encouraged to have trunked deployable systems that operate on the tactical channels

designated by the FCC for this use. The SIEC will develop the operational details for deploying these systems.

It is expected that the tactical channels set aside for trunked operation will be heavily used by deployable systems. Therefore, the tactical channels cannot be assigned to augment general use trunked systems.

7.4 Monitoring of Calling Channels

700 MHz licensees will be responsible for monitoring interoperable calling channels. The SIEC will develop operational guidelines for this function. **Appendix E** will include NCC documents that display required Interoperability guidelines.

8. Future Planning

The CAPRAD pre-coordination database has developed channel allotments in each county area within Missouri, including the City of St Louis using criteria such as current population, 2010 Census data, height above average terrain (HAAT) and public safety use curves generated by the Public Safety Wireless Advisory Committee (PSWAC) to provide spectrally efficient frequency allotments.

8.1 Inter-Regional Dispute Resolution Process

In the event that a dispute arises between Region 24 and an adjacent Region or Regions, regarding spectrum allocations or implementation, that cannot be resolved within 60 days, the parties to the dispute will request a hearing by the National Regional Planning Oversight Committee. See Appendix H for details and Inter-Regional Dispute Resolution Agreements signed by adjacent Regions 4,13,15,16,17,26,34, and 39.

9.0 Certification

I hereby certify that all planning committee meetings, including subcommittee or executive committee meetings were open to the public. A summary of the deliberations of the Committee pursuant to adopting this Plan can be found in Appendix E, in the minutes of the January 14, 2003 Regional Planning meeting.

Stephen T. Devine

Chairman, Region 24

Appendices

Appendix A Bylaws

Appendix B Region 24 Members, Agencies, Contact Information and

Voting Status

Appendix C Region 24 (Missouri) Counties

Appendix D List of Meetings, summaries of minutes, agendas

Appendix E 700 MHz Interoperability channel nomenclature

Appendix F NCC 700 MHz Pre-Assignment Rules/Recommendations

Appendix G Region 24 Channel allotments

Appendix H Inter Regional Dispute Resolution Agreement

Appendix I DTV Protection and Incumbency

Appendix A

Bylaws of the 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee-Region 24 (State of Missouri)

Revised September 16, 2002 BYLAWS OF REGION 24

NAME & PURPOSE

1.1 Name and purpose. The name of this Region shall be Region 24-Regional Planning Committee. Its primary purpose is to foster and promote cooperation, planning, development and evolution of Regional Plans and the implementation of these plans in the 700 MHz Public Safety Band within the State of Missouri.

MEMBERS

For purposes of this document, the term "member," unless otherwise specified, refers to both voting and non-voting members.

2.1 Numbers, Election and Qualification. The Regional 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee shall have two classes of members, "voting members" and "non-voting members." New members may be added at annual, special, or regular meetings. Tools to promote participation and involvement in the Region 24 700 MHz Committee in the form of a list-serve and/or regional newsletters will be researched by the committee. The newsletter may be distributed both electronically and in print form.

Voting Members. Voting members shall consist of <u>one</u> (1) representative from any single agency engaged in public safety eligible to hold a license under 47 CFR 90.20, 47 CFR 90.523 or 47 CFR 2.103. Except that a single agency shall be allowed no more than one vote for each distinct eligibility category (e.g. police, fire, EMS, highway) within the agency's organization or political jurisdiction. In voting on any issue, the individual must identify himself/herself and the agency and eligibility category in which he or she represents. **Voting members may not vote on issues involving their entity.**

Non-Voting Members. Non-voting members are all other non-public safety personnel interested in furthering the goals of public safety communications.

- **2.2 Tenure**. In general, each member shall hold MEMBERSHIP from the date of acceptance until resignation or removal.
- **2.3 Powers and Rights.** In addition to such powers and rights as are vested in them by law, or these bylaws, the members shall have such other powers and rights as the membership may determine.
- **2.4 Suspensions and Removal.** A representative may be suspended or removed with cause by vote of a majority of members after reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard. Region 24 will hold at least two (2) meetings in a calendar year. To retain consistent voting rights, members should attend one (1) meeting in a 24-month period. After the date of approval of this Regional Plan by the Federal Communications Commission, all previous attendees are voting members, with the exception of non-voting commercial members. After the acceptance of this Regional Plan, voting members that do not attend one meeting in a 24month period that starts on the date of plan acceptance, will lose Region 24 voting rights for either a 6 month period or when the member attends the next Regional Planning Committee meeting, whichever comes first. Attending a meeting is all that is required to immediately reinstate voting members voting rights. The loss of voting rights does not remove a member from active status; it simply requires attendance at a meeting (Special or Regular) to reinstate voting privileges. The voting limitations of an individual have no effect on the voting ability of a public safety entity. The public safety entity reserves the right to send another representative to vote on issues regarding 700 MHz implementation, or send the original voting representative to the next special or regular meeting.

A vote of the committee is the final determining factor regarding removal a member from Region 24. A period of 6 months from the first day of removal is required before a removed member is eligible for reinstatement for membership in the Regional Planning Committee.

- **2.5 Resignation**. A member may resign by delivering written resignation to the chairman, vice-chairman, treasurer or secretary of the Regional Committee or to a meeting of the members. A resigning member is eligible for reinstatement to the Regional Planning Committee after a period of six months has lapsed, beginning on the first day of resignation.
- 2.6 Meetings. The Region 24 700 MHz Planning Committee will meet no less than two (2) times per calendar year. One meeting in each calendar year may be held in Jefferson City, Missouri. This is centrally located within Region 24 and will provide the maximum opportunity for regional participation. The remaining meeting(s) are to be located in a different city or town within the Region to attract and promote involvement in the committee. Committee meetings will not be held on holidays or weekend days, unless called by the Region 24 Chairperson. At any time and when deemed necessary by the Chairperson, an additional meeting of the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee may be called. Video and/or Audio Teleconferencing may be conducted at meetings to include as many people as possible in the 700 MHz allocation process. The use of electronic E-mail and the Region 24 list-server (RPC24@yahoogroups.com) will be utilized by members and officers of Region 24 as needed to convey regional issues at hand. It should be noted the use of E-mail and/or video-audio teleconferencing does not remove the voting eligibility requirement of the member to attend at least one (1) of the Region 24 annual meetings.

2.7 Special Meetings. The Chairperson has the authority to call a meeting of the Regional Planning Committee when he deems it in the best interest of the Region and will provide notice of the special meeting to existing members of the Region (and the public) at least 5 days prior to the meeting. Special meetings of the members may be held at any time and at any place within the Regional Committee area. Special meetings of the members may be called by the chairman or by the vice-chairman, or in case of death, absence, incapacity, by any other officer or, upon written application of two or more members.

2.8 Call and Notice.

- A. Semi Annual meetings. Reasonable notice of the time and place of scheduled meetings of the members, not being less than 60 days, shall be given to each member. Such notice may specify the purposes of a meeting, but will specify meeting content if required by law or these bylaws or unless there is to be considered at the meeting (i) amendments to these bylaws or (ii) removal or suspension of a member who is an officer. Announcements of meetings, stating the time and place where the meeting is to be held, may be published in newspapers and land mobile radio periodicals. In addition, a press release may be issued, urging parties interested in public safety communications to attend. Region 24 is will notify the Federal Communications Commission, Chief of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, when a meeting time and place has been established for the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee.
- B. **Reasonable and sufficient notice**. Except as otherwise expressly provided, it shall be reasonable and sufficient notice to a member to send notice by mail at least five days or by e-mail/facsimile at least three days before any special meetings, addressed to such member at his or her usual or last known business address, or, to give notice to such member in person or by telephone at least three days before the meeting.

C.

- **2.9 Quorum**. At any meeting of the members, a majority of the officers and a minimum of at least three (3) voting members shall constitute a quorum. Any meeting may be adjourned to such date or dates not more than ninety days after the first session of the meeting by a majority of the votes cast upon the question, whether or not a quorum is present, and the meeting may be held as adjourned without further notice.
- **2.10 Action by Vote**. Each voting member, representing a particular agency (one vote per agency) shall have one vote; non-voting members have no voting rights. When a quorum is present at any meeting, a majority of the votes properly cast by voting members present shall decide any question, including election to any office, unless otherwise provided by law or these bylaws.
- **2.11 Action by Writing**. Any action required or permitted to be taken at any meeting of the members may be taken without a meeting if all members entitled to vote on the matter consent to the action in writing and the written consents are filed with the records of the meetings of the members. **Such consents shall be treated for all purposes as a vote at a meeting.**
- 2.12 Proxies. Voting members may vote either in person or by written proxy dated not more than one month before the meeting named therein, which proxies shall be filed before being noted with the secretary or other person responsible for recording the proceedings of the meeting. A RPC member present via teleconference (audio or video) shall have voting status parallel to a member present at the meeting. If the facility is unable to accommodate teleconferencing (audio or video), or for any other reason teleconferencing cannot be accommodated in the meeting place, it is the responsibility of the member to attend the

- meeting in person or to vote by written proxy to have full voting rights. Unless otherwise specifically limited by their terms, such proxies shall entitle the holders thereof to vote at any adjournment of the meeting for which the proxy exists and the proxy shall terminate after the final adjournment of such meeting.
- 2.13 Voting on One's Own Application. At no time can a voting member vote on his/her application.
- **2.14 Special Interest Voting**. A voting member **cannot** have a commercial interest in any of his/her Region and/or adjacent Region's application(s) on which he/she is reviewing, approving and/or voting.

OFFICERS AND AGENTS

- 3.1 Number and qualification. The officers of the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee shall consist of a chairman, a vice-chairman and a secretary. All officers must be voting members of the Regional Committee.
- **3.2 Election**. The officers shall be elected by the voting members at their first meeting and, thereafter, at a meeting determined by the membership. The terms of the officers in the Region 24 700 MHz RPC will be for two (2) years. In order to allow for consistency in the plan creation and initialization process, the terms of elected officers will begin on the date of the FCC's approval of the Region 24 plan.
- **3.3 Tenure.** The officers shall each hold office until the biannual election meeting of the members held within two years from the adoption of these bylaws, or until their successor, if any, is chosen, or in each case until he or she sooner dies, resigns, is removed or becomes disqualified.
- 3.4 Chairman and Vice Chairman. The chairman shall be the chief executive officer of the Regional Committee and, subject to the control of the voting members, shall have general charge and supervision of the affairs of the Regional Committee. The chairman shall preside at all meetings of the Regional Committee. The Vice Chairman, if any, shall have such duties and powers, as the voting members shall determine. The Vice-Chairman shall have and may exercise all the powers and duties of the chairman during the absence of the chairman or in the event of his or her inability to act.
- 3.5 Treasurer. The treasurer shall be the chief financial officer and the chief accounting officer of the Regional Committee. The treasurer shall be in charge of its financial affairs, funds, and valuable papers and shall keep full and accurate records thereof. In the absence of a treasurer within the Region 24 700 MHz Planning Committee, the Chairperson shall assign Region 24 treasurer duties as deemed necessary.
- **3.6 Secretary.** The secretary shall record and maintain records of all proceedings of the members in a file or series of files kept for that purpose, which file or files shall be kept within the Region and shall be open at all reasonable times to the inspection of any member. Such file or files shall also contain records of all meetings and the original, or attested copies, of bylaws and names of all members and the address (including e-mail address, if available) of each. If the secretary is absent from any meeting of members, a temporary secretary chosen at the meeting shall exercise the duties of the secretary at the meeting. In the absence of a secretary within the Region 24 700 MHz Planning Committee, the Chairperson shall assign Region 24 Secretary duties as deemed necessary.
- **3.7 Suspensions or Removal**. An officer of the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee may be suspended with cause by vote of a majority of the voting members in attendance.

- **3.8 Resignation.** An officer may resign by delivering his or her written resignation to the chairman, vice-chairman, treasurer, or secretary of the Regional Committee. Such resignation shall be effective upon receipt (unless specified to be effective at some other time), and acceptance thereof shall not be necessary to make it effective unless it so states.
- **3.9 Vacancies.** If the office of any officer becomes vacant, the voting members may elect a successor. Each such successor shall hold office for the remainder terms, and in the case of the chairman, vice chairman, treasurer and clerk until his or her successor is elected and qualified, or in each case until he or she sooner dies, resigns, is removed or become disqualified.

AMENDMENTS

These bylaws may be altered, amended or repealed in whole or in part by vote. The voting members may by a two-thirds vote of a quorum, alter, amend, or repeal any bylaws adopted by the Regional Committee members or otherwise adopt, alter, amend or repeal any provision which FCC regulation or these bylaws requires action by the voting members.

DISSOLUTION

This Regional Committee may be dissolved by the consent of two-thirds plus one of an assembled quorum of the membership at a special meeting called for such purpose. The FCC shall be notified.

RULES OF PROCEDURES

The Conduct of Regional Meetings including without limitation, debate and voting, shall be governed by Robert's Rules of Order, newly revised 1990 edition, ninth edition, Sarah Corbin Robert, Henry M. Robert III, and William J. Evans.

Appendix B

Region 24 member list

Non-voting commercial members are listed in **bold text** All members not in bold text are active voting members

June 7, 2000 Jefferson City, Missouri

Attendees

Stephen T. Devine, Patrol Frequency Coordinator, Missouri State Highway Patrol, 800 MHz NPSPAC Chairperson

Michael Redman, Communications Coordinator, St Louis County Police

Ron Shook, Emergency Management Agency, Greene County Missouri

William Cade, Jasper County 911, Jasper County Missouri

Chris Teel, Springfield/Greene County 911, Springfield, Missouri

J.R. Webb, Greene County Missouri Sheriff's Office

James C. Biggerstaff, Director of Radio, Missouri State Highway Patrol

James A. Lundsted, Chief Projects Engineer, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Charles Gastler, Communications Manager, St Louis Metropolitan Police Department

October 5, 2000 Jefferson City, Missouri

Ron Shook, Convenor, Greene County Emergency Management

Stephen T. Devine, (Elected Chairperson at meeting)

J.R. Webb, Greene County Sheriff's Office

Chuck Collins, Springfield/Greene County Emergency Communications Department

Charles Gastler, St Louis Metropolitan Police Department

James C. Biggerstaff, Director of Radio, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Michael Redman, Communications Coordinator, St Louis police

Steve Makky Sr. St Charles County Government

William Cade, Jasper County 911, Jasper County Missouri

Chris Teel, Springfield/Greene County 911

James A. Lundsted, Chief Projects Engineer, Missouri State Highway Patrol

January 11, 2001(St Louis County, Missouri)

Stephen Devine, Missouri State Highway Patrol-Chairperson

Jonathan Chaney, Missouri State Highway Patrol-St Louis

Scott Bigham, Missouri State Highway Patrol-St Louis

Rodney Zerr, St Charles County Emergency Management

Steven Makky Sr. St Charles County Emergency Management

Tom Dollus, Missouri Department of Transportation

Tim Bechler, Central St Louis County Fire Alarm/911

Roger Strope, Chief Projects Engineer, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Richard Stump, Communications Officer, Missouri State Emergency Management Agency

Dan Rowden, Director, St Charles County Department of Dispatch

Sgt. Mike Clinnard, St Peters Police Department

David Wunderlin, Radio Communications Specialists, Joplin, Missouri

William Cade, Jasper County 911, Jasper County, Missouri

Terry Buhr, Motorola

Jon Martin, Motorola

Keith Kemmerline, Motorola

Drew Juden, City of Sikeston, Missouri

Michael Redman, Communications Coordinator, St Louis County Police Department

William Bauer, North St Louis County Fire Alarm,

Tom Kearns, Com-Net Ericsson

Tom Ward, State of Illinois

Kent Forde, Valle Ambulance District, Jefferson County, Missouri

Lt William Harlan, St Louis County Police

Charles Gastler, St Louis Metropolitan Police Department

March 29, 2001 (Springfield, Missouri)

Ron Shook, Greene Co. EMA

J.R. Webb, Greene Co. Sheriff's Dep't.

Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Pete Albera, Motorola C&E, Inc.

Bill Cade, Jasper County E9-1-1

Sharon Murray, Republic Police Department

Steve Sloan, Missouri State Emergency Management Agency

Steve Makky, Sr., St. Charles County Emergency Management

Mike Turner, Central County E9-1-1 (St. Louis Co.)

June 28, 2001 Jefferson City, Missouri

Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson, Missouri State Highway Patrol Charles Gastler, St Louis Metropolitan Police Department

Tom Kearns, MA/COM Wireless

Kurt Rellagert, Motorola Pete Albera, Motorola

J.R. Webb, Greene County Sheriff's Department, Greene County, Missouri Ron Shook, Greene County Emergency Management James C. Biggerstaff, Director of Radio, Missouri State Highway Patrol

September 18, 2001, Branson, Missouri

Stephen T. Devine - MSHP - RPC Chairperson/ Chair Implementation Subcommittee Steve Makky, Sr. - SCCG/ EMA - RPC Secretary/ Chair Technology Subcommittee Mike Turner - Central [St. Louis] County E9-1-1

Terry Buhr - Motorola

Charles Gastler - St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department

Tom Kearns - M/A Com Wireless

J.R. Webb - Greene Co. Sheriff's Office

Roger Strope - Missouri State Highway Patrol

Peter Albera - Motorola

Ed Brundage - Kansas City, Mo. Police Department

Chuck Zang - Kansas City, Mo. Fire Department

David Cerqua - M/A Com Wireless

January 10, 2002 Jefferson City, Missouri

Tom Kearns - M/A Com - kearnsth@tycoelectronics.com

Joe Mancato - M/A Com - e-mail not given

Pete Albera - Motorola - peter.albera@motorola.com

Jon Martin - Motorola - jon.martin@motorola.com

Roger Strope - MSHP - stropr@mshp.state.mo.us

Stephen T. Devine - Chairperson, MSHP - devins@mshp.state.mo.us

Ed Brundage - Kansas City Police Department - ebrundage@kcpd.org

Chuck Zang - Kansas City Fire Department - chuck_zang@kcmo.org

Bob Lawrey - Kansas City Police Department - rlawrey@kcpd.org

Chuck Gastler - St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department - cdgastler@slmpd.org

Jim Lundsted - Missouri Department of Conservation - jlundste@mail.state.mo.us

Steve Makky, Sr. - St. Charles County - smakky@pipeline.com

April 11, 2002 Kansas City, Missouri

Those in attendance:

Stephen T. Devine, MSHP Steven J. Makky, Sr., St. Charles County, Mo

Stephen Richey, Fire Chief, St. Joseph, Mo

Jon Martin, Motorola

Bob Speidel, Tyco M/A Com

sdevine@mail.state.mo.us smakky@pipeline.com srichey@ci.st-joseph.mo.us jon.martin@motorola.com speidelbo@tycoelectronics.com Tom Kearns, Tyco M/A Com

Chuck Zang, Kansas City Fire Dept. Robert Lawrey, Kansas City Police Tom Dailey, Kansas City Police Ed Brundage, Kansas City Police Pat McKenzie, Kansas City Police Jim Nesselrobe, Commenco, Inc. Chad Powelson, Commenco, Inc. kearnsth@tycoelectronics.com

chuck zang@kcmo.org
rlawrey@kcpd.org
tdailey@kcpd.org
ebrundage@kcpd.org
pmckenzie@kcpd.org
ness@commenco.com
chad@commenco.com

September 24, 2002 Jefferson City

Stephen Devine, Chairperson, MSHP Steve Makky, Sr. St Charles County EMA

Richard Stump, MO SEMA

Karen Raines, FCC

Ron Shook, Greene County Tom Kearns, MA/COM Robert Speidel, MA/COM

J.R. Webb

Paul Luttrell, Joplin, Mo

David Gleyana, RCC Consultants

Ed Brundage, KCPD

Bob Lowery

Pete Albera, Motorola

sdevine@mail.state.mo.us smakky@pipeline.com rstump@mail.state.mo.us

kraines@fcc.gov

rshook@greencounty.org kearnsth@tycoelectronics.com speidelbo@tycoelectronics.com jrwebb@ci.springfield.mo.us

pluttrel@joplinmo.org dgelyana@rcc.com ebrundage@kcpd.org rlawrey@kcpd.org

peter.albera@motorola.com

January 14, 2003 Jefferson City, Missouri

Stephen Devine sdevine@mail.state.mo.us MSHP

Steve Makky, Sr. smakky@pipeline.com St Charles County EMA

Roger Strope stropr@mshp.state.mo.us MSHP

Norman Buehre nbuehre@ci.clayton.mo.us City of Clayton Rick Bennett benner1@mail.modot.state.mo.us Missouri DOT diggsj@mail.modot.state.mo.us Missouri DOT

Richard Stump rstump@mail.state.mo.us State Emergency Management J.R. Webb jrwebb@ci.springfield.mo.us City of Springfield, Missouri St Charles County Fire Alarm

Tom Kearnskearnsth@tycoelectronics.comMA/COMSteve Ruskinruskinst@tycoelectronics.comMA/COM

Ed Brundage ebrundage@kcpd.org Kansas City Police Dept Karen Raines kraines@fcc.gov FCC Enforcement Bureau-KC

Drew Juden drewj@sikeston.org City of Sikeston
Reg Swan regswan@jcstel.com JCS Tel Link
Peter Albera peter.albera@motorola.com Motorola
Kurt Rellergert kurtis.rellergert@motorola.com Motorola

Jon Martinjon.martin@motorola.comMotorolaPaul Luttrellpluttrel@joplinmo.orgCity of JoplinRex Capenrcapen@joplinmo.orgCity of JoplinBette Rinehartc18923@email.mot.comMotorola

Tom White ema@undata.com Cooper County, Missouri Ron Shook rshook@greencounty.org Greene County EMA

July 1, 2003, Jefferson City, Missouri

Stephen T. Devine, Chair devins@mshp.state.mo.us **MSHP** stropr@mshp.state.mo.us Roger Strope **MSHP** Tim Raymer raymet@dhss.state.mo.us MO Div of Health-Human Services J.R. Webb jrwebb@ci.springfield.mo.us Springfield-Greene County 911 billh@commenco.com Bill Holcomb Commenco peter.albera@motorola.com Peter Albera Motorola Jon Martin jon.martin@motorola.com Motorola rstump@mail.state.mo.us Richard Stump MO State Emergency Management Karen Raines FCC Kansas City Field Office

Karen Raines <u>kraines@fcc.gov</u> FCC Kansas City I Steve Makky, Sr, Secretary/Vice Chair smakky@ka2otd.org St Charles County

.

Appendix C

List of counties areas within Region 24:

Note: The City of St Louis is the only city in the State of Missouri that is not located within a Missouri county and it has an individual allotment. **Pool channel allotments are allotted by** "County Areas" in Region 24. County areas and their definitions are listed in Section 6.3

Adair

Andrew

Atchison

Audrain

Barry

Barton

Bates

Benton

Bollinger

Boone

Buchanan

Butler

Caldwell

Callaway

Camden

Cape Girardeau

Carroll

Carter

Cass

Cedar

Chariton

Christian

Clark

Clay

Clinton

Cole

Cooper

Crawford

Dade

Dallas

Daviess

Dekalb

Dent

Douglas

Dunklin

Franklin

Gasconade

Gentry

Greene

Grundy

Harrison

Henry

Hickory

Holt

Howard

Howell

Iron

Jackson

Jasper

Jefferson

Johnson

Knox

Laclede

Lafayette

Lawrence

Lewis

Lincoln

Linn

Livingston

Macon

Madison

Maries

Marion

McDonald

Mercer

Miller

Mississippi

Moniteau

Monroe

Montgomery

Morgan

New Madrid

Newton

Nodaway

Oregon

Osage

Ozark

Pemiscot

Perry

Pettis

Phelps

Pike

Platte

Polk

Pulaski

Putnam

Ralls

Randolph

Ray

Reynolds

Ripley

Saline

Schuyler

Scotland

Scott

Shannon

Shelby

St. Charles

St. Louis

St. François

St. Clair

Ste. Genevieve

Stoddard

Stone

Sullivan

Taney

Texas

Vernon

Warren

Washington

Wayne

Webster

Worth Wright

Appendix D

Meeting attendance, agendas and other events where 700MHz information was disseminated.

June 7, 2000 800 MHz NPSPAC Regional Planning Committee meeting in Jefferson City, Missouri

Called by Acting Chairperson of 800 MHz NPSPAC Region Stephen Devine, who is standing in for Mr. John Gerke, as he withdrew from the 800 MHz Chair due to ill health, elected Chairperson of 800 MHz Committee. FCC Wireless Bureau notified.

Meeting topics included discussion of Region 24's status, the appointment of a new Regional Chairperson and a review of national planning requirements. A discussion of the upcoming 700 MHz public safety spectrum was introduced and the Chairperson advised the committee he would be appointing a convener. Letter from Chairperson Stephen T. Devine to Chief of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau dated June 12, 2000 prematurely stated that Stephen Devine was named convener of the 700 MHz Region 24 Planning Committee. This did not allow for enough notice between meeting announcement and initial meeting per FCC issued guidelines.

July 1, 2000

800 MHz Region 24 Chairperson Stephen T. Devine appoints Ron Shook of Emergency Management, Greene County, Missouri Convener of the 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee and sets first meeting date for October 5, thereby allowing 90 days notice of first meeting. FCC Wireless Bureau notified of the appointment of Convener in letter to Chief dated October 6, 2000.

Convener Ron Shook, Greene County, Missouri Emergency Management 940 Booneville Road, Springfield, Missouri 65802

Work phone 417 829 6209

E-mail rshook@greenecountymo.org

August 28, 2000

Missouri State Highway Patrol issues Press release for meeting dated August 28, 2000.

APCO notified of meeting announcement

FCC Wireless Bureau notified of announcement

Missouri State Highway Patrol issues Statewide teletype message announcing 700 MHz committee formation on October 5, 2000.

October 5, 2000

700 MHz Region 24 Planning Committee convened by Ron Shook of Greene County Emergency Management. 700 MHz Meeting began at 1145 hrs CDT. Stephen T. Devine, Missouri State Highway Patrol Frequency Coordinator was elected Chairperson of the 700 MHz Region 24 Planning Committee.

The list of attendees were as follows:

Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson Region 24 800 MHz and Frequency Coordinator-Missouri State Highway Patrol

Michael Redman, St Louis County Police

Ron Shook, Convener, Greene County Emergency Management Agency

William Cade, Jasper County 911

Chris Teel, Springfield/Greene County 911

J.R. Webb, Greene County Sheriff's Office

James C. Biggerstaff, Director of Radio, Missouri State Highway Patrol

James A. Lundsted, Chief Projects Engineer, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Charles A. Gastler-Communications-St Louis Metropolitan Police

Steve Makky Sr. St Charles County Emergency Management

Meeting topics included election of 700 MHz Chairperson and approaching NCC to ask if meeting in the Midwest (St Louis or Kansas City, preferably) See letter to NCC DFO Michael Wilhelm dated June 12, 2000.

January 11, 2001

700 MHz Region 24 meeting schedule for January 11, 2001 in St Louis County Missouri at the St Louis County Emergency Operations Center, 14847 Olive Street Road, Chesterfield, Missouri beginning called to order at 11:30 by Chairperson Devine.

Missouri State Highway Patrol issues news release indicating date, time and meeting agenda of January 11 meeting to news media throughout Missouri and surrounding areas dated November 7, 2000. Copies of news release

faxed to all APCO Frequency Coordinators in Missouri's eight (8) adjacent states on December 13, 2000.

MRT, Radio Resource magazine and the APCO magazine are all notified of the meeting date time and agenda. FCC Wireless Bureau also notified. A list of fire agencies within the St Louis area is obtained and 70 copies of the MSHP news release are faxed to the fire agencies making them aware of the meeting on November 14, 2000

A letter is sent to Region 13 (Southern Illinois) convener T.J. Ward to invite his participation in the meeting as they have begun the convening process and have areas of interest in southern Illinois adjacent to the area of the meeting. Meeting attendee list on file.

Meeting topics include updating NCC information, presentation on 700 MHz band and frequency allotments (Interoperability, State license and General Use) and Regional Plan requirements.

February 2-3, 2001

700 MHz Chairperson Stephen T. Devine gives two presentations at the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency Annual Communications Conference at the Inn at the Grand Glaize Hotel in Lake Ozark, Missouri. Information regarding the 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee in Region 24 was discussed and attendees were invited to the meeting in Springfield, Missouri set for March 29, 2001 and to participate in Region 24 700 MHz meetings.

March 29, 2001

700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Meeting convenes on March 29 in Springfield, Missouri at the Greene County Emergency Management Agency. Attendee list is on file.

Missouri State Highway Patrol issues Tele-type message statewide announced meeting date, time, location and agenda on March 15, 2001.

Meeting topics include sub-committee formation (Interoperability, Implementation and Technology) and Election of Region 24 Committee Secretary.

Subcommittee Chairpersons elected:

Technology – Steve Makky, Sr. St Charles County Emergency Management **Interoperability** – William Cade, Jasper County 911 (Ron Shook, Greene County Emergency Management)

Implementation – Stephen T. Devine, Missouri State Highway Patrol

Email sent to FCC Wireless Bureau and Radio Resource, MRT and APCO Magazine with meeting announcement including date, location and time of meeting.

FCC issues Public Notice (DA 01-343) on Region 24 meeting set for March 29, 2001 on February 13, 2001.

April 16, 2001

Region 24 Chairperson Stephen T. Devine attends the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency Annual Conference at Lake Ozark and attends Region 24 display table providing information on the 700 MHz planning committee that is formed within Missouri. A banner is used to advertise the Committee and questions from conference attendees are answered. The hours of the display table are 8 am through 2 pm.

June 28, 2001

Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee meeting is announced for June 28, 2001 at the Missouri State Highway Patrol Headquarters located at 1510 East Elm, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. Attendee list is on file. Meeting convened at 12:39 PM. Meeting topics included discussed were several documents initiated by the NCC that are before the FCC, particularly the NPSTC request to not allow commercial wireless providers to use high powered base stations in areas of commercial spectrum adjacent to public safety mobile users.

Missouri State Highway Patrol issues a news release announcing date, time and location June 28, 2001 Region 24 700 MHz meeting.

FCC Wireless Bureau issues Public Notice (DA 01-1043) for meeting.

Radio Resource, MRT magazine and APCO magazine post meeting information for June 28 meeting after receiving E-mail from Chairperson.

Other meeting topics include:

NCC standing documents,
Progress reports on NCC status
Region 24 planned use of the NPSTC pre-coordination database
Interference issues
Coordination licensing
Regional funding

July 27, 2001

The list-serve for Region 24 is rpc24@yahoogroups.com

It is a forum for members and other interested parties to exchange 700 MHz public safety information along with updated NCC information. The National Public safety Telecommunications Council created the website for the Region.

September 18, 2001

NPSPAC Region 24 held meetings at the Chateau on the Lake Resort in Branson, Missouri, which is the site of the Missouri APCO Chapter's annual conference. 700 MHz meeting topics included NCC progress updates, information from APCO Intl. National Conference in Salt Lake City which introduced a packing plan for General Use spectrum to be implemented on The NPSTC CAPRAD database.

The packing program establishes an average terrain within a county (or any geographic area) and then determines predicted coverage based on the 40-dBu contours. For co co-channel use, the 40-dBu contours can touch, but not overlap the 5-dBu contour of the co channel "victim" site. County boundaries will be used for coverage determination and terrain will be used for interference contours. This new packing technology is estimated to have a channel gain of five times that of the packing method employed for 800 MHz NPSPAC.

The topic of Canada's movement onto 700 MHz and its effect on states near Line A was discussed as well. The State of New York is leading an effort to intervene and negotiate with the Canadian government. Other issues discussed.

The Missouri State Highway Patrol issued a press release throughout Missouri on this 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee meeting on August 2, 2001

They also issued an announcement on the State Law Enforcement Teletype Network announcing the meeting and inviting all interested parties. Notices regarding this meeting were also posted in Radio Resource magazine, MRT magazine and the APCO Intl. magazine.

The Federal Communications Commissions Wireless Telecommunications Bureau issued a Public Notice (DA 01-1608) on July 6, 2001 announcing this meeting.

Minutes of meeting are on file

Next meeting set for January 10, 2002

January 10, 2002

Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee meeting was held at the Missouri State Highway Patrol General Headquarters in Jefferson City, Missouri on January 10, 2002 and was called to order at 0927 hrs.

An E mail message announcing the meeting for January 10, 2002 was sent to the FCC's WTB on 092701 to the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB), along with the previously mentioned trade publications, however there was no Public Notice issued by the WTB. This could have been due to the September 11 attacks. Topics of the Region 24 700 MHz meeting are as follows:

Acceptance of Bylaws of the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Plan Final Decisions on content of the Regional Plan Development of criteria needed for applicant eligibility

Update on NCC progress and current status of 700 MHz in Missouri along with Broadcaster issues.

Updates on CAPRAD database being developed by National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Minutes of meeting are on file.

Next meeting set for April 11, 2002 in Kansas City, Missouri

April 11, 2002

Region 24 700 MHz Committee meeting was held in Kansas City, Missouri at the Kansas City Police Department Communications Office. FCC Public Notice DA 02-278 issued February 7, 2002. The Meeting minutes are on file. A press release was issued by the State of Missouri indicating the date, time and location of the meeting on January 31, 2002. All major industry periodicals (Radio Resource Magazine, MFT Magazine and APCO-Public Safety Bulletin) were notified and posted the meeting announcement in their publications. Plan updates were discussed, as were anticipated channel allotment parameters for the CAPRAD database general Use channels. Also discussed were FCC designated Interoperability channels and how they would be implemented in the region.

The uncertainty of use for the interoperability data channels was a topic of discussion as was the future use of the 150 KHz channel aggregated data channels. Training for the CAPRAD database will be in June and Steve Makky of St Charles County Emergency Management, Chairman of Technical Region 24 Subcommittee and Stephen Devine, Region 24 Chairperson will attend.

A discussion on the band plan and the location of the reserve channels adjacent to the designated interoperability channels needed for 25 KHz implementation ensued.

A short discussion of the Motorola Greenhouse project and adjacent TV channel 62 provided committee members an update on DTV transition.

Meeting adjourned with next meeting scheduled for

September 24, 2002

700 MHz Regional Committee meeting held at the State Emergency Management Agency at 10 am. Announcements were sent to Radio Resource Magazine, APCO Public Safety Magazine and MRT Magazine as well as the FCC's Wireless Bureau, announcing the meeting. The Missouri State Highway Patrol issued a news release to all the major news media in Missouri with details of the meeting including location, time and content.

There was a discussion on the 700 MHz allotment process and how it would allot channels to each individual county area. It was also decided that the Regional Planning Committee Writing Group would create an allotment for

the committee to review while waiting for the NPSTC CAPRAD channel packing plan was completed.

Wideband data standards were also discussed and several committee members commented on NCC preliminary channel loading figures that would require 180 users per 50 KHz wideband data channel. These figures are preliminary and will probably be revised when better information is available.

A meeting was called for January 10, 2003 at 10 am in the State Emergency Management Agency. This meeting will be to review the Region 24 channel allotment from the CAPRAD/NPSTC packing plan and the packing plan created in by Region 24. A discussion on the benefits of each packing plan will be encouraged.

It is anticipated Regional Planning Committee members will take both plans to their respective regions for review and a second meeting, at the State Emergency Management Agency's Annual Communications Conference at the Tan Tara Resort on February 14, will be held. At this meeting, the Regional Plan will be reviewed in its entirety and the Regional Planning Committee will approve one of the channel allotment plans for Region 24.

January 14, 2003

The Region 24 Regional Planning Committee held a meeting on January 10, 2003 at 10 AM to review two potential channel packing plans for Region 24. The NYSTEC channel packing plan sponsored by the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) was reviewed as was the packing plan created by members of the Region 24 Writing Group. A vote of the members present indicated the Regional Planning Committee overwhelmingly supported the packing plan developed by the Regional Planning Committee as it provided a greater number of channels in the metropolitan areas located within Region 24. The committee decided to vote on the plan they preferred to use in the Region 24 Plan, and voted (unanimously) to implement the Region 24 packing plan and the number of channels indicated on the internally developed plan. The members in attendance also voted in favor of including technical material, in accordance with NCC guidelines, in the final version of the Plan so applicants could review the Region's expectations with regard to expected service area coverage, system contours etc. It was decided at the January 10, 2003 meeting that the Region, under the direction of the Chairperson, should file the Region 24 700 MHz plan with the FCC under Docket 02-378, per the FCC's Public Notice DA 02-3497 dated 12-31-2002.

The FCC issued a public notice on this meeting, DA 02-3195 dated November 19, 2002.

The meeting was adjourned

April 22, 2003

Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee is again represented at the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency Annual Conference at the Lake of the Ozarks April 21-23, 2003. The Regional Chairperson was provided a table in the exhibit area and displayed channel plans, information regarding channel allotments and pamphlets supporting the 700 MHz process in Missouri.

July 1, 2003

Region 24 held a 700 MHz meeting at the State Emergency Management Agency facility in Jefferson City, Missouri on July 1, 2003. Items discussed were:

The approval process for acceptance of the regional plan by the FCC and what was the time frame involved. Chairperson Devine advised the committee on the process of approval, adjacent region concurrence and a time frame to expect before being able to file. The inter-regional dispute resolution form and the purpose for obtaining adjacent state concurrence was discussed and several questions were answered.

Chairperson Devine and Vice Chair Steve Makky discussed the CAPRAD database and its function in assisting regional planning committees in the 700 MHz process. The committee members in attendance had questions regarding the licensing process and channel allotments for their respective county. All information was provided to the satisfaction of the committee.

Chairperson Devine announced that he will make the Committee aware via the rpc24@yahoogroups.com list-serve when the plan has been filed with the FCC.

Appendix E Table of Interoperability Channels

For Specific Uses/Services

16 CHANNEL SETS	DESCRIPTION	LABEL
Channel 23 & 24	General Public Safety Services (secondary trunked)	7TAC58
Channel 103 & 104	General Public Safety Services (secondary trunked)	7TAC62
Channel 183 & 184	General Public Safety Services (secondary trunked)	7TAC66
Channel 263 & 264	General Public Safety Services (secondary trunked)	7TAC70
Channel 39 &40	Calling Channel	7CAL59
Channel 119 & 120	General Public Safety Service	7TAC63
Channel 199 & 200	General Public Safety Service	7TAC67
Channel 279 & 280	Mobile Data	7DAT71
Channel 63 & 64	Emergency Medical Service	7EMS60
Channel 143 & 144	Fire Service	7FIR64
Channel 223 & 224	Law Enforcement Service	7LAW68
Channel 303 & 304	Mobile Repeater	7MOB68
Channel 79 & 80	Emergency Medical Service	7EMS61
Channel 159 & 160	Fire Service	7FIR65
Channel 239 & 240	Law Enforcement Service	7LAW69
Channel 319 & 320	Other Public Service	7TAC73
Channel 657 & 658	General Public Safety Services (secondary trunked)	7TAC74
Channel 737 & 738	General Public Safety Services (secondary trunked)	7TAC78
Channel 817 & 818	General Public Safety Services (secondary	7TAC82

	trunked)	
Channel 897 & 898	General Public Safety Services (secondary	7TAC86
	trunked)	
Channel 681 & 682	Calling Channel	7CAL75
Channel 761 & 762	General Public Safety Service	7TAC79
Channel 841 & 842	General Public Safety Service	7TAC83
Channel 921 & 922	Mobile Data	7DAT87
Channel 641 & 642	Emergency Medical Service	7EMS76
Channel 721 & 742	Fire Service	7FIR80
Channel 801 & 802	Law Enforcement Service	7LAW84
Channel 881 & 882	Mobile Data	7MOB88
Channel 697 & 698	Emergency Medical Service	7EMS77
Channel 777 & 778	Fire Services	7FIR81
Channel 857 & 858	Law Enforcement Service	7LAW85
Channel 937 & 938	Other Public Services	7TAC89

Trunking is permitted on the 10 channel sets indicated in italic

Project 25 Common Air Interface

Interoperability channel parameters

Certain common P25 parameters need to be defined to ensure digital radios operating on the 700 MHz Interoperability Channels can communicate. This is analogous to defining the common CTCSS tone used on NPSPAC analog Interoperability channels.

Network Access Code

In the Project 25 Common Air Interface definition, the Network Access Code is analogous to the use of CTCSS and CDCSS signals in analog radio systems. It is a code transmitted in the pre-amble of the P25 signal and repeated periodically throughout the transmission. Its purpose is to provide selective access to and maintain access to a receiver. It is also used to block nuisance and other co-channel signals. There are up to 4096 of these NAC codes. For ease of migration in other frequency bands, a NAC code table was developed which shows a mapping of CTCSS and CDCSS signals into corresponding NAC codes. Document TIA/EIA TSB102.BAAC contains NAC code table and other Project 25 Common Air Interface Reserve Values.

Use of corresponding NAC code \$293 is required for the 700 MHz Interoperability Channel NAC code.

Talk group ID

In the Project 25 Common Air Interface definition, the Talk group ID on conventional channels is analogous to the use of talk groups in trunking. In order to ensure that all users can communicate, all units should use a common Talk group ID.

Recommendation: Use P25 default value for Talk group ID = \$0001

Manufacturer's ID

The Project 25 Common Air Interface allows the ability to define manufacturer specific functions. In order to ensure that all users can communicate, all units should not use a specific Manufacturer's ID. but should use the default value of \$00.

Message ID

The Project 25 Common Air Interface allows the ability to define specific message functions. In order to ensure that all users can communicate, all units should use the default Message ID for unencrypted messages of \$000000000000000000.

Encryption Algorithm ID and Key ID

The Project 25 Common Air Interface allows the ability to define specific encryption algorithms and encryption keys. In order to ensure that all users can communicate, encryption should not be used on the Interoperability Calling Channels, all units should use the default Algorithm ID for unencrypted messages of \$80 and default Key ID for unencrypted messages 0000. These same defaults may be used for the other Interoperability channels when encryption is not used.

Use of encryption is allowed on the other Interoperability channels. Regional Planning Committees need to define appropriate Message ID, Encryption Algorithm ID, and Encryption Key ID to be used in the encrypted mode on Interoperability channels.

Appendix F NCC 700 MHz Pre-Assignment Rules/Recommendations

Introduction

A process for doing the initial block assignments of 700 MHz channels before details of actual system deployments is required. In this initial phase, there is little actual knowledge of what specific equipment is to be deployed and where the sites will be. As a result, a high level simplified method is proposed to establish guidelines for frequency coordination. When actual systems are deployed, additional details will be known and the system designers will be required to select specific sites and supporting hardware to control interference.

Overview

Assignments will be based on a defined service area of each applicant. For Public Safety entities this will normally be a geographically defined area such as city, county or by a data file consisting of line segments creating a polygon that encloses the defined area.

For co-channel assignments, the $40dB\mu$ contour will be allowed to extend beyond the defined service area by 3 to 5 miles, depending on the type of environment, urban, suburban or low density. The interfering co-channel 5 dB μ will be allowed to touch but not overlap the 40 dB μ contour of the system being evaluated. All contours are (50,50).

For adjacent and alternate channels, the interfering channels $60 \text{ dB}\mu$ will be allowed to touch but not overlap the $40 \text{ dB}\mu$ contour of the system being evaluated. All contours are (50,50).

7.4.1.1 Discussion

The FCC limits the maximum field strength to 40 dB relative to $1\mu V/m$ (customarily denoted as 40 dB μ). It is assumed that this limitation will be applied similarly to the way it is applied in the 821-

824/866/869 MHz band. That is, a 40 dB μ field strength can be deployed up to a defined distance from the edge of the service area, based on the size of the service area or type of applicant, i.e. city, county or statewide system. This is important as the potential for interference from CMRS infrastructure demands that public safety systems have adequate margins for reliability in the presence of interference. The value of 40 dB μ corresponds to a signal of -92.7 dBm, received by a half-wavelength dipole (λ /2) antenna. The thermal noise floor for a 6.25 kHz receiver would be in the range of -126 dBm, so there is a margin of approximately 33 dB available for "noise limited" reliability. Figure 1 shows show the various interfering sources and how they accumulate to form a composite noise floor that can be used to determine the "reliability" or probability of achieving the desired performance in the presence of various interfering sources with differing characteristics.

Allowing for a 3 dB reduction in the available margin due to CMRS OOBE noise lowers the reliability and/or the channel performance of Public Safety systems. TIA TR8 made this allowance during the meetings in Mesa, AZ, January 2001. In addition, there are various channel bandwidths with different performance criteria and unknown adjacent and alternate channel assignments need to be accounted for. The co-channel and adjacent/alternate sources are shown in the right hand side of Figure 1. There would be a single co-channel source, but potentially several adjacent or alternate channel sources involved.




Figure 1 - Interfering Sources Create A "Noise" Level Influencing Reliability

It is recommended that co-channel assignments limit the C/I at the edge (worst case mile) be sufficient to limit that interference to <1%. A C/I ratio of 26.4 dB plus the required capture value required to achieve this goal.. A 17 - 20 dB C/N is required to achieve channel performance. Table 1 shows estimated performance considering the 3 dB noise floor rise at the 40 dB μ signal level. Performance varies due to the different Cf/N requirements of the different modulations and channel bandwidths. These values are appropriate for a mobile on the street, but are considerably short to provide reliable communications to portables inside buildings.

Comparison of Joint Reliability for various configurations				
Channel Bandwidth	6.25 kHz	12.5 kHz	12.5 kHz	25.0 kHz
Receiver ENBW (kHz)	6	6	9	18
Noise Figure(10 dB)	10	10	10	10
Receiver Noise Floor (dBm)	-126.22	-126.22	-124.46	-121.45
Rise in Noise Floor (dB)	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
New Receiver Noise Floor (dB)	-123.22	-123.22	-121.46	-118.45
40 dBu = -92.7 dBm	-92.7	-92.7	-92.7	-92.7
Receiver Capture (dB)	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0
Noise Margin (dB)	30.52	30.52	28.76	25.75
C/N Required for DAQ = 3	17.0	17.0	18.0	20.0
C/N Margin (dB)	13.52	13.52	10.76	5.75
Standard deviation (8 dB)	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0
Z	1.690	1.690	1.345	0.718
Noise Reliability (%)	95.45%	95.45%	91.06%	76.37%
C/I for <1% prob of capture	36.4	36.4	36.4	36.4
I (dBu)	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7
I (dBm)	-129.0	-129.0	-129.0	-129.0
Joint Probability (C & I)	94.2%	94.2%	90.4%	75.8%

Table 1 Joint Probability For Project 25, 700 MHz Equipment Configurations.

To analyze the impact of requiring portable in building coverage, several scenarios are presented. The different scenarios involve a given separation from the desired sites. Then the impact of simulcast is included to show that the $40~dB\mu$ must be able to fall outside the edge of the service area. From the analysis, recommendations of how far the $40~dB\mu$ extensions should be allowed to occur are made.

Table 2 Estimates urban coverage where simulcast is required to achieve the desired portable in building coverage. Several assumptions are required to use this estimate.

- Distance from the location to each site. Equal distance is assumed.
- CMRS noise is reduced when entering buildings. This is not a guarantee as the type of deployments is unknown. It is possible that CMRS units may have transmitters inside buildings. This could be potentially a large contributor unless the CMRS OOBE is suppressed to TIA's most recent recommendation and the "site isolation" is maintained at 65 dB minimum.
- The 40 dBµ is allowed to extend beyond the edge of the service area boundary.
- Other configurations may be deployed utilizing additional sites, lower tower heights, lower ERP and shorter site separations.

Estimated Performance at 2.5 miles from each site						
Channel Bandwidth 6.25 kHz 12.5 kHz 12.5 kHz 25.0 kHz						
Receiver Noise Floor (dBm)	-126.20	-126.20	-124.50	-118.50		
Signal at 2.5 miles (dBm)	-72.7	-72.7	-72.7	-72.7		
Margin (dB)	53.50	53.50	51.80	45.80		
C/N Required for $DAQ = 3$	17.0	17.0	18.0	20.0		
Building Loss (dB)	20	20	20	20		
Antenna Loss (dBd)	8	8	8	8		

Reliability Margin	8.50	8.50	5.80	-2.20
Z	1.0625	1.0625	0.725	-0.275
Single Site Noise Reliability	85.60%	85.60%	76.58%	39.17%
(%)				
Simulcast with 2 sites	97.93%	97.93%	94.51%	62.99%
Simulcast with 3 sites	99.70%	99.70%	98.71%	77.49%
Simulcast with 4 sites	99.96%	99.96%	99.70%	86.30%

Table 2, Estimated Performance From Site(s) 2.5 Miles From Typical Urban Buildings.

Table 2 shows for the example case of 2.5 miles that simulcast is required to achieve public safety levels of reliability. The difference in performance margin requirements would require more sites and closer site-to-site separation for wider bandwidth channels.

Figures 2 and 3 show how the configurations would potentially be deployed for a typical site with 240 Watts ERP. This is based on:

• 75 Watt transmitter, 18.75 dBW

• 200 foot tower

• 10 dBd 180 degree sector antenna +10.0 dBd

5 dB of cable/filter loss. <u>- 5.0 dB</u>

 $23.75 \text{ dBW} \approx 240 \text{ Watts (ERPd)}$




Figure 2 - Field Strength From Left Most Site.




Figure 3 - Antenna Configuration Required To Limit Field Strength Off "Backside"

Figure 2 is for an urbanized area with a jurisdiction of a 5-mile circle. To provide the necessary coverage to portables in buildings at the center of the jurisdiction requires that the sites be placed along the edge of the service area utilizing direction antennas oriented toward the center of the service area (Figure 3). In this case, at 5 miles beyond the edge of the service area, the sites would produce composite field strength of approximately 40 dBμ. Since one site is over 10 dB dominant, the contribution from the other site is not considered. The control of the field strength behind the site relies on a 20 dB antenna with a Front to Back Ratio (F/B) specification as shown in Figure 3. This performance may be optimistic due to backscatter off local obstructions in urbanized areas. However, use of antennas on the sides of buildings can assist in achieving better F/B ratios and the initial planning is not precise enough to prohibit using the full 20 dB.

The use of a single site at the center of the service area is not normally practical. To provide the necessary signal strength at the edge of the service area would produce field strength 5 miles beyond in excess of 44 dB μ . However, if the high loss buildings were concentrated at the service area's center, then potentially a single site could be deployed, assuming that the building loss sufficiently decreases near the edge of the service area allowing a reduction in ERP to achieve the desired reliability.

The down tilting of antennas to control the $40 \text{ dB}\mu$ is not practical as the difference in angular discrimination from a 200-foot tall tower at 2.5 miles and 10 miles is approximately 0.6 degrees.

Tables 3 and 4 represent the same configuration, but for less dense buildings. In these cases, the distance to extend the 40 dBm can be determined from Table Z. Recommendations are made in Table 6.

Estimated Performance at 3.5 miles from each site					
Channel Bandwidth	6.25 kHz	12.5 kHz	12.5 kHz	25.0 kHz	
Receiver Noise Floor (dBm)	-126.20	-126.20	-124.50	-118.50	
Signal at 2.5 miles (dBm)	-77.7	-77.7	-77.7	-77.7	
Margin (dB)	48.50	48.50	46.80	40.80	
C/N Required for DAQ = 3	17.0	17.0	18.0	20.0	
Building Loss (dB)	15	15	15	15	
Antenna Loss (dBd)	8	8	8	8	
Reliability Margin	8.50	8.50	5.80	-2.20	
Z	1.0625	1.0625	0.725	-0.275	
Single Site Noise Reliability (%)	85.60%	85.60%	76.58%	39.17%	
Simulcast with 2 sites	97.93%	97.93%	94.51%	62.99%	
Simulcast with 3 sites	99.70%	99.70%	98.71%	77.49%	
Simulcast with 4 sites	99.96%	99.96%	99.70%	86.30%	

Table 3 - Lower Loss Buildings, 3.5 Mile From Site(s)

Estimated Performance at 5.0 miles from each site					
Channel Bandwidth	6.25 kHz	12.5 kHz	12.5 kHz	25.0 kHz	
Receiver Noise Floor (dBm)	-126.20	-126.20	-124.50	-118.50	
Signal at 2.5 miles (dBm)	-82.7	-82.7	-82.7	-82.7	
Margin (dB)	43.50	43.50	41.80	35.80	
C/N Required for DAQ = 3	17.0	17.0	18.0	20.0	
Building Loss (dB)	10	10	10	10	
Antenna Loss (dBd)	8	8	8	8	
Reliability Margin	8.50	8.50	5.80	-2.20	
Z	1.0625	1.0625	0.725	-0.275	
Single Site Noise Reliability (%)	85.60%	85.60%	76.58%	39.17%	
Simulcast with 2 sites	97.93%	97.93%	94.51%	62.99%	
Simulcast with 3 sites	99.70%	99.70%	98.71%	77.49%	
Simulcast with 4 sites	99.96%	99.96%	99.70%	86.30%	

Table 4 - Low Loss Buildings, 5.0 Miles From Site(s)

Note that the receive signals were adjusted to offset the lowered building penetration loss. This produces the same numerical reliability results, but allows increasing the site to building separation and this in turn lowers the magnitude of the "overshoot" across the service area.

Table 5 shows the field strength for a direct path and for a path reduced by a 20 dB F/B antenna. This allows the analysis to be simplified for the specific example being discussed.

Overshoot Distance (mi)	Field Strength	20 dB F/B
	(dBµ)	(dBµ)
1	73.3	53.3
2	63.3	43.3
2.5	60.1	40.1
3	57.5	37.5
4	53.3	33.5
5	50.1	30.1
•••	•••	
10	40.1	
11	38.4	
12	37.5	
13	36.0	
14	34.5	
15	33.0	

Table 5 - Field Strength Vs. Distance From Site

This allows the overshoot to be 11 miles so the extension of the 40 dbm can be 4 miles for suburbanized territory. For the more rural territory, the limit is the signal strength off the back of the antenna. So the result is that for various types of urbanized areas the offset of the 40 dbm should be:

Type of Area	Extension (mi.)
Urban (20 dB Buildings)	5
Suburban (15 dB	4
Buildings)	
Rural (10 dB Buildings)	3

Table 6 - Recommended Extension Distance Of 40 Dbµ Field Strength

The $40~dB\mu$ can then be constructed based on the defined service area without having to perform an actual prediction. Since the $40~dB\mu$ is beyond the edge of the service area, some relaxation in the level of I is reasonable. Therefore a 35 dB ration is recommended and is consistent with what is currently being licensed in the 821-824/866-869~MHz Public Safety band.

Co-Channel Recommendation

- Allow the constructed 40 dB μ (50,50) to extend beyond the edge of the defined service area by the distance indicated in Table 6.
- Allow the Interfering 5 dBμ (50,50) to intercept but not overlap the 40 dBμ contour.




Figure 4 - Co-Channel Reuse Criterion

Adjacent and alternate Channel Considerations

Adjacent and alternate channels are treated as being noise sources that alter the composite noise floor of a victim receiver. Using the 47 CFR § 90.543 values of ACCP can facilitate the coordination of adjacent and alternate channels. The C/I requirements for <1% interference can be reduced by the value of ACCPR. For example to achieve an X dB C/I for the adjacent channel that is -40 dBc a C/I of [X-40] dB is required. Where the alternate channel ACP value is -60 dBc, then the C/I = [X-60] dB is the goal for assignment(s). There is a compounding of interference energy, as there are numerous sources, i.e. co channel, adjacent channels and alternate channels plus the noise from CMRS OOBE.

There is insufficient information in 47 CFR § 90.543 to include the actual receiver performance. Receivers typically have "skirts" that allow energy outside the bandwidth of interest to be received. In addition, the FCC defines ACCP differently than does the TIA. The term used by the FCC is the same as the TIA definition of ACP. The subtle difference is that ACCP defines the energy intercepted by a defined receiver filter. ACP defines the energy in a measured bandwidth that is typically wider than the receiver. As a result, the FCC values are optimistic at very close spacing and somewhat pessimistic at wider spacing, as the typical receiver filter is less than the channel bandwidth.

In addition, as a channel bandwidth is increased, the total noise is allowed to rise, as it is initially defined in a 6.25 kHz channel bandwidth. However, the effect is diminished at very close spacing as the noise is rapidly falling off. At greater spacing, the noise is essentially flat and the receiver's filter limits the noise to the specified 3 dB rise in the thermal noise floor.

Digital receivers tend to be less tolerant to interference than analog. Therefore a 3 dB reduction in the C/(I+N) can reduce a DAQ=3 to a DAQ=2 which is threshold to complete receiver muting. Therefore at least 17 dB plus the margin for keeping the interference below 1% probability requires a total margin of 43.4 dB. However, this margin would be at the edge of the service area and the 40 dB μ is allowed to extend past the edge of the service area.

Frequency drift is controlled by the FCC requirement for 0.4-ppm stability when locked. This equates to approximately a 1 dB standard deviation, which is negligible when associated with the recommended initial lognormal standard deviation of 8 dB and can be ignored.

Project 25 requires that a transceiver receiver have an ACIPR of 60 dB. This implies that an ACCPR \geq 65 dB will exist for a "companion receiver". A companion receiver is one that is designed for the specific modulation. At this time the highest likelihood is that receivers will be deploying the following receiver bandwidths at the following channel bandwidths.

Estimated Receiver Parameters				
Channel Bandwidth Receiver Bandwidth				
6.25 kHz 5.5 kHz				
12.5 kHz 5.5 or 9 kHz				
25 kHz	18.0 kHz			

Table 7 - Estimated Receiver Parameters

Based on 47 CFR ¶ 90.543 and the P25 requirement for an ACCPR \geq 65 dB into a 6.0 kHz channel bandwidth and leaving room for a migration from Phase 1 to Phase 2, allows for making the simplifying assumption that 65 dB ACCPR is available for both adjacent 25 kHz block.




Figure 5, Potential Frequency Separations

Base initial (presorts) on 25 kHz channels. This provides the maximum flexibility by using 65 dB ACCPR for all but one possible combination of 6.25 kHz channels within the 25 kHz allotment.

Case	ACCPR
25 kHz	65 dB
18.725 kHz	65 dB
15.625 kHz	>40 dB
12.5 kHz	65 dB
9.375 kHz	>40 dB
6.25 kHz	65 dB

Table 8 - ACCPR Values For Potential Frequency Separations

All cases meet or exceed the FCC requirement. The most troublesome cases occur where the wider bandwidths are working against a Phase 2 narrowband 6.25 kHz channel. If system designers keep this consideration in mind and move the edge 6.25 kHz channels inward on their own systems, then a constant value of 65 dB ACCPR can be applied across all 25 kHz channels regardless of what is eventually deployed.

For other blocks, it must be assumed that transmitter filtering in addition to transmitter performance improvements with greater frequency separation will further reduce the ACCPR.

Therefore it is recommended that a consistent value of 65 dB ACCPR be used for coordinating adjacent 25 kHz channel blocks. Rounding to be conservative due to the possibility of multiple sources allows the "l" contour to be approximately 20 dB above the 40 dB μ contour, 60 dB μ .




Figure 6 - Adjusted Adjacent 25 kHz Channel Interfering Contour Value

An adjacent Interfering (25 kHz) channel shall be allowed to have its 60 dB μ (50,50) contour touch but not overlap the 40 dB μ (50,50) contour of a system being evaluated. Evaluations should be made in both directions.




Figure 7 - Example Of Adjacent/Alternate Overlap Criterion

This simple method is only adequate for presorting large blocks to potential entities. A more detailed analysis should be executed in the actual design phase to take all the issues into consideration. Additional factors that should be considered include:

- Degree of Service Area Overlap
- Different size of Service Areas
- Different ERP's and HAAT's
- Actual Terrain and Land Usage
- Differing User Reliability Requirements
- Migration from Project 25 Phase 1 to Phase 2
- Actual ACCP
- Balanced Systems
- Mobiles vs. Portables
- Use of voting
- Use of simulcast
- Radio specifications
- Simplex Operation
- Future unidentified requirements.

Special attention needs to be paid to the use of simplex operation. In this case, an interferer can be on an offset adjacent channel and in extremely close proximity to the victim receiver. This is especially critical in public safety where simplex operations are frequently used at a fire scene or during police operation. This type operation is also quite common in the lower frequency bands. In those cases, evaluation of base-to-base as well as mobile-to-mobile interference should be considered and evaluated.

Carrier to Interference Requirements

There are two different ways that interference is considered.

- Co Channel
- Adjacent and Alternate Channels

Both involve using a C/I ratio. The C/I ratio requires a probability be assigned. For example, a 10% Interference is specified; the C/I implies 90% probability of successfully achieving the desired ratio. At 1% interference, means that there is a 99% probability of achieving the desired C/I.

$$\frac{C}{I}\% = \frac{1}{2} \bullet erfc \left(\frac{\frac{C}{I} \text{ margin}}{2\sigma} \right)$$
 (1)

This can also be written in a form using the standard deviate unit (Z). In this case the Z for the desired probability of achieving the C/I is entered. For example, for a 90% probability of achieving the necessary C/I, Z = 1.28.

$$\frac{C}{I}\% = Z \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \sigma \tag{2}$$

The most common requirements for several typical lognormal standard deviations (σ) are included in the following table based on Equation (2).

Location Standard Deviation (σ) dB	5.6	6.5	8	10
Probability %				
10%	10.14 dB	11.77 dB	14.48 dB	18.10 dB
5%	13.07 dB	15.17 dB	18.67 dB	23.33 dB
4%	13.86 dB	16.09 dB	19.81 dB	24.76 dB
3%	14.90 dB	17.29 dB	21.28 dB	26.20 dB
2%	16.27 dB	18.88 dB	23.24 dB	29.04 dB
1%	18.45 dB	21.42 dB	26.36 dB	32.95 dB

Table A1 - Probability Of Not Achieving C/I For Various Location Lognormal Standard Deviations




Figure A1, Probability Of Achieving Required C/I As A Function Of Location Standard Deviation

For co-channel the margin needs to include the "capture" requirement. When this is done, then a 1% probability of co channel interference can be rephrased to mean, there is a 99% probability that the "capture ratio" will be achieved. The capture ratio varies with the type of modulation. Older analog equipment has a capture ratio of approximately 7 dB. Project 25 FDMA is specified at 9 dB. Figure A1 shows the C/I requirement without including the capture requirement.

The 8 dB values for lognormal location standard deviation is reasonable when little information is available. Later when a detailed design is required, additional details and high-resolution terrain and land usage databases will allow a lower value to be used. The TIA recommended value is 5.6 dB. This provides the additional flexibility necessary to complete the design

To determine the desired probability that both the C/N and C/I will be achieved requires that a joint probability be determined. Figure A2 shows the effects of a family of various levels of C/N reliability and the joint probability (Y-axis) in the presence of various probabilities of Interference. Note that at 99% reliability with 1% interference (X-axis) that the reduction is nearly the difference. This is because the very high noise reliability is degraded by the interference, as there is little probability that the noise criterion will not be satisfied. At 90%, the 1% interference has a greater likelihood that it will occur simultaneously when the noise criterion not being met, resulting is a less degradation of the 90%




Figure A2 - Effect Of Joint Probability On The Composite Probability

For adjacent and alternate channels, the channel performance requirement must be added to the C/I ratio. When this is applied, then a 1% probability of adjacent/alternate channel interference can be rephrased to mean, there is a 99% probability that the "channel performance ratio" will be achieved.

Appendix G

The Region 24 Channel allocations have been established by the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council's channel packing program. Region 24 anticipates an open filing window where applicants can apply for available channels in their county area. A "County Area" is defined as an area consisting of the area within the county as well as a distance of up to 10 miles outside of the county. It is anticipated this extended county area will enable Region 24 to maximize channel re-use of any "orphan" remainders.

04/21/03	Region 24 - Missouri	
	Channel Allotments by Class	

General Use

FCC Channel Mobile Base Number County area Band Frequency Frequency Notation Adair Voice 25KHz 17-20 764.11250 794.11250 Voice 25KHz 57-60 764.36250 794.36250 Voice 25KHz 125-128 764.78750 794.78750 Voice 25KHz 177-180 765.11250 795.11250 Voice 25KHz 281-284 765.76250 795.76250 Voice 25KHz 329-332 766.06250 796.06250 Voice 25KHz 766.41250 796.41250 385-388 Voice 25KHz 437-440 766.73750 796.73750 Voice 25KHz 477-480 766.98750 796.98750 Voice 25KHz 501-504 773.13750 803.13750 Voice 25KHz 577-580 773.61250 803.61250 773.86250 Voice 25KHz 617-620 803.86250 Voice 25KHz 709-712 774.43750 804.43750 Voice 25KHz 793-796 774.96250 804.96250 Voice 25KHz 861-864 775.38750 805.38750 Voice 25KHz 913-916 775.71250 805.71250 Data 50KHz 67 770.32500 800.32500 Data 50KHz 68 770.37500 800.37500 Data 50KHz 69 770.42500 800.42500 Voice 25KHz 93-96 764.58750 Andrew 794.58750 Voice 25KHz 173-176 765.08750 795.08750 Voice 25KHz 795.76250 281-284 765.76250 Voice 25KHz 353-356 766.21250 796.21250 Voice 25KHz 397-400 766.48750 796.48750 437-440 Voice 25KHz 766.73750 796.73750

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	597-600 673-676 797-800 861-864 945-948 43 44 45 88	773.73750 774.21250 774.98750 775.38750 775.91250 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500 771.37500 771.42500 771.47500	803.73750 804.21250 804.98750 805.38750 805.91250 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500
Atchison	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	341-344 385-388 509-512 589-592 717-720 825-828 61 62	766.13750 766.41250 773.18750 773.68750 774.48750 775.16250 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500	796.13750 796.41250 803.18750 803.68750 804.48750 805.16250 800.02500 800.07500
Audrain	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	97-100 245-248 329-332 421-424 465-468 513-516 617-620 705-708 821-824 901-904 67 68 69 85 86	764.61250 765.53750 766.06250 766.63750 766.91250 773.21250 773.86250 774.41250 775.13750 775.63750 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	794.61250 795.53750 796.06250 796.63750 796.91250 803.21250 803.86250 804.41250 805.13750 805.63750 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Barry	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	49-52 169-172 289-292 357-360 473-476 481-484 537-540 625-628 901-904 941-944 31 32 33 70 71 72	764.31250 765.06250 765.81250 766.23750 766.96250 773.01250 773.36250 773.91250 775.63750 775.88750 768.52500 768.52500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	794.31250 795.06250 795.81250 796.23750 796.96250 803.01250 803.36250 803.91250 805.63750 805.88750 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500 800.47500 800.57500

Barton	Voice 25KHz	125-128	764.78750	794.78750	
	Voice 25KHz	321-324	766.01250	796.01250	
	Voice 25KHz	369-372	766.31250	796.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	513-516	773.21250	803.21250	
	Voice 25KHz				a
		569-572	773.56250	803.56250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	701-704	774.38750	804.38750	
	Voice 25KHz	705-708	774.41250	804.41250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	789-792	774.93750	804.93750	
	Data 50KHz	64	770.17500	800.17500	
	Data 50KHz	65	770.22500	800.22500	
	Data 50KHz	66	770.27500	800.27500	
	Data 50KHz	79	770.92500	800.92500	
	Data 50KHz	80	770.97500	800.97500	
	Data 50KHz	81	771.02500	801.02500	
Bates	Voice 25KHz	89-92	764.56250	794.56250	
	Voice 25KHz	325-328	766.03750	796.03750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	333-336	766.08750	796.08750	
	Voice 25KHz	377-380	766.36250	796.36250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	417-420	766.61250	796.61250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	465-468	766.91250	796.91250	Campua
	Voice 25KHz				Campus
		473-476	766.96250	796.96250	
	Voice 25KHz	509-512	773.18750	803.18750	~
	Voice 25KHz	521-524	773.26250	803.26250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	633-636	773.96250	803.96250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	677-680	774.23750	804.23750	
	Voice 25KHz	717-720	774.48750	804.48750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	741-744	774.63750	804.63750	
	Voice 25KHz	821-824	775.13750	805.13750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	833-836	775.21250	805.21250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	869-872	775.43750	805.43750	
	Voice 25KHz	909-912	775.68750	805.68750	
	Data 50KHz	61	770.02500	800.02500	
	Data 50KHz	62	770.07500	800.07500	
	Data 50KHz	63	770.12500	800.12500	
Benton	Voice 25KHz	81-84	764.51250	794.51250	
Delicon	Voice 25KHz	257-260	765.61250	795.61250	
	Voice 25KHz	297-300	765.86250	795.86250	
	Voice 25KHz	341-344	766.13750	796.13750	
	Voice 25KHz	345-348	766.16250	796.13750	Compus
					Campus
	Voice 25KHz	369-372	766.31250	796.31250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	381-384	766.38750	796.38750	
	Voice 25KHz	425-428	766.66250	796.66250	_
	Voice 25KHz	477-480	766.98750	796.98750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	497-500	773.11250	803.11250	
	Voice 25KHz	501-504	773.13750	803.13750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	541-544	773.38750	803.38750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	565-568	773.53750	803.53750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	569-572	773.56250	803.56250	
	Voice 25KHz	785-788	774.91250	804.91250	Campus
	Data 50KHz	31	768.52500	798.52500	
	Data 50KHz	32	768.57500	798.57500	
	Data 50KHz	33	768.62500	798.62500	
Bollinger	Voice 25KHz	41-44	764.26250	794.26250	

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	177-180 357-360 517-520 829-832 61 62 63 76 77	765.11250 766.23750 773.23750 775.18750 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500 770.77500 770.82500 770.87500	795.11250 796.23750 803.23750 805.18750 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500
Boone	Voice 25KHz	41-44 81-84 137-140 177-180 217-220 257-260 297-300 349-352 389-392 437-440 477-480 501-504 549-552 625-628 665-668 713-716 785-788 833-836 873-876 913-916 49 50 51 85 86 87	764.26250 764.86250 764.86250 765.11250 765.36250 765.61250 765.86250 766.18750 766.43750 766.98750 773.13750 773.43750 773.91250 774.16250 774.46250 774.91250 775.21250 775.21250 775.71250 769.42500 769.42500 771.22500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	794.26250 794.51250 794.86250 795.11250 795.36250 795.61250 795.86250 796.18750 796.73750 796.73750 803.13750 803.43750 803.43750 804.16250 804.46250 804.91250 804.91250 805.21250 805.21250 805.46250 805.71250 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Buchanan	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	121-124 161-164 201-204 253-256 333-336 389-392 473-476 497-500 537-540 577-580 633-636 749-752 789-792 913-916 31 32 33 40	764.76250 765.01250 765.26250 765.58750 766.08750 766.43750 766.96250 773.11250 773.36250 773.61250 773.96250 774.68750 774.93750 775.71250 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500 768.97500	794.76250 795.01250 795.26250 795.58750 796.08750 796.43750 796.96250 803.11250 803.36250 803.61250 803.96250 804.68750 804.93750 804.93750 798.52500 798.57500 798.57500

	Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	41 42 70 71 72	769.02500 769.07500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	799.02500 799.07500 800.47500 800.52500 800.57500	
Butler	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	45-48 133-136 201-204 249-252 345-348 445-448 501-504 545-548 609-612 713-716 785-788 833-836 905-908 43 44 45 88 89 90	764.28750 764.83750 765.26250 765.56250 766.16250 766.78750 773.13750 773.41250 774.46250 774.91250 775.21250 775.66250 769.12500 769.12500 769.12500 771.37500 771.42500 771.47500	794.28750 794.83750 795.26250 795.56250 796.16250 796.78750 803.13750 803.41250 804.46250 804.91250 805.21250 805.66250 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500	
Caldwell	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	89-92 349-352 393-396 465-468 489-492 553-556 557-560 613-616 781-784 909-912 941-944 40 41 42 58 59 60	764.56250 766.18750 766.46250 766.91250 773.06250 773.46250 773.48750 774.88750 775.68750 775.88750 768.97500 769.02500 769.02500 769.87500 769.92500 769.97500	794.56250 796.18750 796.46250 796.91250 803.06250 803.46250 803.48750 803.83750 804.88750 805.68750 805.88750 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.92500 799.97500	Campus Campus Campus
Callaway	Voice 25KHz	17-20 89-92 165-168 209-212 321-324 369-372 457-460 481-484 521-524 565-568 609-612 677-680	764.11250 764.56250 765.03750 765.31250 766.01250 766.31250 766.86250 773.01250 773.26250 773.53750 773.81250 774.23750	794.11250 794.56250 795.03750 795.31250 796.01250 796.31250 796.86250 803.01250 803.26250 803.53750 803.81250 804.23750	

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	753-756 793-796 40 41 42 58 59	774.71250 774.96250 768.97500 769.02500 769.07500 769.87500 769.92500 769.97500	804.71250 804.96250 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.87500 799.92500 799.97500
Camden	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	13-16 177-180 217-220 333-336 389-392 441-444 489-492 549-552 601-604 661-664 821-824 861-864 909-912 34 35 36 67 68 69 85 86 87	764.08750 765.11250 765.36250 766.08750 766.43750 766.76250 773.06250 773.76250 774.13750 775.13750 775.68750 768.67500 768.77500 770.32500 770.32500 770.32500 771.22500 771.22500 771.32500	794.08750 795.11250 795.36250 796.08750 796.43750 796.76250 803.06250 803.43750 805.13750 805.38750 805.68750 798.67500 798.72500 798.77500 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500 801.22500 801.32500
Cape Girardeau	Voice 25KHz	81-84 121-124 165-168 205-208 253-256 321-324 369-372 409-412 449-452 489-492 529-532 569-572 621-624 717-720 757-760 821-824 869-872 917-920 34 35 36 55 56	764.51250 764.76250 765.03750 765.28750 765.58750 766.01250 766.31250 766.56250 773.06250 773.31250 773.56250 773.88750 774.48750 774.73750 775.13750 775.13750 775.73750 768.67500 768.72500 769.72500 769.77500	794.51250 794.76250 795.03750 795.28750 795.58750 796.01250 796.31250 796.56250 803.06250 803.31250 803.56250 803.88750 804.48750 804.73750 805.13750 805.73750 798.67500 798.72500 799.72500 799.77500

	Data 50KHz	57	769.82500	799.82500	
Carroll	Voice 25KHz	165-168	765.03750	795.03750	
Calloll	Voice 25KHz	213-216	765.33750	795.33750	
	Voice 25KHz	353-356	766.21250	796.21250	
	Voice 25KHz	409-412	766.56250	796.56250	
	Voice 25KHz	521-524	773.26250	803.26250	
	Voice 25KHz	569-572	773.26250	803.20250	
	Voice 25KHz	625-628	773.91250	803.91250	
	Voice 25KHz	713-716	774.46250	804.46250	
	Voice 25KHz	869-872	775.43750	805.43750	
	Data 50KHz	61	770.02500	800.02500	
	Data 50KHz	62	770.02500	800.02500	
	Data 50KHz	63	770.07500	800.12500	
	Data 50KHz	76	770.12500	800.77500	
		70 77			
	Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	78	770.82500 770.87500	800.82500 800.87500	
		70	770.67500	000.07500	
Carter	Voice 25KHz	93-96	764.58750	794.58750	
	Voice 25KHz	369-372	766.31250	796.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	409-412	766.56250	796.56250	
	Voice 25KHz	529-532	773.31250	803.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	629-632	773.93750	803.93750	
	Voice 25KHz	705-708	774.41250	804.41250	
	Voice 25KHz	873-876	775.46250	805.46250	
	Data 50KHz	67	770.32500	800.32500	
	Data 50KHz	68	770.37500	800.37500	
	Data 50KHz	69	770.42500	800.42500	
	Data 50KHz	85	771.22500		
	Data 50KHz	86	771.27500		
	Data 50KHz	87	771.32500	801.32500	
Cass	Voice 25KHz	137-140	764.86250	794.86250	
	Voice 25KHz	245-248	765.53750	795.53750	
	Voice 25KHz	349-352	766.18750	796.18750	
	Voice 25KHz	389-392	766.43750	796.43750	
	Voice 25KHz	393-396	766.46250	796.46250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	441-444	766.76250	796.76250	
	Voice 25KHz	489-492	773.06250	803.06250	
	Voice 25KHz	553-556	773.46250	803.46250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	565-568	773.53750	803.53750	
	Voice 25KHz	597-600	773.73750	803.73750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	605-608	773.78750	803.78750	
	Voice 25KHz	661-664	774.13750	804.13750	
	Voice 25KHz	861-864	775.38750	805.38750	Campus
	Data 50KHz	31	768.52500	798.52500	
	Data 50KHz	32	768.57500	798.57500	
	Data 50KHz	33	768.62500	798.62500	
	Data 50KHz	70	770.47500	800.47500	
	Data 50KHz	71	770.52500	800.52500	
	Data 50KHz	72	770.57500	800.57500	
Cedar	Voice 25KHz	249-252	765.56250	795.56250	
	Voice 25KHz	293-296	765.83750	795.83750	
	Voice 25KHz	421-424	766.63750	796.63750	
	Voice 25KHz	461-464	766.88750	796.88750	

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	625-628 749-752 917-920 40 41 42 58 59	773.91250 774.68750 775.73750 768.97500 769.02500 769.07500 769.87500 769.92500 769.97500	803.91250 804.68750 805.73750 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.87500 799.92500 799.97500	
Chariton	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	333-336 377-380 441-444 585-588 661-664 701-704 789-792 905-908 34 35	766.08750 766.36250 766.76250 773.66250 774.13750 774.38750 774.93750 775.66250 768.67500 768.72500	804.93750	
Christian	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	57-60 209-212 345-348 385-388 425-428 493-496 553-556 593-596 673-676 757-760 837-840 877-880 49 50 51 85 86 87	764.36250 765.31250 766.16250 766.41250 766.66250 773.08750 773.46250 773.71250 774.21250 774.73750 775.23750 775.48750 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	803.08750 803.46250 803.71250 804.21250 804.73750 805.23750 805.48750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.27500	
Clark	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	205-208 389-392 441-444 545-548 585-588 665-668 745-748 31 32 33	765.28750 766.43750 766.76250 773.41250 773.66250 774.16250 774.66250 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500	795.28750 796.43750 796.76250 803.41250 803.66250 804.16250 804.66250 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500	
Clay	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	129-132 133-136 241-244 289-292	764.81250 764.83750 765.51250 765.81250	794.81250 794.83750 795.51250 795.81250	Campus

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	345-348 405-408 445-448 517-520 561-564 593-596 601-604 665-668 34 35 36 49 50 51 55 56 57	766.16250 766.53750 766.78750 773.23750 773.51250 773.71250 773.76250 774.16250 768.67500 768.72500 768.77500 769.42500 769.42500 769.72500 769.72500 769.72500 769.72500	796.16250 796.53750 796.78750 803.23750 803.51250 803.71250 803.76250 804.16250 798.67500 798.72500 799.42500 799.42500 799.72500 799.72500 799.72500 799.72500	Campus
Clinton	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	41-44 81-84 377-380 509-512 585-588 709-712 821-824 901-904 64 65 66 79 80 81	764.26250 764.51250 766.36250 773.18750 773.66250 774.43750 775.13750 775.63750 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500 770.92500 770.97500 771.02500	794.26250 794.51250 796.36250 803.18750 803.66250 804.43750 805.13750 805.63750 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500 800.92500 801.02500	
Cole	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	49-52 125-128 201-204 249-252 289-292 361-364 409-412 449-452 493-496 541-544 585-588 637-640 701-704 745-748 825-828 865-868 905-908 945-948 34 35 36 55	764.31250 764.78750 765.26250 765.56250 765.81250 766.26250 766.56250 766.81250 773.08750 773.08750 773.66250 774.66250 775.16250 775.41250 775.66250 775.91250 768.77500 769.72500 769.77500	794.31250 794.78750 795.26250 795.56250 795.81250 796.26250 796.56250 796.81250 803.08750 803.66250 803.98750 804.38750 804.66250 805.16250 805.16250 805.66250 805.91250 798.72500 798.77500 799.72500 799.77500	

	Data 50KHz	57	769.82500	799.82500	
Cooper	Voice 25KHz	205-208	765.28750	795.28750	
Cooper	Voice 25KHz	281-284	765.76250	795.76250	
	Voice 25KHz	405-408	766.53750	796.53750	
	Voice 25KHz	445-448	766.78750	796.78750	
	Voice 25KHz	517-520	773.23750	803.23750	
	Voice 25KHz	589-592	773.23750	803.68750	
	Voice 25KHz	673-676	774.21250	804.21250	
	Voice 25KHz	749-752	774.21230	804.68750	
	Data 50KHz	31	768.52500	798.52500	
	Data 50KHz	32	768.57500	798.57500	
	Data 50KHz	33	768.62500	798.62500	
	Data 50KHz	70	770.47500	800.47500	
	Data 50KHz	70	770.52500	800.52500	
	Data 50KHz	72	770.52500	800.57500	
	Data JUNIZ	7 2	770.37300	800.37300	
Crawford	Voice 25KHz	85-88	764.53750	794.53750	
	Voice 25KHz	205-208	765.28750	795.28750	
	Voice 25KHz	297-300	765.86250	795.86250	
	Voice 25KHz	385-388	766.41250	796.41250	
	Voice 25KHz	441-444	766.76250	796.76250	
	Voice 25KHz	497-500	773.11250	803.11250	
	Voice 25KHz	545-548	773.41250	803.41250	
	Voice 25KHz	601-604	773.76250	803.76250	
	Voice 25KHz	821-824	775.13750	805.13750	
	Voice 25KHz	869-872	775.43750	805.43750	
	Voice 25KHz	909-912	775.68750	805.68750	
	Data 50KHz	67	770.32500	800.32500	
	Data 50KHz	68	770.37500	800.37500	
	Data 50KHz	69	770.42500	800.42500	
	Data 50KHz	85	771.22500	801.22500	
	Data 50KHz	86	771.27500	801.27500	
	Data 50KHz	87	771.32500	801.32500	
Dade	Voice 25KHz	13-16	764.08750	794.08750	
	Voice 25KHz	381-384	766.38750	796.38750	
	Voice 25KHz	429-432	766.68750	796.68750	
	Voice 25KHz	489-492	773.06250	803.06250	
	Voice 25KHz	525-528	773.28750	803.28750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	561-564	773.51250	803.51250	
	Voice 25KHz	613-616	773.83750	803.83750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	709-712	774.43750	804.43750	Campus
	Data 50KHz	34	768.67500	798.67500	
	Data 50KHz	35	768.72500	798.72500	
	Data 50KHz	36	768.77500	798.77500	
	Data 50KHz	55	769.72500	799.72500	
	Data 50KHz	56	769.77500	799.77500	
	Data 50KHz	57	769.82500	799.82500	
Dallas	Voice 25KHz	357-360	766.23750	796.23750	
	Voice 25KHz	405-408	766.53750	796.53750	
	Voice 25KHz	465-468	766.91250	796.91250	
	Voice 25KHz	589-592	773.68750	803.68750	
	Voice 25KHz	677-680	774.23750	804.23750	
	Voice 25KHz	753-756	774.71250	804.71250	

	Voice 25KHz	833-836	775.21250	805.21250	
	Data 50KHz	64	770.17500	800.17500	
	Data 50KHz	65	770.22500	800.22500	
	Data 50KHz	66	770.27500	800.27500	
	Data Juniz	00	770.27500	000.27500	
Daviess	Voice 25KHz	125-128	764.78750	794.78750	
Daviess					
	Voice 25KHz	209-212	765.31250	795.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	285-288	765.78750	795.78750	
	Voice 25KHz	341-344	766.13750	796.13750	
	Voice 25KHz	385-388	766.41250	796.41250	
	Voice 25KHz	449-452	766.81250	796.81250	
	Voice 25KHz	501-504	773.13750	803.13750	
	Voice 25KHz	573-576	773.58750	803.58750	
	Voice 25KHz	617-620	773.86250	803.86250	
	Voice 25KHz	741-744	774.63750	804.63750	
	Data 50KHz	67	770.32500	800.32500	
	Data 50KHz	68	770.37500	800.37500	
	Data 50KHz	69	770.42500	800.42500	
De Kalb	Voice 25KHz	13-16	764.08750	794.08750	
	Voice 25KHz	53-56	764.33750	794.33750	
	Voice 25KHz	369-372	766.31250	796.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	421-424	766.63750	796.63750	
	Voice 25KHz	565-568	773.53750	803.53750	
	Voice 25KHz	605-608	773.78750	803.78750	
	Voice 25KHz	757-760	774.73750	804.73750	
	Voice 25KHz	837-840	775.23750	805.23750	
	Voice 25KHz	877-880	775.48750	805.48750	
	Data 50KHz	49	769.42500	799.42500	
	Data 50KHz	50	769.47500	799.47500	
	Data 50KHz	51	769.52500	799.52500	
	Data Somi	3 1	,00.0200	755.52500	
Dent	Voice 25KHz	121-124	764.76250	794.76250	
Delle	Voice 25KHz	289-292	765.81250	795.81250	
	Voice 25KHz	337-340	766.11250	796.11250	
	Voice 25KHz	341-344	766.13750	796.13750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	401-404	766.51250	796.51250	
	Voice 25KHz	465-468	766.91250	796.91250	
	Voice 25KHz	481-484	773.01250	803.01250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	525-528	773.28750	803.28750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	573-576	773.58750	803.58750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	581-584	773.63750	803.63750	campab
	Voice 25KHz	677-680	774.23750	804.23750	
	Voice 25KHz	749-752	774.68750	804.68750	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	753-756	774.71250	804.71250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	825-828	775.16250	805.16250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	833-836	775.21250	805.21250	
	Voice 25KHz	913-916	775.71250	805.71250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	945-948	775.91250	805.91250	_
	Data 50KHz	40	768.97500	798.97500	
	Data 50KHz			799.02500	
		41	769.02500		
	Data 50KHz	42	769.07500	799.07500	
- 1		45 40	E64 00==0	F04 00==0	
Douglas	Voice 25KHz	45-48	764.28750	794.28750	
	Voice 25KHz	353-356	766.21250	796.21250	
	Voice 25KHz	409-412	766.56250	796.56250	

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	469-472 525-528 629-632 829-832 67 68 69 85	766.93750 773.28750 773.93750 775.18750 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	796.93750 803.28750 803.93750 805.18750 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Dunklin	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	173-176 365-368 405-408 513-516 553-556 593-596 741-744 797-800 865-868 913-916 70 71	765.08750 766.28750 766.53750 773.21250 773.46250 773.71250 774.63750 774.98750 775.41250 775.71250 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	795.08750 796.28750 796.53750 803.21250 803.46250 803.71250 804.63750 804.98750 805.41250 805.71250 800.47500 800.57500
Franklin	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	129-132 349-352 397-400 461-464 529-532 577-580 621-624 669-672 837-840 34 35 36 49 50 51 55 56 57	764.81250 766.18750 766.48750 766.88750 773.31250 773.61250 773.88750 774.18750 775.23750 768.67500 768.72500 769.42500 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 769.72500 769.77500 769.82500	794.81250 796.18750 796.48750 796.88750 803.31250 803.61250 803.88750 804.18750 805.23750 798.67500 798.72500 799.42500 799.42500 799.52500 799.72500 799.72500 799.82500
Gasconade	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	285-288 357-360 405-408 469-472 589-592 717-720 941-944 61 62 63 76	765.78750 766.23750 766.53750 766.93750 773.68750 774.48750 775.88750 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500 770.77500 770.82500	795.78750 796.23750 796.53750 796.93750 803.68750 804.48750 805.88750 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500 800.77500 800.82500

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Data 50KHz	78	770.87500	800.87500	
Gentry	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	165-168 329-332 477-480 493-496 561-564 581-584 829-832 905-908 31 32	765.03750 766.06250 766.98750 773.08750 773.51250 773.63750 775.18750 775.66250 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500	795.03750 796.06250 796.98750 803.08750 803.51250 803.63750 805.18750 805.66250 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500	Campus
Greene	Voice 25KHz	41-44 81-84 121-124 161-164 201-204 241-244 281-284 325-328 365-368 413-416 477-480 501-504 541-544 581-584 633-636 705-708 745-748 785-788 825-828 865-868 905-908 945-948 31 32 33 52 53 54 70 71 72	764.26250 764.51250 764.76250 765.01250 765.26250 765.51250 765.76250 766.03750 766.28750 766.58750 766.98750 773.13750 773.38750 773.63750 773.63750 774.41250 774.66250 774.91250 775.16250 775.16250 775.91250 768.52500 768.52500 768.52500 769.62500 769.62500 770.47500 770.52500 770.52500 770.57500	794.26250 794.51250 794.76250 795.01250 795.26250 795.51250 795.76250 796.03750 796.28750 796.58750 796.98750 803.38750 803.38750 803.63750 803.96250 804.41250 804.66250 804.91250 805.16250 805.16250 805.16250 805.66250 805.91250 798.52500 798.57500 798.57500 799.62500 799.67500 800.52500 800.57500	
Grundy	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	85-88 161-164 217-220 357-360 413-416 525-528 589-592 629-632 669-672 797-800	764.53750 765.01250 765.36250 766.23750 766.58750 773.28750 773.68750 773.93750 774.18750 774.98750	794.53750 795.01250 795.36250 796.23750 796.58750 803.28750 803.68750 803.93750 804.18750 804.98750	

	Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	43 44 45	769.12500 769.17500 769.22500	799.12500 799.17500 799.22500
Harrison	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	57-60 133-136 241-244 321-324 365-368 425-428 517-520 637-640 717-720 873-876 61 62 63	764.36250 764.83750 765.51250 766.01250 766.28750 766.66250 773.23750 773.98750 774.48750 775.46250 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500	794.36250 794.83750 795.51250 796.01250 796.28750 796.66250 803.23750 803.98750 804.48750 805.46250 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500
Henry	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	41-44 321-324 365-368 457-460 529-532 585-588 637-640 701-704 829-832 941-944 34 35 36 55 56	764.26250 766.01250 766.28750 766.86250 773.31250 773.66250 773.98750 774.38750 775.18750 775.88750 768.67500 768.72500 768.77500 769.72500 769.72500 769.82500	794.26250 796.01250 796.28750 796.86250 803.31250 803.66250 803.98750 805.18750 805.18750 798.67500 798.72500 798.77500 799.72500 799.72500 799.82500
Hickory	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	137-140 205-208 505-508 557-560 617-620 49 50 51 85 86	764.86250 765.28750 773.16250 773.48750 773.86250 769.42500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	794.86250 795.28750 803.16250 803.48750 803.86250 799.42500 799.52500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Holt	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	297-300 405-408 469-472 517-520 573-576 629-632 67 68 69	765.86250 766.53750 766.93750 773.23750 773.58750 773.93750 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500	795.86250 796.53750 796.93750 803.23750 803.58750 803.93750 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500

Howard	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	129-132 169-172 365-368 485-488 525-528 573-576 757-760 43 44	764.81250 765.06250 766.28750 773.03750 773.28750 773.58750 774.73750 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500	794.81250 795.06250 796.28750 803.03750 803.28750 803.58750 804.73750 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500	
Howell	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	53-56 97-100 169-172 217-220 285-288 333-336 381-384 457-460 505-508 545-548 589-592 661-664 717-720 785-788 905-908 43 44 45 88 89 90	764.33750 764.61250 765.06250 765.36250 765.78750 766.08750 766.86250 773.16250 773.41250 774.13750 774.48750 774.91250 775.66250 769.12500 769.12500 769.22500 771.37500 771.42500 771.42500	794.33750 794.61250 795.06250 795.36250 795.78750 796.08750 796.86250 803.16250 803.41250 803.68750 804.13750 804.48750 804.91250 805.66250 799.12500 799.12500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500	
Iron	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	217-220 353-356 417-420 553-556 557-560 625-628 633-636 789-792 877-880 905-908 917-920 31 32 33 70 71 72	765.36250 766.21250 766.61250 773.46250 773.91250 773.96250 774.93750 775.48750 775.66250 775.73750 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500 770.47500 770.52500 770.52500	803.48750 803.91250	Campus Campus Campus Campus
Jackson	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	45-48 85-88 125-128 177-180	764.28750 764.53750 764.78750 765.11250	794.28750 794.53750 794.78750 795.11250	

Voice 25KHz	217-220 257-260 297-300 337-340 381-384 429-432 469-472 501-504 549-552 589-592 629-632 705-708 745-748 785-788 825-828 865-868 905-908 945-948 43 44 45 67 68 69 88	765.36250 765.61250 765.86250 766.11250 766.38750 766.68750 776.93750 773.43750 773.68750 773.93750 774.41250 774.66250 775.16250 775.16250 775.41250 775.66250 775.91250 769.12500 769.12500 769.12500 770.32500 770.37500 770.37500 771.37500	795.36250 795.61250 795.86250 796.11250 796.38750 796.68750 796.93750 803.13750 803.43750 803.93750 804.41250 804.66250 804.91250 805.16250 805.16250 805.66250 805.91250 799.12500 799.12500 799.12500 799.22500 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500 801.37500
Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	89 90	771.42500 771.47500	801.42500 801.47500
Voice 25KHz	45-48 89-92 137-140 177-180 217-220 297-300 341-344 405-408 469-472 505-508 545-548 585-588 629-632 677-680 741-744 781-784 833-836 873-876 913-916 49 50 51 61 62	764.28750 764.56250 764.86250 765.11250 765.36250 765.86250 766.13750 766.53750 776.93750 773.16250 773.41250 773.66250 773.93750 774.23750 774.63750 774.88750 774.88750 775.21250 775.46250 775.71250 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 770.02500 770.07500	794.28750 794.56250 794.86250 795.36250 795.86250 796.13750 796.53750 796.53750 803.16250 803.41250 803.66250 803.93750 804.23750 804.23750 804.23750 804.23750 804.23750 805.21250 805.46250 805.46250 805.71250 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 800.02500 800.07500
Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	63 76 77 78	770.12500 770.77500 770.82500 770.87500	800.12500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500

Jasper

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

Jefferson	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	137-140 341-344 389-392 437-440 501-504 549-552 593-596 637-640 709-712 825-828 873-876 913-916 31 32 33 52 53 54 70 71 72	764.86250 766.13750 766.43750 766.73750 773.13750 773.43750 773.71250 773.98750 774.43750 775.16250 775.46250 775.71250 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500 769.62500 769.62500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	794.86250 796.13750 796.43750 796.73750 803.13750 803.71250 803.98750 804.43750 805.16250 805.46250 805.71250 798.52500 798.62500 799.67500 799.67500 800.57500 800.57500
Johnson	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	161-164 209-212 285-288 357-360 401-404 449-452 513-516 577-580 669-672 753-756 793-796 873-876 64 65 66 79 80 81	765.01250 765.31250 765.78750 766.23750 766.51250 766.81250 773.21250 773.61250 774.18750 774.71250 774.96250 775.46250 770.17500 770.22500 770.92500 770.97500 771.02500	795.01250 795.31250 795.78750 796.23750 796.51250 796.81250 803.21250 804.18750 804.71250 804.96250 805.46250 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500 800.97500 801.02500
Knox	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	361-364 417-420 465-468 485-488 673-676 43 44	766.26250 766.61250 766.91250 773.03750 774.21250 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500	796.26250 796.61250 796.91250 803.03750 804.21250 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500
Laclede	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	89-92 165-168 293-296 429-432 481-484	764.56250 765.03750 765.83750 766.68750 773.01250	794.56250 795.03750 795.83750 796.68750 803.01250

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	529-532 577-580 625-628 741-744 789-792 901-904 941-944 40 41 42 58 59 60	773.31250 773.61250 773.91250 774.63750 774.93750 775.63750 775.88750 768.97500 769.02500 769.07500 769.87500 769.92500 769.97500	803.31250 803.61250 803.91250 804.63750 804.93750 805.63750 805.88750 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.92500 799.92500	
Lafayette	Voice 25KHz	13-16 53-56 93-96 121-124 201-204 373-376 421-424 461-464	764.08750 764.33750 764.58750 764.76250 765.26250 766.33750 766.63750 766.88750 773.36250	794.08750 794.33750 794.58750 794.76250 795.26250 796.33750 796.63750 796.88750 803.36250	Campus Campus
	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	537-540 581-584 617-620 913-916 49 50 51 85 86 87	773.36250 773.63750 773.86250 775.71250 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	803.36250 803.63750 803.86250 805.71250 799.42500 799.52500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500	Campus
Lawrence	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	97-100 253-256 393-396 441-444 529-532 573-576 613-616 661-664 717-720 43 44 45 88 89	764.61250 765.58750 766.46250 766.76250 773.31250 773.83750 774.13750 774.48750 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500 771.37500 771.47500	794.61250 795.58750 796.46250 796.76250 803.31250 803.58750 804.13750 804.48750 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500	
Lewis	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	97-100 381-384 509-512 565-568 609-612 821-824 873-876	764.61250 766.38750 773.18750 773.53750 773.81250 775.13750 775.46250	794.61250 796.38750 803.18750 803.53750 803.81250 805.13750 805.46250	

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	917-920 49 50 51 85 86	775.73750 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	805.73750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Lincoln	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	57-60 253-256 293-296 401-404 473-476 485-488 561-564 605-608 673-676 785-788 869-872 909-912 34 35 36 49 50 51 85 86 87	764.36250 765.58750 765.83750 766.51250 766.96250 773.03750 773.51250 774.21250 774.91250 775.43750 775.68750 768.7500 768.72500 769.42500 769.42500 769.42500 771.22500 771.22500 771.32500	794.36250 795.58750 795.83750 796.51250 796.96250 803.03750 803.51250 804.21250 804.21250 805.43750 805.68750 798.67500 798.77500 799.42500 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.22500 801.32500
Linn	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	45-48 205-208 249-252 289-292 345-348 457-460 509-512 561-564 605-608 745-748 945-948 49 50 51	764.28750 765.28750 765.56250 765.81250 766.16250 766.86250 773.18750 773.51250 773.78750 774.66250 775.91250 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500	794.28750 795.28750 795.56250 795.81250 796.16250 796.86250 803.18750 803.51250 803.78750 804.66250 805.91250 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500
Livingston	Voice 25KHz	97-100 173-176 257-260 297-300 401-404 481-484 541-544 597-600 677-680 753-756 917-920	764.61250 765.08750 765.61250 765.86250 766.51250 773.01250 773.38750 773.73750 774.23750 774.71250 775.73750	794.61250 795.08750 795.61250 795.86250 796.51250 803.01250 803.38750 803.73750 804.23750 804.71250 805.73750

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Data 50KHz	70	770.47500	800.47500	
	Data 50KHz	71	770.52500	800.52500	
	Data 50KHz	72	770.52500	800.57500	
	Data SURHZ	1 4	770.37300	800.57500	
	! 05		744 50750		
Macon	Voice 25KHz	93-96	764.58750	794.58750	
	Voice 25KHz	133-136	764.83750	794.83750	
	Voice 25KHz	241-244	765.51250	795.51250	
	Voice 25KHz	321-324	766.01250	796.01250	
	Voice 25KHz	369-372	766.31250	796.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	429-432	766.68750	796.68750	
	Voice 25KHz	529-532	773.31250	803.31250	
	Voice 25KHz	593-596	773.71250	803.71250	
	Voice 25KHz	637-640	773.98750	803.71250	
	Voice 25KHz	717-720	774.48750	804.48750	
	Voice 25KHz	825-828	775.16250	805.16250	
	Voice 25KHz	877-880	775.48750	805.48750	
	Data 50KHz	40	768.97500	798.97500	
	Data 50KHz	41	769.02500	799.02500	
	Data 50KHz	42	769.07500	799.07500	
Madison	Voice 25KHz	169-172	765.06250	795.06250	
Madison	Voice 25KHz		765.76250	795.76250	
		281-284			
	Voice 25KHz	393-396	766.46250	796.46250	
	Voice 25KHz	505-508	773.16250	803.16250	
	Voice 25KHz	573-576	773.58750	803.58750	
	Voice 25KHz	617-620	773.86250	803.86250	
	Voice 25KHz	865-868	775.41250	805.41250	
	Data 50KHz	64	770.17500	800.17500	
	Data 50KHz	65	770.22500	800.22500	
	Data 50KHz	66	770.22500	800.27500	
			770.92500		
	Data 50KHz	79		800.92500	
	Data 50KHz	80	770.97500	800.97500	
	Data 50KHz	81	771.02500	801.02500	
Maries	Voice 25KHz	169-172	765.06250	795.06250	
	Voice 25KHz	241-244	765.51250	795.51250	
	Voice 25KHz	345-348	766.16250	796.16250	
	Voice 25KHz	473-476	766.96250	796.96250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	485-488	773.03750	803.03750	
	Voice 25KHz	513-516	773.21250	803.21250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz			803.33750	Campus
		533-536	773.33750		~
	Voice 25KHz	617-620	773.86250	803.86250	Campus
	Voice 25KHz	829-832	775.18750	805.18750	Campus
	Data 50KHz	49	769.42500	799.42500	
	Data 50KHz	50	769.47500	799.47500	
	Data 50KHz	51	769.52500	799.52500	
	Data 50KHz	85	771.22500	801.22500	
	Data 50KHz	86	771.27500	801.27500	
	Data 50KHz	87	771.32500	801.32500	
	Data Juniz	0 /	771.52500	001.02000	
74	77-1 05777	45 40	764 00750	704 00750	
Marion	Voice 25KHz	45-48	764.28750	794.28750	
	Voice 25KHz	201-204	765.26250	795.26250	
	Voice 25KHz	249-252	765.56250	795.56250	
	Voice 25KHz	289-292	765.81250	795.81250	
	Voice 25KHz	345-348	766.16250	796.16250	
	Voice 25KHz	393-396	766.46250	796.46250	
	-		· · ·	·	

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	445-448 517-520 581-584 629-632 701-704 749-752 789-792 905-908 64 65	766.78750 773.23750 773.63750 773.93750 774.38750 774.68750 774.93750 775.66250 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500	796.78750 803.23750 803.63750 803.93750 804.38750 804.68750 804.93750 805.66250 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500
McDonald	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	249-252 397-400 465-468 517-520 565-568 829-832 64 65 66 79 80	765.56250 766.48750 766.91250 773.23750 773.53750 775.18750 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500 770.92500 770.97500 771.02500	795.56250 796.48750 796.91250 803.23750 803.53750 805.18750 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500 800.92500 800.97500 801.02500
Mercer	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	201-204 405-408 497-500 621-624 749-752 865-868 34 35	765.26250 766.53750 773.11250 773.88750 774.68750 775.41250 768.67500 768.72500 768.77500	795.26250 796.53750 803.11250 803.88750 804.68750 805.41250 798.67500 798.72500 798.77500
Miller	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	97-100 325-328 373-376 421-424 461-464 525-528 573-576 621-624 797-800 43 44 45 88 89	764.61250 766.03750 766.33750 766.63750 766.88750 773.28750 773.58750 773.88750 774.98750 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500 771.37500 771.42500 771.47500	794.61250 796.03750 796.33750 796.63750 796.88750 803.28750 803.58750 803.88750 804.98750 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500
Mississippi	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	169-172 345-348 465-468 533-536 625-628	765.06250 766.16250 766.91250 773.33750 773.91250	795.06250 796.16250 796.91250 803.33750 803.91250

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	793-796 43 44 45	774.96250 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500	804.96250 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500
Moniteau	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	337-340 429-432 469-472 509-512 561-564 613-616 64 65 66 79 80	766.11250 766.68750 766.93750 773.18750 773.51250 773.83750 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500 770.92500 770.97500 771.02500	796.11250 796.68750 796.93750 803.18750 803.51250 803.83750 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500 800.92500 800.97500 801.02500
Monroe	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	85-88 213-216 409-412 505-508 569-572 669-672 781-784 865-868 61 62	764.53750 765.33750 766.56250 773.16250 774.18750 774.88750 775.41250 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500	794.53750 795.33750 796.56250 803.16250 803.56250 804.18750 804.88750 805.41250 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500
Montgomery	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	341-344 413-416 633-636 741-744 861-864 917-920 43 44 45 88	766.13750 766.58750 773.96250 774.63750 775.38750 775.73750 769.12500 769.17500 769.22500 771.37500 771.42500 771.47500	796.13750 796.58750 803.96250 804.63750 805.38750 805.73750 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500
Morgan	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	45-48 121-124 353-356 453-456 581-584 629-632 717-720 869-872 917-920 61 62 63 76	764.28750 764.76250 766.21250 766.83750 773.63750 773.93750 774.48750 775.43750 775.73750 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500 770.77500	794.28750 794.76250 796.21250 796.83750 803.63750 803.93750 804.48750 805.43750 805.73750 800.02500 800.07500 800.77500

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	77 78	770.82500 770.87500	800.82500 800.87500
New Madrid	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	209-212 325-328 377-380 441-444 541-544 581-584 633-636 701-704 64 65	765.31250 766.03750 766.36250 766.76250 773.38750 773.63750 773.96250 774.38750 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500	795.31250 796.03750 796.36250 796.76250 803.38750 803.63750 803.96250 804.38750 800.17500 800.22500
Newton	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	17-20 129-132 205-208 333-336 377-380 433-436 497-500 557-560 597-600 637-640 753-756 797-800 861-864 67 68 69 85 86 87	764.11250 764.81250 765.28750 766.08750 766.36250 766.71250 773.11250 773.48750 773.98750 774.71250 774.98750 770.32500 770.32500 770.42500 771.22500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	794.11250 794.81250 795.28750 796.08750 796.36250 796.71250 803.11250 803.48750 803.98750 804.71250 804.98750 805.38750 800.32500 800.37500 801.22500 801.22500 801.32500
Nodaway	Voice 25KHz	45-48 85-88 137-140 205-208 249-252 361-364 413-416 461-464 481-484 529-532 621-624 661-664 701-704 745-748 785-788 869-872 917-920 34 35 36	764.28750 764.53750 764.86250 765.28750 765.56250 766.26250 766.88750 773.01250 773.31250 774.13750 774.38750 774.66250 774.91250 775.43750 775.73750 768.67500 768.77500	794.28750 794.53750 794.86250 795.28750 795.56250 796.26250 796.58750 803.01250 803.31250 803.88750 804.13750 804.38750 804.66250 804.91250 805.43750 805.73750 798.67500 798.77500

Oregon	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	41-44 161-164 325-328 401-404 441-444 557-560 741-744 861-864 49 50 51 85 86 87	764.26250 765.01250 766.03750 766.51250 766.76250 773.48750 774.63750 775.38750 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	794.26250 795.01250 796.03750 796.51250 796.76250 803.48750 804.63750 805.38750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Osage	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	133-136 381-384 553-556 597-600 709-712 781-784 31 32	764.83750 766.38750 773.46250 773.73750 774.43750 774.88750 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500	794.83750 796.38750 803.46250 803.73750 804.43750 804.88750 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500
Ozark	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	89-92 369-372 417-420 561-564 609-612 31 32 33 70 71	764.56250 766.31250 766.61250 773.51250 773.81250 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	794.56250 796.31250 796.61250 803.51250 803.81250 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500 800.47500 800.52500
Pemiscot	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	57-60 217-220 393-396 433-436 529-532 573-576 757-760 61 62 63 76 77	764.36250 765.36250 766.46250 766.71250 773.31250 773.58750 774.73750 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500 770.77500 770.82500 770.82500	794.36250 795.36250 796.46250 796.71250 803.31250 803.58750 804.73750 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500
Perry	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	345-348 441-444 597-600 705-708 793-796	766.16250 766.76250 773.73750 774.41250 774.96250	796.16250 796.76250 803.73750 804.41250 804.96250

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	945-948 40 41 42	775.91250 768.97500 769.02500 769.07500	805.91250 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500
Pettis	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	17-20 57-60 133-136 173-176 241-244 329-332 413-416 481-484 553-556 597-600 709-712 781-784 837-840 901-904 40 41 42 58 59 60	764.11250 764.36250 764.83750 765.08750 765.51250 766.06250 766.58750 773.01250 773.46250 773.46250 774.43750 774.88750 775.23750 775.63750 768.97500 769.02500 769.02500 769.97500 769.97500	794.11250 794.36250 794.83750 795.08750 795.51250 796.06250 796.58750 803.01250 803.46250 803.73750 804.43750 804.88750 805.23750 805.63750 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.97500 799.97500
Phelps	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	41-44 93-96 161-164 213-216 253-256 329-332 377-380 433-436 509-512 565-568 609-612 665-668 705-708 793-796 64 65 66 79 80 81	764.26250 764.58750 765.01250 765.33750 765.58750 766.06250 766.36250 776.71250 773.18750 773.81250 774.16250 774.41250 774.96250 770.22500 770.22500 770.92500 770.97500 770.97500 771.02500	794.26250 794.58750 795.01250 795.33750 795.58750 796.06250 796.36250 796.71250 803.18750 803.53750 803.81250 804.16250 804.41250 804.96250 800.27500 800.27500 800.92500 800.97500 801.02500
Pike	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	49-52 173-176 373-376 441-444 497-500 545-548 585-588 665-668 31	764.31250 765.08750 766.33750 766.76250 773.11250 773.41250 773.66250 774.16250 768.52500	794.31250 795.08750 796.33750 796.76250 803.11250 803.41250 803.66250 804.16250 798.52500

	Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	32 33	768.57500 768.62500	798.57500 798.62500
Platte	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	17-20 57-60 169-172 325-328 365-368 417-420 457-460 485-488 525-528 569-572 609-612 677-680 717-720 49 50 51 61 62 63 76 77 78	764.11250 764.36250 765.06250 766.03750 766.28750 766.61250 766.86250 773.03750 773.28750 773.56250 773.81250 774.48750 774.48750 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 770.02500 770.02500 770.77500 770.82500 770.87500 770.87500	794.11250 794.36250 795.06250 796.03750 796.28750 796.61250 796.86250 803.28750 803.56250 803.56250 804.23750 804.23750 804.48750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 800.02500 800.02500 800.77500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500
Polk	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	53-56 93-96 213-216 349-352 397-400 445-448 533-536 597-600 665-668 713-716 31 32 33 70 71 72	764.33750 764.58750 765.33750 766.18750 766.48750 766.78750 773.33750 773.73750 774.16250 774.46250 768.52500 768.57500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	794.33750 794.58750 795.33750 796.18750 796.48750 796.78750 803.33750 803.73750 804.16250 804.46250 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500 800.47500 800.52500 800.57500
Pulaski	Voice 25KHz	57-60 137-140 281-284 365-368 413-416 477-480 501-504 593-596 633-636 673-676 713-716 757-760 837-840	764.36250 764.86250 765.76250 766.28750 766.58750 766.98750 773.13750 773.71250 773.96250 774.21250 774.46250 774.73750 775.23750	794.36250 794.86250 795.76250 796.28750 796.58750 796.98750 803.13750 803.71250 803.96250 804.21250 804.46250 804.73750 805.23750

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	877-880 31 32 33 70 71	775.48750 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	805.48750 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500 800.47500 800.52500 800.57500	
Putnam	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	65	765.03750 766.21250 766.48750 766.88750 773.53750 773.81250 775.13750 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500		
Ralls	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	34	765.76250 766.11250 766.41250 773.06250 773.46250 774.73750 768.67500 768.72500 768.77500	795.76250 796.11250 796.41250 803.06250 803.46250 804.73750 798.67500 798.72500 798.77500	
Randolph	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	13-16 53-56 121-124 161-164 285-288 357-360 397-400 453-456 557-560 601-604 797-800 941-944 31 32 33	764.08750 764.33750 764.76250 765.01250 765.78750 766.23750 766.48750 766.83750 773.48750 773.76250 774.98750 775.88750 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500	803.48750 803.76250	
Ray	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	321-324 361-364 453-456 505-508 529-532 637-640 833-836 31 32 33	766.01250 766.26250 766.83750 773.16250 773.31250 773.98750 775.21250 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500 769.57500	796.01250 796.26250 796.83750 803.16250 803.31250 803.98750 805.21250 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500 799.57500	Campus

	Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	53 54	769.62500 769.67500	799.62500 799.67500
Reynolds	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	13-16 57-60 165-168 449-452 521-524 569-572 613-616 781-784 34 35 36	764.08750 764.36250 765.03750 766.81250 773.26250 773.56250 773.83750 774.88750 768.67500 768.72500	794.08750 794.36250 795.03750 796.81250 803.26250 803.56250 803.83750 804.88750 798.67500 798.72500 798.77500
Ripley	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	293-296 361-364 433-436 473-476 509-512 573-576 621-624 757-760 825-828 40 41 42 58 59 60	765.83750 766.26250 766.71250 766.96250 773.18750 773.58750 773.88750 774.73750 775.16250 768.97500 769.02500 769.02500 769.87500 769.92500 769.92500	795.83750 796.26250 796.71250 796.96250 803.18750 803.58750 803.88750 804.73750 805.16250 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.87500 799.92500 799.97500
Saline	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	49-52 253-256 293-296 385-388 433-436 473-476 493-496 545-548 633-636 741-744 861-864 67 68	764.31250 765.58750 765.83750 766.41250 766.71250 766.96250 773.08750 773.41250 773.96250 774.63750 775.38750 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500	794.31250 795.58750 795.83750 796.41250 796.71250 796.96250 803.08750 803.41250 803.96250 804.63750 805.38750 805.38750 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500
Schuyler	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	293-296 377-380 445-448 541-544 781-784 61 62 63	765.83750 766.36250 766.78750 773.38750 774.88750 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500	795.83750 796.36250 796.78750 803.38750 804.88750 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500
Scotland	Voice 25KHz	49-52	764.31250	794.31250

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	453-456 525-528 601-604 753-756 829-832 941-944 34 35	766.83750 773.28750 773.76250 774.71250 775.18750 775.88750 768.67500 768.72500 768.77500	796.83750 803.28750 803.76250 804.71250 805.18750 805.88750 798.67500 798.72500	
Scott	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	13-16 53-56 129-132 245-248 285-288 333-336 421-424 509-512 549-552 665-668 781-784 861-864 909-912 67 68 69	764.08750 764.33750 764.81250 765.53750 765.78750 766.08750 766.63750 773.18750 773.43750 774.16250 774.88750 775.38750 775.68750 770.32500 770.32500 770.37500	794.08750 794.33750 794.81250 795.53750 795.78750 796.08750 796.63750 803.18750 803.43750 804.16250 804.88750 805.38750 805.68750 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500	
Shannon	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	209-212 357-360 421-424 489-492 537-540 597-600 637-640 61 62 63 76 77	765.31250 766.23750 766.63750 773.06250 773.36250 773.73750 773.98750 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500 770.77500 770.82500 770.82500	795.31250 796.23750 796.63750 803.06250 803.36250 803.73750 803.98750 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500	
Shelby	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	473-476 493-496 537-540 573-576 621-624 741-744 837-840 869-872 70 71 72	766.96250 773.08750 773.36250 773.58750 773.88750 774.63750 775.23750 775.43750 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	796.96250 803.08750 803.36250 803.58750 803.88750 804.63750 805.23750 805.43750 800.47500 800.52500	Campus Campus
St. Charles	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	45-48 121-124 161-164	764.28750 764.76250 765.01250	794.28750 794.76250 795.01250	

		Voice 25KHz	165-168	765.03750	795.03750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	241-244	765.51250	795.51250	-
		Voice 25KHz	281-284	765.76250	795.76250	
		Voice 25KHz	337-340	766.11250	796.11250	
		Voice 25KHz	377-380	766.36250	796.36250	
		Voice 25KHz	381-384	766.38750	796.38750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	417-420	766.61250	796.61250	campab
		Voice 25KHz	505-508	773.16250	803.16250	
		Voice 25KHz	521-524	773.10250	803.26250	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	557-560	773.20230	803.48750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz				Campus
			569-572	773.56250	803.56250	G
		Voice 25KHz	573-576	773.58750	803.58750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	629-632	773.93750	803.93750	
		Voice 25KHz	713-716	774.46250	804.46250	_
		Voice 25KHz	753-756	774.71250	804.71250	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	757-760	774.73750	804.73750	
		Voice 25KHz	829-832	775.18750	805.18750	
		Data 50KHz	61	770.02500	800.02500	
		Data 50KHz	62	770.07500	800.07500	
		Data 50KHz	63	770.12500	800.12500	
		Data 50KHz	64	770.17500	800.17500	
		Data 50KHz	65	770.22500	800.22500	
		Data 50KHz	66	770.27500	800.27500	
		Data 50KHz	79	770.92500	800.92500	
		Data 50KHz	80	770.97500	800.97500	
		Data 50KHz	81	771.02500	801.02500	
St.	Clair	Voice 25KHz	97-100	764.61250	794.61250	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	129-132	764.81250	794.81250	
		Voice 25KHz	289-292	765.81250	795.81250	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	373-376	766.33750	796.33750	
		Voice 25KHz	433-436	766.71250	796.71250	
		Voice 25KHz	437-440	766.73750	796.73750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	485-488	773.03750	803.03750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	493-496	773.08750	803.08750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	517-520	773.23750	803.23750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	537-540	773.36250	803.36250	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	545-548	773.41250	803.41250	
		Voice 25KHz	573-576	773.58750	803.58750	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	609-612	773.81250	803.81250	campab
		Voice 25KHz	673-676	774.21250	804.21250	Campus
		Voice 25KHz	757-760	774.73750	804.73750	Campus
						Campus
		Voice 25KHz	877-880	775.48750	805.48750	
		Data 50KHz	43	769.12500	799.12500	
		Data 50KHz	44	769.17500	799.17500	
		Data 50KHz	45	769.22500	799.22500	
		Data 50KHz	88	771.37500	801.37500	
		Data 50KHz	89	771.42500	801.42500	
		Data 50KHz	90	771.47500	801.47500	
St.	Francois	Voice 25KHz	49-52	764.31250	794.31250	
		Voice 25KHz	97-100	764.61250	794.61250	
		Voice 25KHz	201-204	765.26250	795.26250	
		Voice 25KHz	245-248	765.53750	795.53750	
		Voice 25KHz	293-296	765.83750	795.83750	
		Voice 25KHz	333-336	766.08750	796.08750	
		VOICE ZUNHZ	JJJ JJU	700.00750	, , 0 . 0 0 / 3 0	

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	405-408 453-456 485-488 533-536 585-588 673-676 753-756 901-904 49 50 51 85 86	766.53750 766.83750 773.03750 773.33750 773.66250 774.21250 774.71250 775.63750 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	796.53750 796.83750 803.03750 803.33750 803.66250 804.21250 804.71250 805.63750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.22500 801.32500	
St. Louis City	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	17-20 89-92 205-208 353-356 393-396 457-460 497-500 553-556 617-620 665-668 705-708 797-800 877-880 49 50 51 61 62 63 76 77 78	764.11250 764.56250 765.28750 766.21250 766.46250 766.86250 773.11250 773.46250 774.16250 774.41250 774.498750 775.48750 769.42500 769.52500 770.02500 770.02500 770.77500 770.82500 770.82500 770.87500	794.11250 794.56250 795.28750 796.21250 796.46250 803.11250 803.46250 804.16250 804.41250 804.98750 805.48750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 800.02500 800.07500 800.77500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500	Campus Campus Campus
St. Louis County	Voice 25KHz	13-16 53-56 93-96 169-172 209-212 249-252 289-292 329-332 369-372 409-412 477-480 481-484 541-544 545-548 609-612 677-680 749-752 789-792	764.08750 764.33750 764.58750 765.06250 765.31250 765.56250 765.81250 766.06250 766.31250 766.56250 766.56250 773.01250 773.38750 773.41250 773.81250 774.23750 774.68750 774.93750	794.08750 794.33750 794.58750 795.06250 795.31250 795.56250 795.81250 796.06250 796.31250 796.56250 796.98750 803.01250 803.38750 803.41250 804.23750 804.68750 804.93750	Campus

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	865-868 905-908 945-948 40 41 42 58 59 60 61 62 63 67 68 69	775.41250 775.66250 775.91250 768.97500 769.02500 769.07500 769.87500 769.92500 769.97500 770.02500 770.07500 770.12500 770.32500 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500	805.41250 805.66250 805.91250 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.87500 799.92500 799.97500 800.02500 800.07500 800.12500 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500
Ste. Genevieve	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	325-328 365-368 413-416 465-468 525-528 605-608 741-744 785-788 67 68 69 85 86	766.03750 766.28750 766.58750 766.91250 773.28750 774.63750 774.91250 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	796.03750 796.28750 796.58750 796.91250 803.28750 803.78750 804.63750 804.91250 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500 801.22500 801.27500
Stoddard	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	89-92 297-300 389-392 429-432 469-472 481-484 561-564 601-604 673-676 749-752 877-880 941-944 49 50 51 85 86 87	764.56250 765.86250 766.43750 766.68750 776.93750 773.01250 773.76250 774.21250 774.68750 775.48750 775.88750 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	794.56250 795.86250 796.43750 796.68750 796.93750 803.01250 803.51250 803.76250 804.21250 804.68750 805.48750 805.88750 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 801.22500 801.32500
Stone	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	85-88 329-332 401-404 457-460 521-524 601-604	764.53750 766.06250 766.51250 766.86250 773.26250 773.76250	794.53750 796.06250 796.51250 796.86250 803.26250 803.76250

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	793-796 869-872 909-912 40 41 42 58 59	774.96250 775.43750 775.68750 768.97500 769.02500 769.07500 769.87500 769.92500 769.97500	804.96250 805.43750 805.68750 798.97500 799.02500 799.07500 799.87500 799.92500 799.97500
Sullivan	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	337-340 421-424 469-472 549-552 785-788 833-836 901-904 31 32 33	766.11250 766.63750 766.93750 773.43750 774.91250 775.21250 775.63750 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500	796.11250 796.63750 796.93750 803.43750 804.91250 805.21250 805.63750 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500
Taney	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	125-128 165-168 249-252 361-364 433-436 485-488 637-640 701-704 741-744 781-784 821-824 861-864 64 65 66 79 80 81	764.78750 765.03750 765.56250 766.26250 766.71250 773.03750 773.98750 774.38750 774.63750 775.13750 775.38750 770.17500 770.22500 770.22500 770.92500 770.97500 771.02500	794.78750 795.03750 795.56250 796.26250 796.71250 803.03750 803.98750 804.38750 804.63750 804.88750 805.13750 805.38750 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500 800.92500 800.97500
Texas	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	17-20 81-84 129-132 201-204 245-248 321-324 393-396 445-448 517-520 865-868 917-920 31 32 33	764.11250 764.51250 764.81250 765.26250 765.53750 766.01250 766.46250 773.23750 775.41250 775.73750 768.52500 768.52500 768.62500	794.11250 794.51250 794.81250 795.26250 795.53750 796.01250 796.46250 796.78750 803.23750 805.41250 805.73750 798.52500 798.62500
Vernon	Voice 25KHz	169-172	765.06250	795.06250

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	209-212 361-364 409-412 449-452 481-484 521-524 589-592 837-840 901-904 67 68 69 85 86	765.31250 766.26250 766.56250 766.81250 773.01250 773.26250 773.68750 775.23750 775.63750 770.32500 770.37500 770.42500 771.22500 771.27500 771.32500	795.31250 796.26250 796.56250 796.81250 803.01250 803.26250 803.68750 805.23750 805.63750 800.32500 800.37500 800.42500 801.22500 801.27500 801.32500
Warren	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	81-84 177-180 217-220 325-328 365-368 429-432 517-520 613-616 31 32 33 70 71 72	764.51250 765.11250 765.36250 766.03750 766.28750 766.68750 773.23750 773.83750 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500 770.47500 770.52500 770.57500	794.51250 795.11250 795.36250 796.03750 796.28750 796.68750 803.23750 803.83750 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500 800.47500 800.52500 800.57500
Washington	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	17-20 173-176 257-260 373-376 425-428 473-476 513-516 561-564 701-704 745-748 797-800 43 44 45 88 89 90	764.11250 765.08750 765.61250 766.33750 766.66250 776.96250 773.21250 774.38750 774.66250 774.98750 769.12500 769.12500 769.22500 771.37500 771.42500 771.47500	794.11250 795.08750 795.61250 796.33750 796.66250 796.96250 803.21250 804.38750 804.66250 804.98750 799.12500 799.17500 799.22500 801.37500 801.42500 801.47500
Wayne	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz	125-128 241-244 329-332 381-384 457-460 493-496 589-592	764.78750 765.51250 766.06250 766.38750 766.86250 773.08750 773.68750	794.78750 795.51250 796.06250 796.38750 796.86250 803.08750 803.68750

Region 24 700 MHz Plan Vs. 24 August 20 2003

	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	661-664 31 32 33	774.13750 768.52500 768.57500 768.62500	804.13750 798.52500 798.57500 798.62500
Webster	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	133-136 173-176 257-260 337-340 377-380 437-440 513-516 565-568 605-608 797-800 913-916 49 50 51 61 62 63 76 77 78	764.83750 765.08750 765.61250 766.11250 766.36250 766.73750 773.21250 773.53750 773.78750 774.98750 775.71250 769.42500 769.47500 769.52500 770.02500 770.07500 770.77500 770.82500 770.87500	794.83750 795.08750 795.61250 796.11250 796.36250 796.73750 803.21250 803.78750 804.98750 805.71250 799.42500 799.47500 799.52500 800.02500 800.02500 800.77500 800.77500 800.82500 800.87500
Worth	Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz Data 50KHz	213-216 289-292 337-340 389-392 549-552 677-680 64 65	765.33750 765.81250 766.11250 766.43750 773.43750 774.23750 770.17500 770.22500 770.27500	795.33750 795.81250 796.11250 796.43750 803.43750 804.23750 800.17500 800.22500 800.27500
Wright	Voice 25KHz Data 50KHz	453-456 497-500 557-560 613-616 669-672 709-712 749-752 873-876 34 35 36 55	766.83750 773.11250 773.48750 773.83750 774.18750 774.43750 774.68750 775.46250 768.67500 768.72500 768.77500 769.72500 769.77500 769.82500	796.83750 803.11250 803.48750 803.83750 804.18750 804.43750 804.68750 805.46250 798.67500 798.72500 799.72500 799.72500 799.77500

Channels labeled "Campus" are subject to ERP and Service Area limitations. See section 3.12, Paragraph "F" for additional information.

PROCESS FOR HANDLING UNFORMED REGIONS

The Implementation Subcommittee recommends that all Regions use the following pre-planning methodology to facilitate coordination with adjacent Regions. This procedure will provide a spectrum allotment for adjacent Regions that do not immediately form a Committee.

Counties or other geographic subdivisions within 70 miles of the Regional border need to share spectrum with the adjacent Region(s). The appropriate ratio of channels shall be allotted to counties in adjacent Regions based upon each county's population. A 25 kHz building block will be used to distribute spectrum between the Regions. A description of the demographics of the affected border areas shall be included.

The requirements for adjacent Region concurrence will require a waiver if the adjacent Region has not yet formed. The Region filing the Plan must use the pre-planning procedure outlined above. The waiver request must be filed concurrently with the Plan and contained in the cover letter.

The following form is an electronic copy of the signed versions accompanying this document.

Appendix H
Sample Form
Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures
And
Procedures for Resolution of Disputes
That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans

I.

II. INTRODUCTION

This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures
 Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees,
 [list Regions here].

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 24, X, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX, XX and XX and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. ² This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

² If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region (s), the affected Region(s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

II. Dispute Resolution

(1)If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

- (i) Unconditional concurrence;
- (ii) Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of Applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) Partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- (2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC). Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).

i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 4,13,15,16,17,24,26,34 and 39 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully.

		respectany,
		[all signatories to agreement]
Date:	<u> </u>	

Appendix I

DTV transition

Frequency Availability through the DTV Transition

4.1.1.1.1 On August 14, 1996, the FCC released a Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in the digital television (DTV) proceeding. A portion of the spectrum recovered from TV channels 60-69 when DTV is fully deployed "could be used to meet public safety needs." By Congressional direction in the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, the FCC reallocated 24 MHz of spectrum to Public Safety services in the 764-776 MHz and 794-806 MHz bands. The statute required the FCC to establish service rules, by September 30, 1998, in order to start the process of assigning licenses. The rules that the FCC established by September 30, 1998, "provided the minimum technical framework necessary to standardize operations in this spectrum band, including, but not limited to: (a) establishing interference limits at the boundaries of the spectrum block and service areas; (b) establishing technical restrictions necessary to protect full-service analog and digital television service during the transition to digital television services; (c) permitting public safety licensees the flexibility to aggregate multiple licenses to create larger spectrum blocks and service areas, and to disaggregate or partition licenses to create smaller spectrum blocks or service areas; and (d) ensuring that the new spectrum will not be subject to harmful interference from television broadcast licensees".

In April 1997, the FCC assigned a second 6 MHz block of spectrum to each license (or permit to construct) holders of full power, analog, television broadcast station (NTSC) in order to construct a digital television station (DTV). Secondary low power television stations (LPTV), secondary translators and boosters (TX), mutually exclusive applications for new stations, and application filed after a cut-off date did not receive a second 6 MHz allotment for DTV. The FCC established about a 10 year timeline for those stations with a DTV assignment to construct a DTV station, cease NTSC transmissions, and return one of the two 6 MHz blocks of spectrum to the FCC. Target date for the end of analog television (NTSC) transmission was set for December 31, 2006.

³ Advanced Television Systems and Their Impact Upon the Existing Television Broadcast Service, MM Docket No. 87-268, *Sixth Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, 11 FCC Rcd 10,968, 10,980 (1996) (*DTV Sixth Notice*).

⁴ FCC 98-191, 1st R&O and 3rd NPRM on WT Docket No. 96-86 Operational & Technical Requirements or the 700 MHz Public Safety Band, para.4.

Congress provided several market penetration loopholes (>85% households served, all 4 major networks converted, etc) allowing NTSC operations to continue past the December 31, 2006 date. While there are over 100 NTSC full power stations in this band, there are also about 12 DTV assignments. The DTV assignments might continue operations past the December 31, 2006 date for two reasons. 1) They must find a suitable channel below channel 60 to move to, which may be their own NTSC assignment. They may not be able to find another allocation until other NTSC stations have ceased operations and returned a channel below 60 to the FCC. Or, 2) their license does not expire until after 2006 (most are licensed into 2007 or 2008).

Protection of Public Safety from future TV/DTV Stations

Public safety base and mobile operations must have a safe distance between the co-channel or adjacent TV and DTV systems. This typically means that a co-channel and adjacent channel base and mobile system cannot operate in areas where TV stations already exist. The public safety systems that will operate in the 700 MHz band for some locations in the U.S. and its possessions must wait until the transition period is over and the TV/DTV stations have moved to other channels before beginning operations. In other areas, channels will be available for public safety operations. During the transition period, public safety stations must be acutely aware of the TV allocations for both TV and DTV stations. The FCC wants the number of situations where the public safety licensee has to coordinate its station with the existing TV stations kept to a minimum. The Commission's decisions in the reallocation of spectrum to DTV implemented two requirements, which will help public safety systems to protect TV/DTV stations and reduce the number of coordination's. The first requirement is that full power UHF-TV stations can no longer apply for channels 60-69 or modifications in channels 60-69, which would increase the stations' service areas, which creates a known environment for public safety licensees. The second requirement is that since only existing TV station licensees can apply for DTV channels, the applicants and their proposed locations are already known.

Also, the low power TV stations and translators already on channels 60-69 are secondary and must cease operations if they cause harmful interference when a primary service, like land mobile, comes into operation. The secondary Low Power TV stations already on channels 60-69 cannot apply for the new Class A protection status.

Spectrum Overview

700 MHz Public Safety Band - 24 megahertz of spectrum

TV 61	TV 62	TV 63	TV 64	TV 65	TV 66	TV 67	TV 68	TV 69	806-824
									LMR
		Public	Public				Public	Public	Band
		Safety	Safety				Safety	Safety	
		6 MHz	6 MHz				6 MHz	6 MHz	
1				I	I	I			

7	64 MHz	770	,	776	794 MHz	800	80	06
	NB	WB	NB		NB	WB	NB	
	3 MHz	6 MHz	3 MHz		3 MHz	6 MHz	3 MHz	

NB = narrowband channels

WB = wideband channels

The FCC designated 764-776 MHz (TV Channels 63 and 64) for base-to-mobile transmissions and 794-806 MHz (TV Channels 68 and 69) for mobile-to-base communications. In addition, base transmit channels in TV Channel 63 are paired with mobile channels in TV Channel 68 and likewise that base channels in TV Channel 64 are paired with mobile channels in TV Channel 69. This provides 30 MHz separations between base and mobile transmit channel center frequencies. This band plan was suggested because of the close proximity of TV Channels 68 and 69 to the 806-824 MHz band, which already contains the transmit channels for mobile and portable radios (base receive).

Mobile transmissions are allowed on any part of the 700 MHz band, not just the upper 12 MHz. This will facilitate direct mobile-to-mobile communications (*i.e.*, not through a repeater) that are often employed at the site of an incident, where wide area communications facilities are not available or desired. Allowing mobile transmissions on both halves of a paired channel is generally consistent with FCC rules governing use of other public safety bands.

Non-uniform TV Channel Pairing

There are currently geographical areas where, either licensed or otherwise protected full-service analog or new digital, television stations are currently authorized to operate on TV Channels 62, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, and 69. During the DTV transition period, an incumbent TV station occupying one or more of the four Public Safety channels (63, 64, 68, 69) or the three adjacent channels (62, 65, 67) may preclude pairing of the channels in accordance with the band plan defined above. Therefore, to provide for cases where standard pairing is not practicable during the DTV transition period, the FCC will allow the RPCs to consider pairing base-to-mobile channels in TV Channel 63 with mobile-to-base channels in TV Channel 69 and/or base-to-mobile channels in

⁵ See Reallocation, Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 12 FCC Rcd at 14,141, 14,177-78 and 14,182-83.

TV Channel 64 with mobile-to-base channels in TV Channel 68. Because such non-standard channel pairing may cause problems when the band becomes more fully occupied, the FCC expects the RPCs to permit such non-standard channel pairing only when absolutely necessary, and the FCC may require stations to return to standard channel pairing after the DTV transition period is over. However, the FCC will not permit non-standard channel pairing on the nationwide interoperability channels in the 700 MHz band because of the need for nationwide uniformity of these channels.

At least three issues must be considered before deciding upon non-uniform channel pairing:


- 1) Preliminary analysis, looking at current incumbent TV stations, shows few geographic areas where non-uniform pairing allows early implementation of 700 MHz systems. As DTV Transition progresses, and TV stations vacate the band, this situation might change.
- 2) If interoperability channels must be uniform, operation on I/O channels will be blocked until all incumbent TV stations are cleared, even though General Use channels may be implemented earlier.
- 3) If I/O channels must follow uniform pairing, and general use & reserve channels can be implemented using non-uniform pairing, narrowband voice subscriber equipment must operate on 3 different channel pairings 39 MHz (764-767 paired with 803-806 MHz), 30 MHz, and 21 MHz (773-776 paired with 794-797 MHz). Likewise, there will be 3 different channel pairing for wideband channels. No vendors have volunteered to build equipment & systems for non-uniform pairing, yet.

TV/DTV Protection

During the DTV Transition period, public safety must consider all co-channel and adjacent channel TV and DTV stations within about a 160 mile radius.


For public safety channel pair 63/68, public safety must consider six TV/DTV channels - co-channels 63 and 68, as well as, adjacent channels 62, 64, 67, and 69.

Measured (off-the-air) Analog TV Signal vs 700 MHz Public Safety Assignments



HAVE 2 CO-CHANNEL AND 4 ADJACENT CHANNELS TO CONSIDER FOR EACH 700 MHz PAIRED BLOCKS OF SPECTRUM

DTV Emission Mask vs 700 MHz Public Safety Assignments



HAVE 2 CO-CHANNEL AND 4 ADJACENT CHANNELS TO CONSIDER FOR EACH 700 MHz PAIRED BLOCKS OF SPECTRUM

For public safety channel pair 64/69, public safety must consider five TV/DTV channels; co-channels 64 and 69, as well as, adjacent channels 63, 65, and 68.

It may only take one TV/DTV station to block operations on one, the other, or both public safety channel pairs. For a public safety system at 500 watts ERP and 500 ft HAAT, co-channel TV stations can block a 120 mile radius and adjacent channel TV/DTV stations can block a 90 mile radius.

Since base stations transmitters are located only on channels 63 and 64, LMR mobile only TV/DTV protection spacing on channels 68 and 69 may be shorter than LMR base TV/DTV protection on channels 63 & 64.

TV/DTV Protection Criteria

Public safety applicants can select one of three ways to meet the TV/DTV protection requirements: (1) utilize the geographic separation specified in the 40 dB Tables of 90.309; (2) submit an engineering study to justify other separations which the Commission approves; or (3) obtain concurrence from the applicable TV/DTV station(s).

90.309 40 dB D/U Tables


The FCC adopted a 40 dB desired (TV/DTV) to undesired (LMR) signal ratio for co-channel operations and a 0 dB desired/undesired (D/U) signal ratio for adjacent channel operations. The D/U ratio is used to determine the geographic separation needed between public safety base stations and the Grade B service contours of co-channel and adjacent channel TV/DTV stations. The D/U signal ratio is used to determine the level of land mobile signals that can be permitted at protected fringe area TV receiver locations without degrading the TV picture to less than a defined picture quality. In other words, the D/U signal ratio indicates what relative levels of TV and land mobile signals can be tolerated without causing excessive interference to TV reception at the fringe of the TV service area.


Desired and undesired contours are not quite the same thing. Desired analog TV contours are defined as F(50,50), meaning coverage is 50% of the places and 50% of the time. Undesired land mobile or interference contours are defined as F(50,10). For Digital TV, the desired contours are defined as F(50,90), while the undesired land mobile contour are still F(50,10).

Land mobile and analog TV services have successfully shared the 470-512 MHz band (TV Channels 14-20) within a 50 mile radius of eleven major cities since the early 1970's based upon providing a signal ratio of at least 50 dB between the desired TV signal and undesired cochannel land mobile signal (D/U signal ratio) at a hypothetical 88.5 km (55 mi) Grade B service contour and an adjacent channel D/U signal ratio of 0 dB at the same hypothetical Grade B service contour. These separation distances also protected the land mobile systems from interference from the TV stations. In 1985, recognizing that 50 dB D/U was too conservative, the FCC proposed to expand land mobile/TV sharing to other TV channels and proposed that the geographic separation requirements for co-channel operations be based on a D/U signal ratio of 40 dB rather than 50 dB. That proceeding was put on hold pending completion of the DTV proceeding, which has now been completed. In the 470-512 MHz band, the FCC also relied on minimum separation distances based on the various heights and powers of the land mobile stations (HAAT/ERP separation tables) to prevent harmful interference.

Since this simple, yet conservative, method was successful, the FCC decided to use this same method, the 90.309 HAAT/ERP Separation Tables, to administer LMR to TV/DTV receiver protection criteria for the services in the 700 MHz band.

Co-channel land mobile base station transmitters are limited to a maximum signal strength at the hypothetical TV Grade B contour 40 dB D/U below desired 64 dBu F(50,50) analog TV signal level, or 24 dBu F(50,10). The FCC adopted a 0 dB D/U signal ratio for adjacent channel operations. Adjacent channel land mobile transmitters will be limited to a maximum signal of 64 dBu F(50,10) which is 0 dB D/U below the TV Grade B signal of 64 dBu F(50,50) at the TV station Grade B contour of 88.5 km (55 miles). A typical TV receiver's adjacent channel rejection is at least 10-20 dB greater than this level, which will further safeguards TV receivers from land mobile interference.





The equivalent ratios for a DTV station's 41 dB F(50,90) desired field strength contour are land mobile 17 dB F(50,10) contour for co-channel and land mobile - 23 dB F(50,10) contour for adjacent channel.

The Tables to protect TV/DTV stations are found in Section 90.309 of the Commission's rules. These existing Tables cover co-channel protection based on a 40 dB D/U ratio using the separation methods described in Section 73.611 of the Commission's rules for base, control, and mobile stations, and for adjacent channel stations for base stations based on a 0 dB D/U ratio.

However, the original considerations in 470-512 MHz band under Section 90.309 were different in that mobiles were limited in their roaming distance from the base station (less than 30 miles) and mobiles were on the same TV channel as the base station.


Control and mobile stations (including portables) are limited in height (200 ft for control stations, 20 ft for mobiles/portables) and power (200 watts ERP for control stations, 30 watts for mobiles, 3 watts for portables). Mobiles and control stations shall afford protection to co-channel and adjacent channel TV/DTV stations in accordance with the values specified in Table D (co-channel frequencies based on 40 dB protection for TV and 17 dB for DTV) in § 90.309.

Control stations and mobiles/portables shall keep a minimum distance of 8 kilometers (5 miles) from all adjacent channel TV/DTV station hypothetical or equivalent Grade B contours (adjacent channel frequencies based on 0 dB protection for TV and -23 dB for DTV). This means that control and mobile stations shall keep a minimum distance of 96.5 kilometers (60 miles) from all adjacent channel TV/DTV stations.


Since operators of mobiles and portables are able to move and communicate with each other, licensees or coordinators must determine the areas where the mobiles can and cannot roam in order to protect the TV/DTV stations, and advise the mobile operators of these areas and their restrictions.

Engineering Analysis

Limiting TV/land mobile separation to distances specified in the 40 dB HAAT/ERP Separation Tables found in 90.309 may prevent public safety entities from fully utilizing this spectrum in a number of major metropolitan areas until after the DTV transition period ends. Public safety applicants will be allowed to submit engineering studies showing how they propose to meet the appropriate D/U signal ratio at the existing TV station's authorized or applied for Grade B service contour or equivalent contour for DTV stations instead of the hypothetical contour at 88.5 km.




This would permit public safety applicants to take into account intervening terrain and engineering techniques such as directional and down-tilt antennas in determining the necessary separation to provide the required protection. Public safety applicants who use the engineering techniques must consider the actual TV/DTV parameters and not base their study on the 88.5 km hypothetical or equivalent Grade B contour. If land mobile interference contour does not overlap the TV Grade B contour (or DTV equivalent), then engineering analysis may be submitted to the FCC with the application.



4.3

4.4 This method is most useful with lower power TV stations whose Grade B contours are much smaller than the hypothetical 55 mile (88.5 km) Grade B contour or have directional patterns.



Page 108

4.5 Note that 200 ft AGL limitations on 700 MHz control stations is much higher than the 100 ft AGL limitation used at UHF. Limiting control station antenna height and/or ERP may greatly reduce land mobile to TV contour spacing.

Also, note that analysis for TV/DTV receivers uses 30 ft (10 m) antenna height whereas, analysis for land mobile subscribers uses about a 6 ft (2m) antenna height.

TV/DTV Short-spacing

- 4.6 Public safety applicants will also be allowed to "short-space" even closer if they get the (written) approval of the TV stations they are required to protect. Public safety applicants need to determine the station's intended market area vs its hypothetical Grade B contour area. Alternately, the TV/DTV station may be short-spaced against another TV/DTV station, limiting their area of operation, but does not affect LMR operations.
- 4.7 Instead of each agency negotiating with a TV/DTV station individually, they may want to combine into a single group or committee and negotiate together.

TV/DTV Height Adjustment Factor

4.8 In order to protect certain TV/DTV stations which have extremely large contours due to unusual height situations, such as a television station mounted on top of Mount Wilson near Los Angeles, California, the FCC incorporated an additional height adjustment factor which must be used by all public safety base, control and mobile stations to protect these few TV/DTV stations and afford the land mobile stations the necessary protection from the TV/DTV stations. The equation necessary to calculate the additional distance from the hypothetical or equivalent Grade B contour is found in the rules section 90.545(c)(2)(iii).

CANADIAN AND MEXICAN BORDER REGIONS

The FCC typically takes one of two approaches. They either postpone licensing of land mobile stations within a certain geographic distance (*e.g.*, 120 km (75 miles)) of Canada and Mexico, or permit interim authorizations conditioned on the outcome of future agreements. Because international negotiations can take many months or even years to finalize, the FCC took the later approach and adopted certain interim requirements for public safety licenses along the Canada and Mexico borders, providing that the licenses are subject to whatever future agreements the United States develops with the two countries.

Nevertheless, existing mutual agreements with Canada and Mexico for the use of these bands for UHF television must be recognized until further negotiations are completed. The US negotiated an agreement with Mexico of DTV operations near the US/Mexican border in July 1998. The US just negotiated an agreement with Mexico of DTV operations, and limited non-broadcast operations on 746-806 MHz, near the US/Canadian border in September 2000. Existing agreements recognize existing TV and/or DTV allotments and planning factors within a specified distance of the border. The Canadian Letter of Understanding also acknowledges that US plans to use 746-806 MHz for non-broadcast purposes and provides planning criteria (40 dB D/U) to protect Canadian TV/DTV receivers.

Additionally, public safety facilities within the United States must accept interference from authorized channel 60-69 TV transmitters in Canada and Mexico in accordance with the existing agreements. Since the locations of the Canadian and Mexican analog TV assignments and DTV allotments are known, the public safety applicants can consider the levels of harmful interference to

expect from Canadian and Mexican TV/DTV stations when applying for a license. Both Canada and Mexico have been informally notified that the Commission has changed its allocated use of TV channels 60-69, and the Commission will discuss the possibility of mutually compatible spectrum use with Canada and Mexico.

This Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee report is a documentation of the Region 24 700 MHz process. Every item in this document has been reviewed and is pertinent to public safety 700 MHz implementation in Missouri and in accordance with plans for allowing 700 MHz channels to be used in Missouri's adjacent states of Arkansas, Oklahoma, Kentucky, Tennessee, Illinois, Kansas, Nebraska and Iowa. We look forward to working with the regional planning committees in these states to better the potential for public safety to have the tools available to complete their mission of protecting life and property in their respective states.

Regards,

STEPHEN T. DEVINE

Chairperson, Region 24 Regional Planning Committee Missouri State Highway Patrol

September 16, 2003

.

A WAIVER REQUEST FROM REGION 24 OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR ADJACENT STATE CONCURRENCE FROM UNFORMED REGION 34 Oklahoma

Region 24 requests a waiver of Rule Section 90.527(a)(5) which requires that written consent be obtained from all adjacent 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees. Region 24 is adjacent to eight (8) Regions. The Regions to which Region 24 is adjacent, and the status of that Region's 700 MHz RPC is shown in the following table:

Region	RPC Status	Consent Obtained with Inter-Regional Dispute form
Region 13	Formed	Yes
Region 26	Formed	Yes
Region 15	Formed	Yes
Region 4	Unformed	No
Region 34	Unformed	No
Region 39	Formed	Yes
Region 18	Formed	Yes

Region 24 has made every reasonable effort to contact and obtain the consent of all adjacent Regions in acquiring concurrence from adjacent regions. Region 24 has received consent from all adjacent 700 MHz RPCs except for Regions 4 and 34, which are unformed at this time.

Region 34 has selected Mr. Gene Thaxton as Convenor of the 700 Mhz Regional Planning Committee but has not yet established a date for its initial meeting. Region 24 provided a copy of its Regional Plan to Mr. Thaxton for review on April 28, 2003.

The area bordering Region 24 and Region 34 is rural with sparse population. The one exception to this is the area around near the Joplin Missouri area in Newton County. The National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) CAPRAD database retains narrowband channel allotments resulting from the national channel sorts and the channels allotted along the Arkansas/Missouri border are sufficient for both states to utilize effectively without any concern for a lack of channels. Region 24's wideband allotment provides ample available wideband spectrum, in the form of 50, 100 or 150 KHz channels to the counties in Region 34 that border Missouri.

Region 24 has followed the recommendations of the National Coordination Committee's (NCC) Implementation Subcommittee and the CAPRAD database which ensures the availability of spectrum at the Regional borders based on population.

By following the planning procedures described above, Region 24 believes that Region 34 will have sufficient spectrum to meets its needs along the border between the regions when it elects to commence 700 MHz Regional Planning. 700 MHz spectrum is available for immediate licensing and implementation with Region 24 once its Plan has

been approved by the FCC and areas along the Region 24 and Region 34 border also have spectrum immediately available.

For these reasons, Region 24 asks that the FCC waive the requirements of 90.527(a)(5) as it relates to Region 34. We look for ward to working with Region 34 on the successful implementation of 700 MHz public safety spectrum.

Respectfully submitted.

Stephen T. Devine

Chair, Region 24

Contact Info

Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Phone 573 526 6105 devins@mshp.state.mo.us

A WAIVER REQUEST FROM REGION 24 OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR ADJACENT STATE CONCURRENCE FROM UNFORMED REGION 4 ARKANSAS

Region 24 requests a waiver of Rule Section 90.527(a)(5) which requires that written consent be obtained from all adjacent 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees. Region 24 is adjacent to eight (8) Regions. The Regions to which Region 24 is adjacent, and the status of that Region's 700 MHz RPC is shown in the following table:

Region	RPC Status	Consent Obtained with Inter-Regional Dispute form
Region 13	Formed	Yes
Region 26	Formed	Yes
Region 15	Formed	Yes
Region 4	Unformed	No
Region 34	Unformed	No
Region 39	Formed	Yes
Region 18	Formed	Yes

Region 24 has made every reasonable effort to contact and obtain the consent of all adjacent Regions. Region 24 has received consent from all adjacent 700 MHz RPCs except for Region 4, which is unformed at this time.

Region 4 has selected Mr. Ron Spychalski as convenor of the 700 Mhz Regional Planning Committee but has not yet established a date for its initial meeting. Region 24 provided a copy of its Regional Plan to Mr Spychalski for review on April 28, 2003. It is my understanding that Region 4 has plans to convene their 700 MHz Committee in November, 2003.

The area bordering Region 24 and Region 4 is rural with sparse population. The one exception to this is the area around Branson, Taney County Missouri. The National Public Safety Telecommunications Council (NPSTC) CAPRAD database retains narrowband channel allotments resulting from the national channel sorts and the channels available along the Arkansas/Missouri border are sufficient for both states to utilize effectively without any concern for a lack of channels. Region 24's wideband allotment provides ample available wideband spectrum, in the form of 50, 100 or 150 KHz channels to the counties in Region 4 that border Missouri.

Region 24 has followed the recommendations of the National Coordination Committee's (NCC) Implementation Subcommittee and the CAPRAD database which ensures the availability of spectrum at the Regional borders based on population.

By following the planning procedures described above, Region 24 believes that Region 4 will have sufficient spectrum to meets its needs when it elects to commence 700 MHz Regional Planning. 700 MHz spectrum is available for immediate licensing and

implementation with Region 24 once its Plan has been approved by the FCC and areas along the Region 24 and Region 4 border also have spectrum immediately available.

For these reasons, Region 24 asks that the FCC waive the requirements of 90.527(a)(5) as it relates to Region 4. We look for ward to working with Region 4 on the successful implementation of 700 MHz public safety spectrum.

Respectfully submitted.

Stephen T. Devine

Chair, Region 24

Contact Info

Stephen T. Devine, Chairperson Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Phone 573 526 6105 devins@mshp.state.mo.us

Richard Hester, Chairperson Region 15 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Iowa State Patrol Communications 56911 Whitepole Road Lewis, Iowa 51544

Steven Devine, Chairperson Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Missouri State Highway Patrol Communications Division P.O. Box 568, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Sir:

As Chairperson and on behalf of the 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee for Region 15, State of Iowa, I have reviewed the Region 24 700 MHz Regional Plan. This document includes parameters for 700 MHz channel selection, county pool channel allotments and information on the structure and development of the Region 24 Regional Planning Committee, which encompasses the State of Missouri. Taking into consideration that the State of Missouri followed the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council's CAPRAD Database Packing Program; the Region 15 Regional Planning Committee concurs with the State of Missouri Regional Plan for 700 MHz.

Richard H. Hester Esw News Levy Remby

Region 15 looks forward to working with Region 24 in the ongoing development of 700 MHz public safety spectrum. The regional area that borders our two regions, the substantial Missouri-lowa border area, consists of both suburban and sparsely populated areas along with several developing counties and planning for meeting future public safety needs with this new radio spectrum is a common goal for both regions. As you know, the demographics on these border areas vary and effective spectrum planning that takes into account future population migration will be a public safety asset for years to come.

Sincerely,

Richard H. Hester, Region 15 Chairperson

Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures And Procedures for Resolution of Disputes That May Arise under FCC Approved Plans

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures

Agreement by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Region 24

(Missouri) and Region 15 (Iowa).

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination, which has been agreed upon by Region 24 and Region 15, which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. ¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

- shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:
 - (i) Unconditional concurrence;

If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region (s), the affected Region(s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an

- Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of (ii)Applicant's technical parameters; or
- Partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to (111)meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel g. assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a Petition to Amend their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- Upon Commission issuance of an Order adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the Order to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

accompanying Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or other application documentation between agencies, i.e.

III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 24 and Region 15 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

Richard H. Lester		
Lerry Sembol		
Can Tenor		
Steve Devine, champerson	Resign	2>
	9-12-13	

Date: _____

July 8, 2003

Mr. Stephen T. Devine
Patrol Frequency Coordinator
Communications Division
Missouri State Highway Patrol

Office (573) 526-6105 FAX (573) 526-1112

Dear Mr. Devine:

Region 13 received your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan on April 29, 2003. Region 13 met on May 13, 2003 and members of the committee were provided copies for their review. Region 13 formally approves Region 24's Plan and appreciates the efforts of Region 24 to protect adjacent regions. We look forward to working closely with Region 24 to continue the process of public safety 700 MHz implementation in the future.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 13 to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas J. Ward Chairperson Region 13 531 Sangamon Avenue Springfield, IL 62702

(v) 217-782-5742

(f) 217-524-4396

Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures And Procedures for Resolution of Disputes That May Arise under FCC Approved Plans

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees, Regions 13 and 24.

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Region 24 and Region 13 which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region (s), the affected Region(s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

- (1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:
 - (i) Unconditional concurrence;
 - (ii) Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of Applicant's technical parameters; or
 - (iii) Partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- (2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 24 and Region 13 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

Thomas J. Ward, Jr., Region 13 Chair

Steven T. Devine, Region 24 Chair

Region 16 (Kansas) 700 MHz

Regional Planning Committee

July 25, 2003

Mr. Steve Devine, Chairperson Region 24 (Missouri) Missouri State Highway Patrol Communications Division P.O. Box 568 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Mr. Devine,

Region 16 (Kansas) is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan, submitted to this committee on July 10th, 2003. Region 16 (Kansas) met on July 22nd, 2003, reviewed and formally approved Region 24's Plan. Region 16 (Kansas) looks forward to working closely with Region 24 in the process of public safety 700 MHz implementation in the future.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 16 (Kansas) to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edwin D. Geer

Chairperson, Region 16 (Kansas)

Region 16 (Kansas) 700 MHz

Regional Planning Committee

June 20, 2003

File: 1140

Region 24 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee Mr. Steve Devine, Chairman Missouri State Highway Patrol Communications Division P.O. Box 568 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Dear Mr. Devine:

Subject: Region 24 700 MHz Regional Plan

On behalf of Region 16 (Kansas), I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for sending your plan to Region 16 (Kansas) for review and concurrence. It is obvious that Region 24 has worked very hard in the preparation of its regional plan and Region 16 (Kansas) intends to monitor the approval process with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) very closely upon Region 24 submitting its plan. Region 16 (Kansas) strongly believes that any action taken by the FCC in approving your plan will be beneficial to all Regional Planning Committees (RPC) who are currently preparing their plans for submittal to the FCC in the near future.

As discussed with you at the recent 700 MHz Colloquium in Chicago, IL there are some items that the Region 16 (Kansas) RPC would like for me to review and discuss with you prior to signing the letter of concurrence. Upon your review of the following items, I would appreciate the opportunity to meet with you and discuss these items in greater detail. Unfortunately, the earliest I am able to meet is after July 8th, 2003. The next meeting scheduled for the Region 16 (Kansas) RPC will be July 22, 2003 and I would like to have met with you prior to that meeting. The following items are what Region 16 (Kansas) would like to obtain further information on:

3.1 (e) Who does Region 24 consider to be responsible for performing field tests if a problem should arise?

Who is responsible for the costs of these tests?

Region 24 developed its own sort of narrowband voice and data, and wideband data channels. Does this mean that Region 24 did not use the packing program for CAPRAD? Did Region 24 unhook channels and move them to border areas with Region 16? It appears that certain areas may not be equally considered upon assignment of channels.

Mr. Steve Devine Page 2 June 20, 2003

Adjacent regions shall be able to satisfy voice and narrowband data requests along their border with Region 24. However, if an adjacent region has problems satisfying intra-regional requests due to channel allocation within Missouri, the committee pledges to work with the adjacent region. Would you explain how Region 24 plans to help adjacent regions satisfy voice and narrowband data requests if a problem arises. Has Region 24 developed a policy to deal with these types of situations?

What about wideband data? What criteria did Region 24 utilize to determine the large amount of wideband data channels assigned in certain areas?

How did Region 24 determine the assignment of Campus channels along the Region 16 (Kansas) border? Is Region 24 utilizing certain criteria to assign these channels in Cass, Bates, and Jasper counties?

As mentioned previously I will be able to meet with you and discuss these items further in the near future. Please contact me at (785) 296-5948 to schedule a time and place where we can meet. The Region 16 (Kansas) RPC appreciates the opportunity to discuss the above items with you and we look forward to working with Region 24 in the future.

Sincerely,

Edwin D. Geer, Chairman

Region 16 (Kansas) Regional Planning Committee

45-16

Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures And

Procedures for Resolution of Disputes That May Arise Under FCC Approved Plans

I. Coordination Procedures

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees,

[Region 24, Region 16].

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination which has been agreed upon by Regions 24, 4, 13, 15, 16, 17, 26, 34 and 39 and which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.
- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review.²

² If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public safety Region (s), the affected Region (s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.

f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

- (1) If the adjacent Region(s) cannot approve the request, the adjacent Region shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:
 - (i) Unconditional concurrence;
 - (ii) Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- (2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the

applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

- h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Regions 4,13,15,16,17,24,26,34 and 39 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

[all signatories to agreement]

Region 24 (Missouri

Date: 2/28/03

DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

FRANKFORT 40601-6168

July 21, 2003

Stephen T. Devine Regional Chairperson Region 24
Patrol Frequency Coordinator
Communications Division
Missouri State Highway Patrol
1510 East Elm
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Phone: 573-526-6105 FAX: 73-526-1112

Email: devins@mshp.state.mo.us

Dear Mr. Devine:

Region 17 is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan. The plan has been reviewed and was approved on July 21, 2003. In addition, we concur with your "orphan channel" policy as was presented by email on July 21. Region 17 looks forward to working closely with Region 24 in the process of public safety 700 MHz implementation in the future.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 17 to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Stephens
Chairperson Region 17
Communications Branch Manager
Kentucky Division of Emergency Management
EOC Building Boone National Guard Center
100 Minuteman Parkway
Frankfort, KY 40601

Phone: 502-607-1617

FAX: 502-607-1620

Email: bob.stephens@ky.ngb.army.mil

Email: bob@fewpb.net



DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT FRANKFORT



40601-6168

July 21, 2003

Stephen T. Devine Regional Chairperson Region 24
Patrol Frequency Coordinator
Communications Division
Missouri State Highway Patrol
1510 East Elm
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Phone: 573-526-6105 FAX: 573-526-1112

Email: devins@mshp.state.mo.us

Dear Mr. Devine:

Region 17 is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan. The plan has been reviewed and was approved on July 21, 2003. In addition, we concur with your "orphan channel" policy as was presented by email on July 21. Region 17 looks forward to working closely with Region 24 in the process of public safety 700 MHz implementation in the future.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 17 to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

Bal Stylle Mr. Bob Stephens

Chairperson Region 17

Communications Branch Manager

Kentucky Division of Emergency Management EOC Building Boone National Guard Center

100 Minuteman Parkway

Frankfort, KY 40601

Phone: 502-607-1617 FAX: 502-607-1620

Email: bob.stephens@ky.ngb.army.mil

Email: bob@fewpb.net

Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures And Procedures for Resolution of Disputes That May Arise under FCC Approved Plans

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures

Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees,

[list Regions here].

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination, which has been agreed upon by Region 24 and Region 17, which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. ¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

(i) Unconditional concurrence;

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region (s), the affected Region(s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

- (ii) Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of Applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) Partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- (2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

III. CONCLUSION


Date: 8 30-01

3.	IN AGREEMENT H	ERETO, Region 24	4 and Region 17	do hereunto s	set their
signatures th	ne day and year first above	ve written.			

Respectfully,

[all signatories to agreement]

STATE OF NEBRASKA



Governor

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

Lori McClurg

Director

June 30, 2003

Stephen T. Devine, Region 24 Chairperson Communications Division Missouri State Highway Patrol General Headquarters 1510 East Elm Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Dear Mr. Devine,

The Nebraska Region 26 concurs with the Missouri Region 24 700 MHz Plan. Nebraska Region 26 has reviewed the 700 MHz Plan submitted by Region 24 and is satisfied that the plan takes into account the necessary considerations to coordinate with adjacent regions.

Considering the rural populations near our mutual borders, and Cooper Nuclear Station, I believe the Region 24 700 MHz Plan has adequately addressed our mutual concerns. Nebraska Region 26 looks forward to working with Missouri Region 24 in coordination of 700 MHz and other spectrum issues in the future. Nebraska Region 26 is actively developing its plan and looks forward to presenting its plan for concurrence to Missouri Region 24 in the future.

Sincerely,

Mike Jeffres

Mike Jeffres

Nebraska Region 26 Chair Division of Communications 521 S. 14th Street, Suite 300 Lincoln, NE 68508-2707



mjeffres@notes.state. ne.us

06/30/03 16:38

To: "Stephen Devine" < devins@mshp.state.mo.us>

cc:

Subject: Nebraska Region 26 Concurrence

Steve,

I have reviewed the Region 24 Plan and I'm mailing a letter of concurrence to you today. Sorry for the long delay in responding. Too many duties get in the way at times. Attached is a copy of the letter. Nice job on the plan.

Thanks,
Mike Jeffres
Radio Communications Manager
Division of Communications
402-471-3719

(See attached file: Concurrence for Region 24 Plan.doc)

Concurrence for Region 24 Pla

June 30, 2003

Stephen T. Devine, Region 24 Chairperson Communications Division Missouri State Highway Patrol General Headquarters 1510 East Elm Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Dear Mr. Devine,

The Nebraska Region 26 concurs with the Missouri Region 24 700 MHz Plan. Nebraska Region 26 has reviewed the 700 MHz Plan submitted by Region 24 and is satisfied that the plan takes into account the necessary considerations to coordinate with adjacent regions.

Considering the rural populations near our mutual borders, and Cooper Nuclear Station, I believe the Region 24 700 MHz Plan has adequately addressed our mutual concerns. Nebraska Region 26 looks forward to working with Missouri Region 24 in coordination of 700 MHz and other spectrum issues in the future. Nebraska Region 26 is actively developing its plan and looks forward to presenting its plan for concurrence to Missouri Region 24 in the future.

Sincerely,

Mike Jeffres
Nebraska Region 26 Chair
Division of Communications
521 S. 14th Street, Suite 300
Lincoln, NE 68508-2707

NE 26

Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures And Procedures for Resolution of Disputes That May Arise under FCC Approved Plans

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures

Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees,

[list Regions here].

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination, which has been agreed upon by Region 24 and Region 26, which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. ¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:

(i) Unconditional concurrence;

¹ If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region (s), the affected Region(s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

- (ii) Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of Applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) Partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- (2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

III. CONCLUSION


3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 24 and Region 26 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

Respectfully,

[all signatories to agreement]

Stephen Derfe Nejion 24 (missoni)

Date: 6-16-03



Region 39, 700 MHz Regional Planning Committee John Johnson, Chairman 3041 Sidco Drive Nashville, TN 37204

July 8, 2003

Mr.Steve T. Devine
Region 24 Chairperson
Communications Division
Missouri State Highway Patrol General Headquarters
1510 East Elm Street
Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Dear Steve:

Region 39 is in receipt of your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan, submitted to this Committee on April 24, 2003. On behalf of Region 39, I have reviewed and formally approved Region 24's Plan. Region 39, Tennessee, looks forward to working closely with Missouri, Region 24, in the process of public safety 700 MHz implementation in the near future.

If any such disputes should arise, Region 39 will abide by the Dispute Resoultion as outlined in the Region 24 Plan.

This letter serves as the official, written concurrence of Region 39 to your proposed 700 MHz Regional Plan.

Sincerely,

John Johnson Chairman Region 39 700 MHz RPC

Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures And Procedures for Resolution of Disputes That May Arise under FCC Approved Plans

I. INTRODUCTION

1. This is a mutually agreed upon Inter-Regional Coordination Procedures

Agreement (Agreement) by and between the following 700 MHz Regional Planning Committees,

[list Regions here].

II. INTER-REGIONAL COORDINATION AGREEMENT

- 2. The following is the specific procedure for inter-Regional coordination, which has been agreed upon by Region 24 and Region 39, which will be used by the Regions to coordinate with adjacent Regional Planning Committees.
- a. An application-filing window is opened or the Region announces that it is prepared to begin accepting applications on a first-come/first-served basis.
 - b. Applications by eligible entities are accepted.

- c. An application-filing window (if this procedure is being used) is closed after appropriate time interval.
- d. Intra-Regional review and coordination takes place, including a technical review resulting in assignment of channels.
- e. After intra-Regional review, a copy of those frequency-specific applications requiring adjacent Region approval, including a definition statement of proposed service area, shall then be forwarded to the adjacent Region(s) for review. ¹ This information will be sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) using the CAPRAD database.
- f. The adjacent Region reviews the application. If the application is approved, a letter of concurrence shall be sent, via the CAPRAD database, to the initiating Regional chairperson within thirty (30) calendar days.

II. Dispute Resolution

- shall document the reasons for partial or non-concurrence, and respond within 10 (Ten)-calendar days via email. If the applying Region cannot modify the application to satisfy the objections of the adjacent Region then, a working group comprised of representatives of the two Regions shall be convened within thirty (30) calendar days to attempt to resolve the dispute. The working group shall then report its findings within thirty (30) calendar days to the Regional chairpersons email (CAPRAD database). Findings may include, but not be limited to:
 - (i) Unconditional concurrence;

If an applicant's proposed service area extends into an adjacent Public Safety Region (s), the affected Region(s) must approve the application. Service area shall normally be defined as the area included within the geographical boundary of the applicant, plus three (3) miles. Other definitions of service area shall be justified with an accompanying *Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)* or other application documentation between agencies, i.e. mutual aid agreements.

- (ii) Conditional concurrence contingent upon modification of Applicant's technical parameters; or
- (iii) Partial or total denial of proposed frequencies due to inability to meet co-channel/adjacent channel interference free protection to existing licensees within the adjacent Region.
- (2) If the Inter-Regional Working Group cannot resolve the dispute, then the matter shall be forwarded for evaluation to the National Plan Oversight Committee (NPOC), of the National Public Safety Telecommunications Council. Each Region involved in the dispute shall include a detailed explanation of its position, including engineering studies and any other technical information deemed relevant. The NPOC will, within thirty (30) calendar days, report its recommendation(s) to the Regional chairpersons via the CAPRAD database. The NPOC's decision may support either of the disputing Regions or it may develop a proposal that it deems mutually advantageous to each disputing Region.
- g. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in no change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix. The initiating Region may then advise the applicant(s) that their application may be forwarded to a frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.
- h. Where adjacent Region concurrence has been secured, and the channel assignments would result in a change to the Region's currently Commission approved channel assignment matrix, then the initiating Region shall file with the Commission a *Petition to Amend* their current Regional plan's frequency matrix, reflecting the new channel assignments, with a copy of the *Petition* sent to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s).
- i. Upon Commission issuance of an *Order* adopting the amended channel assignment matrix, the initiating Regional chairperson will send a courtesy copy of the *Order* to the adjacent Regional chairperson(s) and may then advise the applicant(s) that they may forward their applications to the frequency coordinator for processing and filing with the Commission.

III. CONCLUSION

3. IN AGREEMENT HERETO, Region 24 and Region 39 do hereunto set their signatures the day and year first above written.

[all signatories to agreement]

John Johnson, Channan Reg. 39 RPC

Date: July 14, 2003